

Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Forest Heath
District Council

Title:	Agenda												
Date:	Wednesday 25 January 2017												
Time:	5.00 pm (PLEASE NOTE TIME AND VENUE)												
Venue:	Conference Chamber West West Suffolk House Western Way Bury St Edmunds												
Full Members:	<p style="text-align: center;">Chairman Louis Busuttill Vice Chairman Colin Noble</p> <p><u>Conservative Members (8)</u></p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Michael Anderson</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Louis Busuttill</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chris Barker</td> <td>Louise Marston</td> </tr> <tr> <td>John Bloodworth</td> <td>Christine Mason</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rona Burt</td> <td>Colin Noble</td> </tr> </table> <p><u>West Suffolk Independent (1)</u></p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Simon Cole</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p><u>UKIP (1)</u></p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Peter Ridgwell</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Michael Anderson	Louis Busuttill	Chris Barker	Louise Marston	John Bloodworth	Christine Mason	Rona Burt	Colin Noble	Simon Cole		Peter Ridgwell	
Michael Anderson	Louis Busuttill												
Chris Barker	Louise Marston												
John Bloodworth	Christine Mason												
Rona Burt	Colin Noble												
Simon Cole													
Peter Ridgwell													
Substitutes:	Named substitutes are not appointed												
Interests – Declaration and Restriction on Participation:	Members are reminded of their responsibility to declare any disclosable pecuniary interest not entered in the Authority's register or local non pecuniary interest which they have in any item of business on the agenda (subject to the exception for sensitive information) and to leave the meeting prior to discussion and voting on an item in which they have a disclosable pecuniary interest.												
Quorum:	Three Members												
Committee administrator:	Christine Brain Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny) Tel: 01638 719729 Email: christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk												

5.00pm	Informal discussions with St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee on the three substantive items listed below under Items 5 to 7 inclusive, to be held in <u>Conference Chamber West</u> .
5.15pm	The formal meeting of the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee will commence at 5.15pm or immediately following the conclusion of the informal discussions, whichever is the later, in <u>Conference Chamber East</u> .

All Members of St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee will be in attendance to enable informal discussions on the reports listed in Items 5 to 7 below to take place between the two authorities.

COUNCILLORS

Conservative Members (8) Sarah Broughton Jane Midwood Peter Thompson Beccy Hopfensperger Karen Richardson Patricia Warby Betty McLatchy David Roach

Charter Member (1) David Nettleton

UKIP Member (1) Barry Robbins

SUBSTITUTES

Conservative Members (3) Jeremy Farthing Susan Glossop Ivor McLatchy

Charter Member (1) Diane Hind

UKIP Member (1) John Burns

On the conclusion of the informal joint discussions, Members of Forest Heath's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee will withdraw from Conference Chamber West to **Conference Chamber East** to hold their formal meeting as follows:

Public Information

Venue:	West Suffolk House Western Way Bury St Edmunds Suffolk, IP33 3YU	Tel: 01284 763233 Email: democratic.services@westsuffolk.gov.uk Web: www.westsuffolk.gov.uk
Access to agenda and reports before the meeting:	Copies of the agenda and reports are open for public inspection at the above address and at Forest Heath District Council, Mildenhall at least five clear days before the meeting. They are also available to view on our website.	
Attendance at meetings:	The Council actively welcomes members of the public and the press to attend its meetings and holds as many of its meetings as possible in public.	
Public speaking:	<p>Members of the public who live or work in West Suffolk are invited to put one question or statement of not more than three minutes duration relating to items to be discussed in Part 1 of the agenda only. If a question is asked and answered within three minutes, the person who asked the question may ask a supplementary question that arises from the reply.</p> <p>A person who wishes to speak must register at least 15 minutes before the time the meeting is scheduled to start.</p> <p>There is an overall time limit of 15 minutes for public speaking, which may be extended at the Chairman's discretion.</p>	
Disabled access:	<p>West Suffolk House has facilities for people with mobility impairments including a lift and wheelchair accessible WCs. However in the event of an emergency use of the lift is restricted for health and safety reasons.</p> <p>Visitors parking is at the car park at the front of the building and there are a number of accessible spaces.</p>	
Induction loop:	An Induction loop is available for meetings held in the Conference Chamber and some other meeting rooms.	
Recording of meetings:	<p>The Council may record this meeting and permits members of the public and media to record or broadcast it as well (when the media and public are not lawfully excluded).</p> <p>Any member of the public who attends a meeting and objects to being filmed should advise the Committee Administrator who will instruct that they are not included in the filming.</p>	

Agenda
Procedural Matters
Part 1(A) - Public

- 1. Substitutes**
- 2. Apologies for Absence**
- 3. Minutes** **1 - 10**

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 24 November 2016 (copy attached).
- 4. Public Participation**

Members of the public who live or work in Forest Heath are invited to put one question/statement of not more than 3 minutes duration relating to items to be discussed in Part 1 of the agenda only. If a question is asked and answered within 3 minutes, the person who asked the question may ask a supplementary question that arises from the reply.

A person who wishes to speak must register at least 15 minutes before the time the meeting is scheduled to start.

There is an overall limit of 15 minutes for public speaking, which may be extended at the Chairman's discretion.

(Following the informal discussions held with St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee on Items 5 to 7 below, Members are reminded that no further debate shall take place. However, Members are requested to either formally note/resolve/recommend Items 5 to 7 below).
- 5. Balanced Scorecards and Quarter 3 Performance 2016-2017** **11 - 26**

Report No: **PAS/FH/17/001**
(For reference purposes, St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Report Number is PAS/SE/17/001)
- 6. West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report - December 2016** **27 - 44**

Report No: **PAS/FH/17/002**
(For reference purposes, St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Report Number is PAS/SE/17/002)
- 7. Work Programme Update** **45 - 48**

Report No: **PAS/FH/17/003**
(For reference purposes, St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Report Number is PAS/SE/17/003)

Part 1(B) – Public

- | | | |
|------------|--|-----------------|
| 8. | Financial Performance Report (Revenue and Capital)
Quarter 3 - 2016-2017 | 49 - 70 |
| | Report No: PAS/FH/17/004 | |
| 9. | Delivering a Sustainable Budget Medium Term Financial
Strategy 2017-2020 | 71 - 76 |
| | Report No: PAS/FH/17/005 | |
| 10. | Treasury Management Report 2016-2017 and Investment
Activity (April to December 2016) | 77 - 86 |
| | Report No: PAS/FH/17/006 | |
| 11. | Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy
Statements 2017-2018 | 87 - 146 |
| | Report No: PAS/FH/17/007 | |

This page is intentionally left blank

(Informal Joint) Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Notes of Informal Discussions held on Thursday 24 November 2016 at 5.00 pm in the Council Chamber, District Offices, College Heath Road, Mildenhall

PRESENT: St Edmundsbury Borough Council (SEBC)

Councillor Sarah Broughton
(Chairman of the informal discussions)

Councillors Betty Mclatchy, Ivor Mclatchy, David Roach, Barry Robbins, Peter Thompson and Patricia Warby.

Forest Heath District Council (FHDC)

Councillors Michael Anderson, Louis Busuttil, Christine Mason and Peter Ridgwell.

IN ATTENDANCE: FHDC – Councillor Stephen Edwards, Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance

Prior to the formal meeting, at 5.00pm informal discussions took place on the following four items:

- (1) Mid-year Internal Audit Progress Report 2016-2017;
- (2) Balanced Scorecards and Quarter 2 Performance Report 2016-2017
- (3) West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report – September 2016;
- (4) Work Programme Update.

All Members of St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee had been invited to attend the District Offices, Mildenhall to enable joint informal discussions on the above reports to take place between the two authorities.

The Chairman of Forest Heath's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee welcomed all those present to the District Offices, Mildenhall and advised on the format of the proceedings for the informal joint discussions and subsequent separate meetings of each authority, prior to handing over to the Chairman of St Edmundsbury's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee, who would be chairing the informal joint discussions.

Members noted that each Council permitted public participation at their Performance and Audit Scrutiny meetings. Therefore, for the purpose of facilitating these Constitutional requirements, it was proposed that public speaking should be permitted prior to the start of the informal discussions to enable any questions/statements to be considered by both Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committees on items 1 – 4 above. On this occasion however, there were no questions/statements from members of the public.

Each report was then considered in the order listed on each authorities agenda.

1. **Mid-Year Internal Audit Progress Report 2016-2017**

[Councillor Peter Thompson arrived at 5.03 pm during the consideration of this item].

The Senior Auditor presented the report, which advised Members of the work of the Internal Audit Section for the first half of 2016-2017 (Appendix A) and which provided Members with an insight of the variety of corporate projects and activities which were supported through the work of the team. The report also included an update on progress made against the 2016-2017 Internal Audit Plan previously approved by the Committee in May 2016.

A similar approach to last year's Internal Audit Plan was being undertaken in that as well as continuing to perform the usual statutory fundamental systems audits and other audit responsibilities. Internal Audit was also performing corporate and consultancy style work. This approach recognised that early audit input to activities could often help prevent or bring early resolution to internal control issues, and also brought audit skills and increased available resources on significant corporate projects. For 2016-2017 to date the team had assisted in the following work areas:

- Production of the West Suffolk Annual Governance Statement and its associated documents;
- West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register;
- Information governance;
- Records management;
- Input to corporate projects such as the Medium Term Financial Strategy Income and Contracts work streams; conducting "health checks" on electoral administration processes across West Suffolk; a number of pieces of work for the Operations service; Mildenhall Hub project; and financial due diligence related to areas of potential council investment.

Internal Audit had also responded to around 35 requests for financial vetting or other related financial advice including assessments of organisations' financial suitability to undertake specified contracts for the councils; and continued to provide advice to service areas on internal controls.

No significant risk exposures or control issues had been identified during the audit work undertaken during the period.

Members considered the report and did not raise any issues.

2. **Balanced Scorecards Quarter 2 Performance Report 2016-2017**

The Business Partner (Resources and Performance) presented the report, which set out the West Suffolk Balanced Scorecards being used to measure the Council's performance for 2016-2017 and an overview of performance against those indicators for the second quarter of 2016-2017. The six balanced scorecards (attached at Appendices A to F) were linked to the Head of Service areas, which presented the second quarter performance.

Most indicators reported performance against an agreed target using a traffic light system with additional commentary provided for performance indicators below optimum performance.

During the past quarter, there had been four new indicators added to the Planning and Growth scorecard, which aimed to provide a broader picture of the performance and the issues faced during the planning application process.

In Quarter 1, the performance against the "% telephone calls answered" indicators was below target levels across all areas. During Quarter 2, the performance against these indicators had increased and was now getting back towards the levels seen during the 2015-2016 financial year, despite call volumes still being higher than comparable periods last financial year.

There had been a slight drop corporately in the performance against the indicator "% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days" since Quarter 1. The figure in June 2016 was 93.17%, whereas in September 2016 the figure was 87.63%. The Finance and Performance Team would continue to work with the service areas to try and improve performance against this indicator, with monthly business intelligence reports being sent out with details of all invoices processed.

Another area where performance had dipped slightly since the Quarter 1 this year was in homelessness. An increase in homeless cases of 30% from the first Quarter had led to a slightly increased time taken to make homelessness decisions and an increased use of B&B accommodation in Quarter 2.

Members considered the report in detail. In particular discussions were held on the increase in homelessness cases presented. Members were informed that the general increase was due to the current economic climate and family breakdowns.

3. **West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report – September 2016**

The Service Manager (Resources and Performance) presented the second quarterly risk register monitoring report in respect of the West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register. The Register was updated regularly by the Risk Management Group and at its recent meeting in September 2016 the Group reviewed the target risk, the risk level where the Council aimed to be, and agreed a current risk assessment. These assessments formed the revised West Suffolk Risk Register (Appendix 1).

Some individual controls or actions had been updated and those which were not ongoing and had been completed by September 2016 had been removed from the Register. There had been no new risks or amendments made to any existing risks or any existing risks closed since the Strategic Risk Register was last reported to the Committee.

With regards to the EU Referendum, the Group would continue to monitor the situation as it developed over the next quarter, amending existing and/or adding new risks where necessary. Any changes made would be reported at the next meeting of the Committee, in the normal manner.

Members considered the report and in particular discussed risk WS4 – staff retention. Members were advised that the Head of HR, Legal and Democratic Services had previously increased the risk due to a national and local issue around recruiting particular skilled staff. The Head of Service was keeping the risk under review.

4. **Work Programme Update**

The Head of Resources and Performance presented the report, which provided information on the current status of each Committee's Work Programme for 2017.

On the conclusion of the informal joint discussions at 5.14 pm, Members of St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee withdrew from the Council Chamber to the Training Room to hold their formal meeting.

The Chairman then formally opened the Forest Heath District Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee in the Council Chamber at 5.16 pm.

Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Minutes of a meeting of the **Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee** held on **Thursday 24 November 2016** at **5.16 pm** at the **Council Chamber, District Offices**, College Heath Road, Mildenhall, IP28 7EY

Present: **Councillors**

Chairman Louis Busuttil

Michael Anderson
Christine Mason

Peter Ridgwell

By Invitation:

Stephen Edwards, Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance

116. **Substitutes**

There were no substitutes declared.

117. **Apologies for Absence**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Chris Barker, John Bloodworth, Rona Burt and Simon Cole.

Councillors Louise Marston and Colin Noble were also unable to attend the meeting.

118. **Minutes**

The minutes of the meeting held on 22 September 2016, were unanimously accepted by the Committee as an accurate record and signed by the Chairman.

119. **Public Participation**

Public participation had been included within the previous informal discussions and there had been no questions/statements from members of the public.

120. **Mid-year Internal Audit Progress Report 2016-2017 (Report No: PAS/FH/16/025)**

Further to the joint informal discussions held prior to the meeting with St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee, the Committee formally considered Report No: PAS/FH/16/025.

Members had scrutinised the report, and there being no decision required the Committee **noted** the Mid-Year Internal Audit Progress Report 2016-2017.

121. **Balanced Scorecards and Quarter 2 Performance Report 2016-2017 (Report No: PAS/FH/16/026)**

Further to the joint informal discussions held prior to the meeting with St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee, the Committee formally considered Report No: PAS/FH/16/026.

Members had scrutinised the report, and there being no decision required the Committee **noted** the Council's performance using the Balanced Scorecards for Quarter 2, 2016-2017.

122. **West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report - September 2016 (Report No: PAS/FH/16/027)**

Further to the joint informal discussions held prior to the meeting with St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee, the Committee formally considered Report No: PAS/FH/16/027.

Members had scrutinised the report in detail and had asked a number of questions to which responses were duly provided.

There being no decision required the Committee **noted** the contents of the Quarter 2 West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register monitoring report.

123. **Work Programme Update (Report No: PAS/FH/16/028)**

Further to the joint informal discussions held prior to the meeting with St Edmundsbury Borough Council's Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee, the Committee formally considered Report No: PAS/FH/16/028.

Members had scrutinised the report, and there being no decision required the Committee **noted** the contents of the work programme for January – May 2017.

124. **Ernst and Young - Presentation of Annual Audit Letter 2015-2016 (Report No: PAS/FH/16/029)**

The Committee received Report No: PAS/FH/16/029, presented by Mark Hodgson, Executive Director from Ernst and Young, which updated Members on the outcome of the annual audit of the 2015-2016 financial statements by Ernst and Young (EY) as detailed in their Annual Audit Letter 2015-2016, attached as Appendix 1 to the report. The letter was for information and confirmed the completion of the audit for the 2015-2016 financial statements.

No significant issues were noted by EY and, therefore, the planned audit fee of £47,059 for the year remained unchanged, other than a small additional fee which related to some work on the Council's proposed Minimum Revenue Provision policy. This work had been requested by management and a fee of £1,431 had been agreed by the S151 Officer.

Work on the certification of claims and returns had not yet commenced and the results of this work, along with the final fee would be reported in the Annual Certification Report.

There being no decision required, the Committee **noted** the contents of the report.

125. **Annual Corporate Environmental Performance 2015-2016 (Report No: PAS/FH/16/030)**

The Committee received Report No: PAS/FH/16/030 which reported on the work which had been undertaken during 2015/2016 to improve the environmental performance in West Suffolk.

Appendix A to the report set out the Annual Environmental Statement covering environmental performance in 2015-2016. This Statement covered the operations of both Forest Heath District Council, St Edmundsbury Borough Council and the Leisure Trusts in West Suffolk in respect of energy and water consumption and renewable energy generation. The detailed performance against the objectives and targets were also set out in Appendix A. Officers had started a review of key targets, in particular with respect to Greenhouse Gas emissions, in the light of wider discussion with other public sector partners.

The Committee were particularly referred to paragraph 1.2.1 of the report which explained that the Councils continued to work to improve environmental performance during the year and also summarised the areas of key interest. Paragraph 1.2.2. of the report also summarised the community-focused environmental work.

The Committee considered the Statement and asked a number of questions to which Officers duly responded. In particular, Members raised concerns in relation to the air quality levels in Brandon and how these had been affected since the duelling of the A11.

There being no decision required, the Committee **noted** the report and supported the proposal to review the Council's environmental targets which would be the subject of a further report.

126. **Financial Performance Report (Revenue and Capital) - Quarter 2 (April to September 2016) (Report No: PAS/FH/16/031)**

The Committee received Report No: PAS/FH/16/031, which set out the financial performance for the second quarter of 2016-2017 and forecasted outturn position for 2016-2017.

Attached at Appendix A and B to the report were details of the Council's revenue performance and year end forecasted outturn position. Explanations of the main year end forecast over/(underspends) was set out within paragraph 1.2.3 of the report. Members were requested to note the current position and the significant variances over £25,000 (paragraph 1.2.3).

Appendix C to the report set out the Council's capital financial position for the six months of 2016-2017, which showed expenditure of £18,237,861. Finally, a summary of the earmarked reserves was attached at Appendix D, along with the forecast year end position for 2016-2017.

The Resources Team would continue to work with Budget Holders to monitor capital spend and project progress closely for the remainder of the financial year and an updated position would be presented to the Committee on a quarterly basis. Officers also explained that in relation to Appendix A (Summary by Head of Service), the 'Variance to Date' column, would be amended to reflect the 'Year End Forecast'.

The Committee scrutinised the report in detail and asked a number of questions to which Officers duly responded.

There being no decisions required, the Committee **noted** the Quarter 2 performance and the 2016-2017 year end forecast financial position.

127. **Delivering a Sustainable Budget Medium Term Financial Strategy 2017-2020 (Report No: PAS/FH/16/032)**

The Committee received Report No: PAS/FH/16/032, which updated Members on progress made towards delivering a balanced budget for 2017-2018 and sustainable budget in the medium term.

Forest Heath continued to face considerable financial challenges as a result of increased cost and demand pressures and constraints on public sector spending (Revenue and Support Grant (RSG)) from central government, as evidenced by the proposed four year government settlement. It was clear that even without the proposed reductions in RSG, the Council had underlying net cost pressures that it needed to address.

The budget gap for years 2017-2018 to 2019-2020 were projected in Table 1 of the report. The current budget assumptions for 2017-2018 to 2019-2020 and for the period of the Medium Term Financial Strategy were detailed in Appendix A.

The Approach to Delivering a Sustainable Medium Term Financial Strategy 2016 report was approved by Council on 28 September 2016.

The Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee was asked to support and recommend to Cabinet, the inclusion of a number of budget proposals, as set out in Table 2 of the report, in order to progress securing a balanced budget for 2017-2020.

The Committee was further asked to consider proposals, as set out in paragraph 5.3 to be treated as pending budgets requiring approval before being committed; removing items from the capital programme (paragraph 5.5) and reserve transfers as set out in paragraph 5.7 be approved.

Members scrutinised the report in detail and asked a number of questions to which officers duly responded.

The Committee **noted** the budget assumptions, budget timetable and progress made to date on delivering a balanced budget for 2017-2018 and sustainable budget in the medium term.

With the vote being unanimous, it was:

RECOMMENDED:

That:-

1. The proposals, as detailed in Section 5 and Table 2 at paragraph 5.1 of Report No: PAS/FH/16/032, be included, in securing a balanced budget for 2017/2020.
2. The items, as detailed in paragraph 5.3 of Report No: PAS/FH/16/032 be treated as pending budgets that will require the necessary approvals before they can be committed.
3. The items as detailed in paragraph 5.5 and Table 3 of Report No: PAS/FH/16/032, be removed from the Capital Programme.
4. The reserve transfers as detailed in paragraph 5.7 and Table 4 of Report No: PAS/FH/16/032, be approved.

128. Mid-Year Treasury Management Report and Investment Activity (April to September 2016) (Report No: PAS/FH/16/033)

The Committee received Report No: PAS/FH/16/033 which set out the Council's Mid Year Treasury Management Report and summarised the investment activities for the period to 30 September 2016.

The Committee scrutinised the report and asked questions to which responses were provided.

With the vote being unanimous, it was:

RECOMMENDED:

That, subject to the approval of full Council, the Mid-Year Treasury Management Report 2016-2017, attached at Appendix 1 to Report No: PAS/FH/16/033, be approved

The Meeting concluded at 5.48 pm

Signed by:

Chairman

This page is intentionally left blank

Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Balanced Scorecard and Quarter 3 Performance report 2016-2017	
Report No:	PAS/SE/17/001	
Report to and dates:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	25 January 2017
Portfolio holder:	Steven Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 660518 Email: steven.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk	
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk	
Purpose of report:	This report sets out the West Suffolk Balanced Scorecards being used to measure the Council's performance for 2016-17 and an overview of performance against those indicators for the third quarter of 2016-17.	
Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: Members are requested to review the Council's performance using Balanced Scorecards for Quarter 3, 2016-17 and identify any further information required or make recommendations where remedial action or attention is required to address the Council's performance.	

Key Decision: <i>(Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)</i>		<i>Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?</i> Yes, it is a Key Decision - <input type="checkbox"/> No, it is not a Key Decision - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Consultation:		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This report has been prepared in consultation with all relevant staff and Leadership Team. 	
Alternative option(s):		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The option of doing nothing may result in poor performance, monitoring performance can highlight where remedial action may be needed 	
Implications:			
<i>Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> While there are no direct financial or budget implications arising from this report, it is possible that any recommendations of the Committee may have some resource implications. For example, resources may need to be reallocated to improve performance in a future period. 	
<i>Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
<i>Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
<i>Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no legal implications from this report. Poor performance levels may impact on the Council's ability to implement its policies or high-level strategies. 	
<i>Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Risk/opportunity assessment:		<i>(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)</i>	
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)
	Low/Medium/ High*		Low/Medium/ High*
Failure to achieve optimum or target performance which may impact on resources	High	Regular reporting of performance to Joint Leadership Team, Portfolio Holders and to PASC can highlight where remedial action may be needed.	Medium
Ward(s) affected:		All Ward	
Background papers:		None	

Documents attached:

Appendix A – Resources and Performance Balanced Scorecard

Appendix B – Families and Communities Balanced Scorecard

Appendix C – Human Resources, Legal and Democratic Balanced Scorecard

Appendix D – Planning and Growth Balanced Scorecard

Appendix E – Operations Balanced Scorecard

Appendix F – Housing Balanced Scorecard

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

1.1 Performance Measures

1.1.1 Attached at **appendices A to F** are the current Balanced Scorecards (based on Head of Service area) which present Quarter 3 2016/17 performance. Unless otherwise stated, all performance figures on the scorecards are from a West Suffolk perspective. Where the performance for either individual Council is significantly different from the West Suffolk figure that it would have a different RAG rating, details of this are highlighted in the comments box.

1.1.2 The information included in the report has been provided by Heads of Service and service managers. Most indicators report performance against an agreed target using a traffic light system with additional commentary provided for performance indicators below optimum performance. Other KPIs report a data value only (e.g. no target performance) in order to track performance over time.

1.2 Quarter 3 Performance

1.2.1 In quarter 1, the performance against the "% telephone calls answered" indicators was below target levels across all areas. During quarters 2 and 3 the performance against these indicators has increased and are getting back towards the levels seen during the 2015/16 financial year despite call volumes still being higher than comparable periods last financial year.

1.2.2 There has been a slight increase corporately in the performance against the indicator "% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days" since quarter 2. The figure in September 2016 was 87.63%, whereas the December 2016 figure is 93.1%. The finance and performance team will continue to work with service areas to try and improve performance against this indicator, with monthly business intelligence reports being sent out with details of all invoices processed.

		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments	
RESOURCES	FINANCIAL	Year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - FHDC	£ 32,484.00	-	M	Cumulative		GenFund £128k, Corp (£47k), R&P (£35k). As detailed in the budget monitoring report.	SATISFACTION	Number of formal complaints	9	No Target	B	Period only		All of these complaints relate to ARP.
		Year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - SEBC	£ 562,533.00	-	M	Cumulative		GenFund £476k, ICT £61k, ARP £56k, As detailed in the budget monitoring report.		Number of formal complaints	0	No Target	B	Period only		
		Income generated from SLAs	(£130,152.46)	(£134,847.00)	M	Cumulative			CUSTOMERS	Organisational year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - FHDC *	-	-	M	Cumulative		R&P £32k, HRL&D (£-8k), F&C (£-27k), P&G £31k, Ops (£-45k), HSG (£-12k), Interest £28k. As detailed in the budget monitoring report.
		% return on the investment of reserves and balances - FHDC	1.11	1.50	Q	Cumulative		Rate has dropped due to the number of short term investments placed, which tend to be at lower rates, coupled with the drop in the Bank of England base rate.		Organisational year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - SEBC *	(£34,392.00)	-	M	Cumulative		R&P £563k, HRL&D £29k, F&C £5k, P&G £186k, Ops (£-715k), HSG £37k, Interest (£139k). As detailed in the budget monitoring report.
	% return on the investment of reserves and balances - SEBC	0.80	0.90	Q	Cumulative		Due to higher levels of balances than anticipated, the actual income received in the year is forecast to be £145,000 higher than budget.	Total income generated by organisation £ *		(£18,731,376.52)	(£17,728,524.05)	M	Cumulative			
	FINANCE & PERFORMANCE	% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days	94.00	95.00	M	Period only		50 invoices processed in December.	% of total non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days *	93.10	95.00	M	Cumulative		1,247 invoices processed in December.	
		% of debt over 90 days old	0.00	10.00	M	Cumulative		FHDC debt £0.00 - 0% over 90 days. SEBC debt £0.00 - 0% over 90 days.	% of total debt over 90 days old *	61.20	10.00	M	Cumulative		FHDC debt £143k - 49% over 90 days. SEBC debt £468k - 64.83% over 90 days.	
		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments	
INTERNAL PROCESSES	FINANCE	% of all payments made to us by BACS, Direct Debit, online as opposed to cash & cheque *	94.59	No Target	M	Period only			OUTCOMES	% Collection of Council Tax - FHDC	85.78	84.71	M	Cumulative		The amount collected as at the end of December is £22,810,813.
	ICT	% of ICT Helpdesk calls completed 'on time' as defined in SLAs	93.71	90.00	Q	Period only		2,305 total calls of which 2,160 were on time.		% Collection of Council Tax - SEBC	87.93	86.79	M	Cumulative		The amount collected as at the end of December is £48,809,028.
	ARP	Days taken to process Housing Benefit new claims and changes - FHDC	7.22	8.00	M	Period only				% Collection of Business Rates - FHDC	87.71	83.46	M	Cumulative		The amount collected as at the end of December is £19,528,242.
		Days taken to process Housing Benefit new claims and changes - SEBC	6.28	8.00	M	Period only				% Collection of Business Rates - SEBC	86.96	84.81	M	Cumulative		The amount collected as at the end of December is £41,101,996.
										Business Rate Growth - FHDC	23,078,212	22,143,933	M	Cumulative		This is the forecast business rates figure. FHDC will receive 40% share of growth which will be £373,688 based on this forecast.
										Business Rate Growth - SEBC	48,236,560	45,886,675	M	Cumulative		This is the forecast business rates figure. SEBC will receive 40% share of growth which will be £939,954 based on this forecast.

PROJECTS	Name	Project Lead	Project Stage	Project Status	Approval details	Approved budget	Forecast Spend	Variance	Comments

RISK	RISK ID NUMBER	Type	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	WS Residual Risk	Last updated
	WS1 A	Financial	Poor financial management	Failure in specific areas to achieve projected income, or expenditure exceeds the approved budgets (revenue or capital).	Probability - 3; Impact - 5	Probability - 2; Impact - 3	December 2016
	WS1 B	Financial	Poor financial planning	Failure to deliver a sustainable Medium Term Financial Strategy, especially in view of continued financial uncertainty around areas such as Comprehensive Spending Review, localisation of Business Rates, localising Council Tax, increased service demand, and use of reserves. Over reliance on any one particular MTFs theme such as behaving more commercially or being an investing authority.	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS6 (on all scorecards)	Political	Managing public / councillor expectations with less resources	Falling short of providing the level of service that the public and councillors expect and demand.	Probability - 5; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS7	Technological / Financial / Customer	Poor project management	Key strategic outcomes not being delivered due to projects failing to be completed on time. Budgets are overspent due to delays. Peaks and troughs in resource demands for support services are not managed, resulting in unmanageable workloads for e.g. IT team, exacerbating the delays.	Probability - 4; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS7a	Technological	ICT integration	Integration of ICT across services and systems not being achieved.	Probability - 4; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS11	Economic / Financial / Competitive	Failure to adapt to new public sector models, explore opportunities with partners	West Suffolk fails to deliver better services for public sector customers (regardless of the organisation), fails to close its budget gap due to missing opportunities for new sources of funding and opportunities for savings through economies of scale and better integration.	Probability - 4; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 3	December 2016
	WS13	Partnership / Financial	Partner / Public Sector failure	Partners or partnerships failing; cost shunting (transfer of costs between partners); partnerships not achieving desired outcomes.	Probability - 4; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS14 (on all scorecards)	Physical / Social / Legal	Service failure through unplanned events	Reduced level or failure to deliver services to both internal and external clients due to unforeseen events.	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 2	December 2016
	WS18	Customer / Financial / Professional	Managing poor performance	Risk of individual services having below par performance levels and possible dips in performance while establishing new service models.	Probability - 4; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 3	December 2016

This page is intentionally left blank

		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			
RESOURCES	FINANCIAL	Year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - FHDC	(27,081.00)	-	M	Cumulative		Saving on salary and pension costs Com.Dev. As per budget monitoring report.	CUSTOMERS	SATISFACTION	% Customer satisfaction with customer service - overall journey	81.00	80.00	Q	Period only		Customer satisfaction rate now back on target. The satisfaction rate had fallen to 50% earlier in the year with the introduction of Garden Waste charging and implementation issues with the Housing Options Abrisas homelink system	
		Year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - SEBC	4,780.00	-	M	Cumulative		Com.Dev. (£23k), CustServ £21k. As per budget monitoring report			Number of formal complaints	0	No target	B	Cumulative			
		% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days	97.73	95.00	M	Cumulative		44 invoices processed in December.			Number of formal compliments	12	No target	B	Cumulative		All compliments relate to Customer Services.	
		% of debt over 90 days old	0.00	10.00	M	Cumulative		FHDC debt £0 - 0% over 90 days. SEBC debt £1,140 - 0% over 90 days.										
		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			
INTERNAL PROCESSES	COMMUNICATIONS	Number of unique users of the West Suffolk councils website	34,062	29,500	M	Period only		Aim to maximise.	OUTCOMES	CUSTOMER SERVICES	% of issues resolved at first point of contact with Customer Services - telephone	96.00	80.00	M	Cumulative		0	
		Number of unique page views to the West Suffolk councils website	105,076	150,000	M	Period only		The figures have dropped after the peak from the Christmas Fayre and due to the Christmas/New Year break.			% of issues resolved at first point of contact with Customer Services - face to face	88.00	80.00	M	Cumulative		0	
		Number of online forms completed	1,101	1,250	M	Period only		Over 28,000 online forms have been used this year to date.										
		Number of page views to the West Suffolk intranet	420,292	123,500	M	Period only		The page views have increased significantly due to more news items which people have looked at.										
	CUSTOMER SERVICES	% of telephone calls answered	93.00	90.00	M	Period only		Call answer rate on target for December										
		Number of face to face contacts (not including visitor management)	3,003	5,500	M	Period only		Visitor numbers are falling as online form usage increases										

PROJECTS	Name	Project Lead	Project Stage	Project Status	Approval details	Approved budget	Forecast Spend	Variance	Comments

RISK	RISK ID NUMBER	Type	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	WS Residual Risk	Last updated
	WS2	Customer	Maintain and promote our public image, maintain effective communications	Council services and decisions being misrepresented in the media (including social media) which undermines public trust and confidence. Councils' reputation preventing them from entering into positive partnerships with others, or securing funding. Lack of public trust and confidence in the councils that could affect their ability to work WITH communities in achieving the strategic priorities and to achieve behaviour change (e.g. around recycling, channel shift etc.). This could also potentially impact on our ability to recruit staff in competitive market.	Probability - 3; Impact - 3	Probability - 3; Impact - 2	December 2016
	WS3	Customer	Failure to deliver channel shift	Service delivery methods do not meet customer needs or expectations with potential to damage Councils' reputation; customer expectations may need to be more carefully managed in new financial climate; services fail to deliver savings in required time scale or maintain quality; excessive demands on staff time.	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS6 (on all scorecards)	Political	Managing public / councillor expectations with less resources	Falling short of providing the level of service that the public and councillors expect and demand.	Probability - 4; Impact - 5	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS8a	Political / Social	Failure to deliver Families & Communities agenda	Opportunities being missed to create or influence the provision of: (i) a thriving voluntary sector and active communities who take the initiative to help the most vulnerable; (ii) people playing a greater role in determining the future of their communities; (iii). improved wellbeing, physical and mental health; (iv) accessible countryside and green spaces.	Probability - 4; Impact - 5	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS14 (on all scorecards)	Physical / Social / Legal	Service failure through unplanned events	Reduced level or failure to deliver services to both internal and external clients due to unforeseen events.	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 2	December 2016
	WS19	Economic / Social	Demographic changes	Unable to meet the demands created by population changes (caused by growth, ageing, diversity, employment) including the impact on infrastructure and other related service provision.	Probability - 4; Impact - 2	Probability - 2; Impact - 2	December 2016

This page is intentionally left blank

		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments		
RESOURCES	FINANCIAL	Year end forecast variance against budget - FHDC	(£8,115.00)	-	M	Cumulative		Training(£12k), Legal £7k , HR (£6k). As detailed in the budget monitoring report.	CUSTOMERS	SATISFACTION	Number of formal complaints	1	No target	B	Period only		1 - Legal Services
		Year end forecast variance against budget - SEBC	£ 29,375.00	-	M	Cumulative		Elections £33k, Legal (£7k). As detailed in the budget monitoring report			Number of formal compliments	1	No target	B	Period only		1 - Human Resources
		% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days	98.46	95.00	M	Cumulative		65 Invoices processed in December		% response rate to Annual Canvass	91.76	95.00	A	Cumulative			
		% of debt over 90 days old	0.00	10.00	M	Cumulative		No debt over 90 days for FHDC or SEBC. Only debt is for SEBC - £96.80 and is under 90 days		Customer Services % of answered calls - elections	94.00	90.00	M	Period only		Call answer rate on target for December	
	STAFF	Average number of sick days lost per FTE per annum*	6.48	6.50	Q	Cumulative		0									
		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments		
INTERNAL PROCESSES	HR	Time taken to complete recruitment process - advert to offer (days)	22.38	35.00	Q	Period only		0	OUTCOMES	HUMAN RESOURCES	% Voluntary staff turnover *	8.74	7-12	Q	Cumulative		0
								% successful staff appointments *			86.96	85.00	Q	Cumulative		0	
										HEALTH & SAFETY	Reported incidence of injuries, diseases and dangerous occurrences *	1	10	Q	Cumulative		Please enter a comment to explain variance

PROJECTS	Name	Project Lead	Project Stage	Project Status	Approval details	Approved budget	Forecast Spend	Variance	Comments

RISK	RISK ID NUMBER	Type	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	WS Residual Risk	Last updated
	WS4	Professional	Staff retention (professional staff / technical staff). Staff	Lack of staff skills, experience and capacity could prevent delivery of services and high levels of performance. Failure to have	Probability - 5; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS6 (on all scorecards)	Political	Managing public / councillor expectations with less resources	Falling short of providing the level of service that the public and councillors expect and demand.	Probability - 5; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS14 (on all scorecards)	Physical / Social / Legal	Service failure through unplanned events	Reduced level or failure to deliver services to both internal and external clients due to unforeseen events.	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 2	December 2016
	WS16	Legal	Breach of data protection and information security	Failure to ensure the accuracy and control of data. Not using good practice when handling data. Damage to council's	Probability - 4; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 3	December 2016
	WS20	Physical	Implementation of the Corporate Health and Safety	Failure to ensure the safety and well being of staff. Failure to provide safe and healthy environment for visitors and the	Probability - 2; Impact - 5	Probability - 1; Impact - 5	December 2016

This page is intentionally left blank

		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments		
RESOURCES	FINANCIAL	Year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - FHDC	£ 42,007.00	0.00	M	Cumulative		DevControl £119k, Solar Farm (£-160k), Environmental Mgmt £60k. As detailed in the budget monitoring report	CUSTOMERS	SATISFACTION	Number of formal complaints	10	No target	B	Period only	10 - Development Control / Building Control.	
		Year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - SEBC	£ 201,156.00	0.00	M	Cumulative		DevControl £114k, Building Control £69k. As detailed in the budget monitoring report			Number of formal compliments	20	No target	B	Period only	15 - Development Control / Building Control, 1 - Land charges, 4 - Environmental Health.	
		Spend on professional fees in relation to planning appeals	£ 14,702	No Target	M	Cumulative		0			Number of successful appeals - Planning	1	No Target	M	Period only	FHDC had one successful appeal	
		Income received against budget	(£1,804,189.72)	(£1,763,330.00)	M	Cumulative		0			Application decisions needing an extension of time to complete	37	No Target	M	Period only	Of the 47 late applications 37 needed an extension of time	
		% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days	100.00	95.00	M	Period only		56 Invoices processed in November			Total validation backlog	50	No Target	M	Cumulative	The number had decreased significantly as the three new planning apprentices as part of there training programme had been working to clear the backlog.	
		% of debt over 90 days old	63.40	10.00	M	Cumulative		FHDC debt £1,956.00 - 65.70% over 90 days. SEBC debt £4,876.32 - 61.10% over 90 days			% of invalid planning applications received	36.00	No Target	M	Period only	There were 248 applicants during the month of which 90 (36%) were invalid which is an improvement on previous periods	
		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments		
INTERNAL PROCESSES	PLANNING	% of major planning applications determined within 13 weeks	83.33	60.00	M	Period only		There were 6 major applications in the month 5 for SEBC and 1 for FHDC. 4 of SEBC were completed in time 80% and FHDC there was 1 which was completed in time 100%	OUTCOMES	REGULATORY	Renewable energy generated on Council properties (KWh)	49,627	No Target	Q	Period only		
		% of minor planning applications determined within 8 weeks	84.00	65.00	M	Period only		There were 33 applications in the month 25 for SEBC and 8 for FHDC. SEBC completed 21 in time achieving 84% and FHDC achieved 7 in time 88%			% of food businesses receiving a top food hygiene rating (rating of 5)	80.30	60.00	Q	Period only		
		% of other planning applications determined within 8 weeks	92.00	80.00	M	Period only		There were 135 applications 103 for sebc of which 71 were completed in time. For FHDC there were 32 applications for FHDC of which 23 were completed in time. SEBC 68% FHDC 71%									
	PLANNING ENFORCEMENT	Number of new enforcement cases opened	35	No Target	M	Period only		There were 19 new cases opened in SEBC and 16 new cases in FHDC									
		Number of enforcement cases closed	31	No Target	M	Period only		There were 15 cases closed in SEBC and 16 cases closed in FHDC									
		Total number of enforcement cases open	294	No Target	M	Period only		There are 191 open cases in SEBC and 103 cases open in FHDC									

PROJECTS	Name	Project Lead	Project Stage	Project Status	Approval details	Approved	Forecast Spend	Variance	Comments

RISK	RISK ID NUMBER	Type	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	WS Residual Risk	Last updated
	WS6 (on all scorecards)	Political	Managing public / councillor expectations with less resources	Falling short of providing the level of service that the public and councillors expect and demand.	Probability - 5; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS8b	Political / Social	Failure to deliver Growth Agenda inc coping with growth and increase in demand	Opportunities being missed to create or influence the provision of: (i) beneficial growth that enhances prosperity and quality of life; (ii) existing businesses that are thriving and new businesses brought to the area; (iii) people with the educational attainment and skills needed in our local economy; (iv) vibrant, attractive and clean high streets, village centres and markets.	Probability - 4; Impact - 5	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS14 (on all scorecards)	Physical / Social / Legal	Service failure through unplanned events	Reduced level or failure to deliver services to both internal and external clients due to unforeseen events.	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 2	December 2016
	WS12	Partnership	Loss of a key employer (for example USAFE, Racing Industry, Greene King, WS Hospital, Centre Parcs, British Sugar) - See WS2 for USAFE	Failure to retain major employers in the area and the economic impact that it would have	Probability - 3; Impact - 5	Probability - 2; Impact - 5	December 2016
	WS22	Economic / Social	Effects of the closure of RAF Mildenhall	Negative impact on the local economy, families and community or the housing market	Probability - 5; Impact - 4	Probability - 5; Impact - 2	December 2016

This page is intentionally left blank

		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			
RESOURCES	FINANCIAL	Year end forecast variance against budget - FHDC	(£45,001.00)	-	M	Cumulative		Palace House £40k, PropMgmt (£38k), CarPark (£22k), Waste £22k). As detailed in the budget monitoring report.	CUSTOMERS	SATISFACTION	Number of formal complaints	2	No target	B	Period only		2 - Waste	
		Year end forecast variance against budget - SEBC	(£715,330.00)	-	M	Cumulative		Car parking (£302), Waste (£153k), Property Mgmt (£61k), Apex (£52k). As detailed in the budget monitoring report.			Number of formal compliments	25	No target	B	Period only		15 - Waste, 1 - Street Cleansing, 4 - Leisure	
		Income from entire property portfolio	(£3,110,810.63)	(£3,086,966.00)	M	Cumulative		Please enter a comment to explain variance		SERVICE	% of calls answered - Waste	95.00	90.00	M	Period only		Call answer rate on target for December	
		Income from waste & street scene services	(£1,968,157.54)	(£1,721,328.00)	M	Cumulative		Please enter a comment to explain variance			% of calls answered - Apex Box Office	95.00	90.00	M	Period only		Call answer rate on target for December	
		% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days	92.71	95.00	M	Cumulative		837 invoices processed in December.										
		% of debt over 90 days old	61.59	10.00	M	Period only		FHDC debt £130,053.19 - 47.44% over 90 days. SEBC debt £448,075.43 - 65.69% over 90 days.										
		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			
INTERNAL PROCESSES	WASTE MANAGEMENT	Number of household bins not collected as scheduled -per 10,000 properties	3.18	8.25	M	Period only		Please enter a comment to explain variance	OUTCOMES	WASTE MANAGEMENT	% of household waste recycled and composted	47.45	42.00	Q	Cumulative		Please enter a comment to explain variance	
											PROPERTY	% of industrial units that are vacant	9.00	8.25	M	Cumulative		FHDC vacancy rate - 17.15% (24 properties)This includes the 10 new properties at Sam Alper which the Council took control of in late December; SEBC vacancy rate 3.91% (9 properties).

PROJECTS	Name	Project Lead	Project Stage	Project Status	Approval details	Approved budget	Forecast Spend	Variance	Comments

RISK	RISK ID NUMBER	Type	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	WS Residual Risk	Last updated
	WS6 (on all scorecards)	Political	Managing public / councillor expectations with less resources	Falling short of providing the level of service that the public and councillors expect and demand.	Probability - 5; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS14 (on all scorecards)	Physical / Social / Legal	Service failure through unplanned events	Reduced level or failure to deliver services to both internal and external clients due to unforeseen events.	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 2	December 2016

This page is intentionally left blank

		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			
RESOURCES	FINANCIAL	Year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - FHDC	(£11,616.00)	-	M	Cumulative		Hous.Dev (£7k), Hous.B&P £6k, Hous.Opt (£7k). As detailed in the budget monitoring report.	CUSTOMERS	SATISFACTION	Number of formal complaints	2	No target	B	Period only		2 - Housing Options	
		Year end forecast variance (under) / over spend against budget - SEBC	£ 36,781.00	-	M	Cumulative		This quarter has seen an increase in spend on Homeless £34k and £24k on B&B which reflects the national trend and is likely to continue into the future, Hous.Dev (£19k). As detailed in the budget monitoring report.			Number of formal compliments	0	No target	B	Period only			
		DFG mandatory grants paid £	£ 252,489.94	£ 630,900.00	M	Cumulative		Increase in the level of spend. Partners working on measures to improve HIA, including change in senior management. There are a significant number of cases in the system which should be completed in the final quarter to raise the spend.		SERVICE	Customer Services % of answered calls - housing	93.00	90.00	M	Period only		Call answer rate on target for December	
		% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days	88.46	95.00	M	Period only		78 invoices processed in December.			% Private Rented Sector properties with rent at or below the Local Housing Allowance Rate	4.00	4.00	Q	Cumulative		Figure reflects ongoing difficulty in accessing affordable private sector housing.	
		% of debt over 90 days old	97.86	10.00	M	Cumulative		FHDC debt £6,680.86 - 100.00% over 90 days. SEBC debt £7,106.40 - 95.94% over 90 days.										
	STAFF	Cases per member of staff - Housing Options	20.00	20-30	M	Period only		Number of cases within acceptable level, however the complexity of cases is increasing										
		Cases per member of staff - Housing Standards	45.00	50-60	Q	Period only		Manageable workload, will continue to monitor. A secondment has ended and the member of staff has returned to the service.										
		Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			Current Value	Target	Frequency	Type	Trend	Comments			
INTERNAL PROCESSES	HOUSING OPTIONS	Average time taken to make decisions on homelessness applications (days)	18	14	Q	Period only		There have been staff changes in the team and the service continues to manage an increasing demand.	OUTCOMES	HOUSING OPTIONS	Numbers in Bands A & B	897	1,300	M	Cumulative			
	STRATEGIC HOUSING	Additional housing units registered with WSLP	6	45	Q	Period only		Securing additional units continues to prove to be extremely difficult due to pressure in the private rented sector. Project review currently underway and will be completed by the end of March 2017			Household numbers in B&B	10	10	M	Cumulative		The figure relates to current requirements but we can see this increasing especially in the St Edmundsbury area. Another 11 units of temporary accommodation are being sought to replace heron house and provide accommodation in Mildenhall.	
		Empty properties brought back into use through Council intervention	15	7	Q	Cumulative		Above our annual target. We are also working on enforcement actions to bring longer-term homes back into use through our project.		STRATEGIC HOUSING	Number of new affordable homes delivered available for occupation	123	109	Q	Cumulative		Number of affordable properties delivered this year will exceed target as a number of schemes have been delivered ahead of schedule	
		% of units that are affordable on S106 sites	30.00	30.00	Q	Period only		Percentage of affordable housing secured through S106 has been maintained at policy compliant level		HOUSING STANDARDS	Private sector Properties brought up to standard	58	45	Q	Cumulative		On target. This evidences the operational work to make properties across the private sector safe for occupiers, using enforcement actions where necessary.	

PROJECTS	Name	Project Lead	Project Stage	Project Status	Approval details	Approved budget	Forecast Spend	Variance	Comments

RISK	RISK ID NUMBER	Type	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	WS Residual Risk	Last updated
	WS6 (on all scorecards)	Political	Managing public / councillor expectations with less resources	Falling short of providing the level of service that the public and councillors expect and demand.	Probability - 5; Impact - 4	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS8c	Political / Social	Failure to deliver Housing Agenda	Opportunities being missed to create or influence the provision of: (i) sufficient housing for current and future generations, including more affordable homes and improvements to existing housing; (ii) new developments that are fit for the future, properly supported by infrastructure, and that build communities, not just housing; (iii) homes that are flexible for people's changing needs.	Probability - 5; Impact - 5	Probability - 4; Impact - 4	December 2016
	WS14 (on all scorecards)	Physical / Social / Legal	Service failure through unplanned events	Reduced level or failure to deliver services to both internal and external clients due to unforeseen events.	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 2	December 2016
	WS21	Social / Legal	Safeguarding children and vulnerable adults	Children and vulnerable adults being treated in an improper manner and not in accordance with legislation.	Probability - 3; Impact - 4	Probability - 2; Impact - 4	December 2016

This page is intentionally left blank

Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Forest Heath
District Council

Title of Report:	West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Report – December 2016	
Report No:	PAS/FH/17/002	
Report to and date:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	25 January 2017
Portfolio holder:	Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 660158 Email: stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk	
Lead officer:	Joanne Howlett Service Manager - Finance and Performance Tel: 01284 757264 Email: joanne.howlett@westsuffolk.gov.uk	
Purpose of report:	To review the West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report	
Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that: Members scrutinise the updated West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register at <u>Appendix 1</u> and refer any major issues requiring attention to Cabinet.	
Key Decision: <i>(Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)</i>	<i>Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?</i> Yes, it is a Key Decision - <input type="checkbox"/> No, it is not a Key Decision - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Consultation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not Applicable 	
Alternative option(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not Applicable 	

Implications:			
<i>Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are no direct financial or budget implications arising from this report. Specific risks associated with finance and resources are included in the West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register at Appendix 1 	
<i>Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
<i>Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
<i>Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
<i>Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Risk/opportunity assessment:		<i>(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)</i>	
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)
See individual assessments against each risk as detailed in Appendix 1			
Ward(s) affected:		All Wards	
Background papers: <i>(all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)</i>		None	
Documents attached:		Appendix 1 - West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register 2016/2017	

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

1.1 Key Issues and Summary

- 1.1.1 The West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register is updated regularly by the Risk Management Group. The Group is comprised of service representatives, including Health and Safety, supported by a Director and the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Governance and Performance. Heads of Service may be required to provide further information as requested by the Group.
- 1.1.2 At its most recent assessment in December 2016, the Group reviewed the Target Risk, the risk level where the Council aims to be, and agreed a Current Risk assessment. These assessments form the revised West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register at **Appendix 1**.
- 1.1.3 Part of this assessment included the consideration of the controls and actions in place to address the individual risks. Where Target Risk levels are lower than the Current Risk assessment, further action is either being taken or planned in order to treat the risk and meet the target.
- 1.1.4 Some individual controls or actions have been updated and those that were not ongoing and had been completed by December 2016 have been removed from the register.

1.2 New or Amended Risks

- 1.2.1 There have been no major amendments to current risks nor any new risks added during this reporting period. Where necessary actions, controls and target dates have been updated.

1.3 Closed Risks

- 1.3.1 No existing risks have been closed since the Strategic Risk Register was last reported to this committee.

1.4 EU Referendum

- 1.4.1 The group will continue to monitor the situation as it develops over the next quarter, amending existing and / or adding new risks where necessary. These changes will be reported at the next meeting in the normal manner.

1.5 Reasons for Recommendations

- 1.5.1 The Council's Strategic Plan for 2014/2016, adopted by the Council in February 2014, includes three key priority areas supported by a range of actions to deliver specific outcomes.
- 1.5.2 The West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register identifies and records the level of risk associated with delivering the Council's plans alongside meeting its statutory responsibilities and the organisation's overall ability to respond to change. Through assessment of risk and the likelihood and impact of potential failure to meet these challenges, the level of controls and where possible, action required is identified and implemented.

This page is intentionally left blank

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
WS1 A	10-Jul-14	Financial	Head of Resources and Performance	Poor financial management	Failure in specific areas to achieve projected income, or expenditure exceeds the approved budgets (revenue or capital).		C	1) Monthly monitoring reports (revenue and capital) to budget holders. Quarterly revenue and capital monitoring reports to PASC.	Head of Resources & Performance	N/A	N/A	
							C	2) Business rate retention income and localising of Council tax being monitored monthly by Finance and ARP	Head of Resources & Performance	N/A	N/A	
							C	3) Regular meetings between budget holders and Resources and Performance business advisors/partners	Service Managers / Business Partners / Advisers	N/A	N/A	
							C	4) Scrutiny of financial reports by LT and Members through Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	LT	N/A	N/A	
							A	5) New joint financial management system now in place, development of more comprehensive budget planning, monitoring and reporting processes including training for budget holders	Head of Resources & Performance	Apr-15	Dec-15 Completed	
							A	6) Strengthen the overall Performance Management Framework. E.g. Balanced Scorecards, PDRs, (also see WS18).	Head of Resources & Performance	Apr-15	01/03/2016 Completed	
							C	7) Regular updates of projects, assessment of any additional risks associated with new projects.	LT	Sep-16	N/A	
							C	8) Monitoring of investment decisions and original business cases targets/outcomes through an Officer group.	Head of Resources & Performance	N/A	N/A	
							C	9) 2017/18 Budget now focusing on bridging budget gaps across the MTFs, rather than addressing one year at a time.	Head of Resources & Performance	Sep-16	N/A	
WS1 B	10-Jul-14	Financial	Head of Resources and Performance	Poor financial planning	Failure to deliver a sustainable Medium Term Financial Strategy, especially in view of continued financial uncertainty around areas such as Comprehensive Spending Review, localisation of Business Rates, increased service demand, and use of reserves. Over reliance on any one particular MTFs theme such as behaving more commercially or being an investing authority		A	1) Budget preparation for 2017/18 - 2019/20 ,re-focus on MTFs, and uncertainty regarding Business Rate Retention in 2020 continues to challenge all six MTFs themes. Proposals include LT peer scrutiny.	LT	N/A	31/03/2016 Completed	
							C	2) Demand trends and financial implications validated as part of budget setting. Using monitoring reports to identify trends.	Service Managers / Business Partners / Advisers	N/A	N/A	
							C	3) Medium Term Financial Strategy update - including review of assumptions, sensitivity analysis and review of reserve and balance levels	Head of Resources and Performance	N/A	N/A	
							C	4) Scrutiny of financial reports by LT and Members through Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	LT	N/A	N/A	
							C	5) Monitor Government statements on future of local government funding	LT	N/A	N/A	
							C	6) New investment proposals to be considered through the Councils governance and decision making process including challenge by the Officer programme and investment groups.	LT	N/A	N/A	
							C	7) Use of data and intelligence in forecasting future scenarios.	LT	N/A	N/A	
							C	8) Keep a careful eye on Brexit implications re European funding and service delivery	LT	N/A	N/A	
							A	9) Project resources review	LT	Sep-16	Initial report to Cabinet Planning 10/01/17, then on-going.	

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
WS2	10-Jul-14	Customer	Head of Families & Communities	Maintain and promote our public image, maintain effective communications	<p>Councils being portrayed in the media (including social media) in a way which undermines public trust and confidence. Councils' poor reputation preventing them from entering into positive partnerships with others, or securing funding. Lack of public trust and confidence in the councils that could affect their ability to work WITH communities in achieving the strategic priorities and to achieve behaviour change (e.g. around recycling, channel shift etc.).</p> <p>This could also potentially impact on our ability to recruit staff in competitive market.</p>		C	1) Monitor media coverage through daily media alerts and, where appropriate, provide a robust response.	Comms Team	N/A	N/A	
							C	2) Proactively engage with news and social media to disseminate information about West Suffolk services, engage local communities, and address errors or	Comms Team	N/A	N/A	
							C	3) Train and support staff and Members in proactive communications and dealing with media.	Comms Team	N/A	N/A	
							C	4) Deliver a communications work programme which focuses on proactive communications.	Comms Team	N/A	On-going	
							A	5) Ensure that appropriate communications planning and support are identified for strategic projects as per individual project plans	Comms Team	Aug-14	On-going	
							C	6) Carry out timely and proportionate consultation that is available in an accessible format for everyone who wants to give us their views on a particular matter.	Policy Team	N/A	N/A	

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
WS3	10-Jul-14	Customer	Head of Families & Communities	Failure to deliver channel shift (Customer Access Strategy)	Service delivery methods do not meet customer needs or expectations with potential to damage Councils' reputation; customer expectations may need to be more carefully managed in new financial climate; services fail to deliver savings in required time scale or maintain quality; excessive demands on staff time.		C	1) Continue to develop new web presence with full digital by default capability.	Head of Families & Communities	N/A	N/A	
							C	2) Clear and consistent public communications to explain changes to services and establish realistic expectations of service levels.	Service Manager (Corporate Communications)	N/A	N/A	
							C	3) Continuing development to ensure web site remains fit for purpose.	Head of Families & Communities	N/A	N/A	
							C	4) Anglia Revenues Partnership, (ARP), project to rewrite and redesign website now underway. Customer service support to be provided to ensure there is an effective customer journey.	Head of Families & Communities, Head of Resources and Performance	N/A	Completed	
							A	5) Anglia Revenues Partnership, (ARP), Strategic (Transformation) Plan outlining the future role of ARP to be developed.	Director (JC)	Jul-16	Mar-17	
WS4	10-Jul-14	Professional	Head of Human Resources, Legal & Democratic Services	Staff retention (professional staff / technical staff). Staff trust and goodwill (morale)	Lack of staff skills, experience and capacity could prevent delivery of services and high levels of performance. Failure to have motivated staff with appropriate workload.		A	1) Review of induction programme completed. Continue to develop the corporate induction and training programme for staff and members to ensure content remains up to date and relevant.	HR Business Partner	Jun-14	On-going	
							A	2) OD strategy now in plan encompassing recruitment; succession planning; talent management and pay & reward scheme. Talent management now linked to PDR programme.	HR Business Partner	Jun-14	On-going	
							C	3) Regularly evaluate outcome of Performance Reviews to identify talent management to inform succession planning.	Head of HR, Legal and Democratic Services	N/A	N/A	
							C	4) New intranet facilities providing consistent and regular communication to officers and members, with the opportunity to provide feedback now in place.	Service Manager (Corporate Communications)	N/A	Sep-16	
							A	5) Annual workforce monitoring data presented to the West Suffolk Joint Staff Consultative Panel; no significant issues raised.	Head of HR, Legal & Democratic Services / HR Business Partner	Jun-14	On-going	
							A	6) Salary benchmarking has been undertaken and remains under review. Recruitment monitoring has highlighted some challenging areas which we are working on to address. Maintain focus on strong employer brand. Monitoring/review of payline and structure may need to be considered. National work commencing on the NJC/Job Evaluation/Reward work linked to the National Living Wage and the changing roles of the 21C Public Servant.	Head of HR, Legal and Democratic Services	Jun-14	Mar-17	
							A	7) Review of skills and structures to ensure successful delivery of MTFS and associated growth projects	Heads of Service and Service Managers	Dec-16	On-going	
WS6	10-Jul-14	Political	Chief Executive	Managing public / councillor expectations with less resources	Falling short of providing the level of service that the public and councillors expect and demand.		C	1) Understand and communicate priorities and expectations through Strategic Plan and MTFS	LT	N/A	Oct-17	
							C	2) Assign dedicated corporate project resources to support new projects as they arise.	LT	N/A	N/A	

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
							A	3) Review and align service and skilled resources available to the strategic plan including communicate resources.	LT	Jun-14	On-going	
							C	4) Regular monitoring and update discussions with portfolio holders on the corporate project plan progress	LT	N/A	N/A	

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
								C	5) Regular monitoring of Balanced Scorecards to include complaints, compliments and trends.			
WS7	10-Jul-14	Technological Financial Customer	Corporate Programme Manager / All HoS	Poor project management	Key strategic outcomes not being delivered due to projects failing to be completed on time. Budgets are overspent due to delays. Peaks and troughs in resource demands for support services are not managed, resulting in unmanageable workloads for e.g. IT team, exacerbating the delays.		A	1) Maintain and develop an efficient programme and project management framework and team (led by Service Manager Corporate Policy).	Service Manager Corporate Policy	Jun-14	On-going	
							A	2) Maintain oversight of corporate project plan, to avoid concurrent demands on support services	Service Manager Corporate Policy	Jun-14	On-going	
							A	3) Training of all staff involved in project work in core project management skills	L&D team	Jun-14	On-going	
							C	4) Project support and resources to be included in future Project Initiation Documents and project business cases, including ICT support and other support services	LT	N/A	N/A	
							C	5) Early identification of Corporate capacity / priorities as part of business plan / project initiation. Review of project workstream to assist with prioritisation.	LT	N/A	N/A	
							C	6) Carry out Project Health Checks.	LT	N/A	N/A	
WS7a	10-Jul-14	Technological	Head of Resources and Performance	ICT integration	Integration of ICT across services and systems not being achieved. Failure to keep Business Applications aligned.		A	1) Maintain alignment of ICT infrastructure and corporate systems through corporate project plan	Infrastructure Support Manager	Jun-14	On-going	
							A	2) Continued Business Applications integration / alignment – including, Customer Access solution, Waste Management, GIS system, Agresso Financial Management System (phase 2), Planning Idox System - through corporate project plan	Project Managers & Service Manager (ICT)	Jun-14	System updates and improvements continue to be made	
							C	3) Regular review of both integration programmes through corporate projects plan.	Service Manager Corporate Policy/ LT	N/A	N/A	
							C	4) Monthly testing of the Council PSN compliance including the checking and monitoring of new and existing staff. No tolerance approach adopted.	Infrastructure Support Manager	N/A	N/A	
							A	5) Development of a West Suffolk Information Strategy and links to the wider public sector integration agenda (Transformation Challenge Award). New ICT Manager starts 3 Jan 2017.	Service Manager (ICT)	Jun-14	Initial set up completed, project on-going.	
WS8	10-Jul-14	Political Social										
	(a)		Head of Families & Communities	Failure to deliver; Families & Communities agenda	Opportunities being missed to create or influence the provision of:		C	1) Initial Families & Community Strategy now complete. Continuous development and review of strategy to ensure that it remains fit for purpose.	Service Manager (Families & Communities)	N/A	N/A	
			(i) a thriving voluntary sector and active communities who take the initiative to help the most vulnerable		C		2) Continue to develop the Families and Communities Officers role and new ways of working with councillors and the wider team.	Service Manager (Families & Communities)	N/A	N/A		
			(ii) people playing a greater role in determining the future of their communities		A		3) Locality budgets and Community Chest funds available. Ensure both are used effectively and as intended.	Service Manager (Families & Communities)	Oct-13	On-going		
			(iii). improved wellbeing, physical and mental health									
			(iv) accessible countryside and green spaces									

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
	(b)		Head of Planning & Growth	Failure to deliver; Growth Agenda inc coping with growth and increase in demand	Opportunities being missed to create or influence the provision of:		C	1) Developing engagement with the two Local Enterprise Partnerships. Deliver Six Point Plan for Jobs and Growth. Monitoring the local economy.	Head of Planning & Growth	N/A	N/A	
				(i) beneficial growth that enhances prosperity and quality of life			C	2) Small budget to support businesses with grants. Business rate income being closely monitored from April 2013 by ARP. Developing Inward Investment strategy. Increase Business engagement	Head of Planning & Growth	N/A	N/A	
				(ii) existing businesses that are thriving and new businesses brought to the area			C	3) Support to WSC, SCC, UCS and other agencies involved with skills development. Monitoring attainment levels.	Head of Planning & Growth	N/A	N/A	
				(iii) people with the educational attainment and skills needed in our local economy			C	4) Continue to develop close working relationships with Whitehall, Norfolk partners, LEPS to influence the design of any devolution agreements and business rates retention schemes.	Head of Planning & Growth	N/A	N/A	
				(iv) vibrant, attractive and clean high streets, village centres and markets			A	5) Development and delivery of Local Plans	Head of Planning & Growth	Dec-15	On-going	
							A	6) Continued development of enterprise zones. Development of a joint plan for 2020/21.			Feb-17	
	(c)		Head of Housing / Head of Planning & Growth	Failure to deliver; Housing Agenda	Opportunities being missed to create or influence the provision of:		C	1) West Suffolk Housing strategy adopted, implementation of agreed Action Plan, with annual monitoring	Head of Housing	N/A	N/A	
				(i) sufficient housing for current and future generations, including more affordable homes and improvements to existing housing			C	2) Cambridge Sub-regional Strategic Housing Market Assessment completed 2008 to identify levels of need, with annual updates and reviews.	Head of Housing	N/A	N/A	
				(ii) new developments that are fit for the future, properly supported by infrastructure, and that build communities, not just housing			C	3) Local Investment Plan 2014-18 approved by HCA, now working with RP partners to deliver. Quarterly monitoring of plan and annual review.	Head of Housing	N/A	N/A	
				(iii) homes that are flexible for people's changing needs			C	4) West Suffolk Choice Based Lettings Scheme regularly reviewed to reflect changes in legislation. Scheme re-tendered June 2015, with new system fully operational by June 2016, operation of scheme monitored through CBL Management Board.	Service Manager (Housing Options)	N/A	N/A	
							A	5) Review of the West Suffolk Lettings Partnership scheme commenced to assess whether scheme should continue or not.	Service Manager (Housing Options)	Sep-14	Mar-17	
							A	6) Disabled Facilities Grants process and Home Improvement Agency contract reviewed with partners in order to introduce a more co-ordinated and integrated service across agencies. New service introduced in May 2016, there are on-going difficulties with new scheme which has resulted in the need for dedicated contract management to be introduced. A formal review of the contract in currently away which will be concluded in January 2017.	Service Manager (Housing Standards)	Apr-14	Jan-17	
							A	7) Establishment of commercial Housing Development Company in partnership with Suffolk County Council to build open market, private rented and affordable housing, Company incorporated March 2016. Initial Business Plan approved in Dec 2016, with work commencing on delivery plan and seeking planning permission for sites in January 2017.	Head of Housing	Apr-15	See action 7 text for details of dates.	

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
							A	8) Monitor implications of new Housing & Planning Bill proposals - a watching brief. Briefing note on housing aspects of Bill circulated to senior officers and members in March 16. Still awaiting publication by DCLG of details on how the details of the Bill will be enacted." Housing White Paper also expected to be published in January 2017.	Head of Housing	Apr-16	See action 8 text for details of dates.	

							Type: A = Action, C = Control					
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
WS11	10-Jul-14	Economic Financial Competitive	Chief Executive / Directors	Failure to adapt to new public sector models, explore opportunities with partners	West Suffolk fails to deliver better services for public sector customers (regardless of the organisation), fails to close its budget gap due to missing opportunities for new sources of funding and opportunities for savings through economies of scale and better integration; or fails to take account of wider changes in national and local government legislation and policy (including EU).		C	1) Keep a watching brief on, and disseminate information on new policies, funding models and opportunities through DCLG, RSN, LGA, EELGA etc.	Policy Team	N/A	N/A	
							C	2) Maintain good relationships with public sector partners, e.g. CCG, SCOLT, SCEG, ARP authorities to hear of, and take opportunities arising from opportunities for partnership working.	Chief Executive and Directors	N/A	N/A	
							C	3) Robust business cases for identified opportunities.	LT	N/A	N/A	
							C	4) Keeping a watching brief on the new/changing National policies with Suffolk colleagues, including Brexit and local government funding changes and play an active part in the Devolution process for Norfolk, Suffolk and the wider East Anglia area, (also see WS8(b) 4).	Chief Executive and Directors	N/A	N/A	
							A	5) Lead the integration and rationalisation of the public estate through membership, and local leadership, of the Government's One Public Estate Programme.	Director	Jul-14	On-going	
							A	6) LT document "West Suffolk: Promoting Physical Activity"			Completed	
							A	Explore shared services opportunities with other Local Authorities	LT	Apr-15	On-going	
WS12	10-Jul-14	Partnership	Head of Planning & Growth	Loss of a key employer (for example USAFE, Racing Industry, Greene King, WS Hospital, Centre Parcs, British Sugar) Please see Risk WS22 for USAFE.	Failure to retain major employers in the area and the economic impact that it would have		A	1)Liaison with the key employers to understand issues and opportunities by: coordinating and attending the West Suffolk Business Forum; organising the West Suffolk Business Festival (which provides opportunities for engagement with key employers); arranging visits to key employers for Leadership Team; promoting the ED team as a key point of contact for businesses and as a result responding to concerns and issues raised; and meeting and supporting business leaders in conjunction with the New Anglia Local Enterprise Partnership Growth Hub advisors.	Head of Planning & Growth	Jun-14	On-going	
							C	2) Ensuring there is sufficient employment land / premises for expansion.	Head of Planning & Growth	N/A	N/A	
							C	3) Understand skills shortage and requirements by linking business to education providers and encourage businesses to take on apprentices.	Head of Planning & Growth	N/A	N/A	
							A	4) Help businesses access third party funding.	Head of Planning & Growth	Jun-14	On-going	
							A	5) Further development of the six point jobs and growth plan.	Head of Planning & Growth	Jun-14	On-going	
							A	6) In the worst case scenario (actions 1 - 5 ineffective) the ED team liaises with key partners such as Job Centre Plus and West Suffolk College to mitigate the impact of downsizing/restructuring.	Head of Planning & Growth			
WS13	10-Jul-14	Partnership Financial	Directors	Partner / Public Sector failure	Partners or partnerships failing; cost shunting (transfer of costs between partners); partnerships not achieving desired outcomes.		C	1) Ensure robust SLA (Service Level Agreement) & Joint Venture arrangements are in place. Ensure good due diligence procedures are used.	All HoS	N/A	N/A	
							C	2) Regular monitoring of arrangements / outcomes.	All HoS	Jun-14	N/A	
							A	3) Regular meetings with key partners, including fortnightly Suffolk CEO meetings to discuss impact and potential response of the Suffolk wide system. Ensure effective engagement in the Transformation Challenge Award.	All HoS	Jun-14	On-going	

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
						Impact	A	4) Ensure effective engagement in the Transformation Challenge Award.	CEO and LT	Jun-14	On-going	Impact
							C	5) Understand the cumulative impact of complex partnership delivery arrangements.	CEO and LT	Dec-15	N/A	
WS14	10-Jul-14	Physical Social Legal	Director	Service failure through unplanned events	Reduced level or failure to deliver services to both internal and external clients due to unforeseen events.		A	1) Services must have a workable Business Continuity Plan in place.	Heads of Service/All staff	Aug-14	On-going	
							C	2) Combined West Suffolk Business Continuity Plan is in place for major identified threats, regularly reviewed and practised.	LT	N/A	N/A	
							C	3) Appointed officers within each service to be responsible for the continuity plans.	Heads of Service / Appointed Officers	N/A	N/A	

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
WS16	10-Jul-14	Legal	Director	Breach of data protection and information security	Failure to ensure the accuracy and control of data. Not using good practice when handling data. Damage to council's reputation. Avoid legal challenge. Prevent potential claims for compensation.		C	1) Information governance group coordinates councils' approach to risks.	Director	N/A	N/A	
							C	2) Records Management Working Group to coordinate councils' approach to records management.	Director	N/A	N/A	
							C	3) Regular buildings checks to ensure information is held securely.	Service Manager (Internal Audit)	N/A	N/A	
							A	4) Entrance barriers to staff entrance at WSH now installed. Barriers to other entry points to be kept under review with partners at SCC.	Service Manager (Property Services)	Aug-14	Nov-15 Barriers to staff entrance completed	
							A	5) Improve staff and member communication on good practices and data security.	Service Manager (Corporate Communications)	Apr-14	On-going	
							A	6) Information Security e-learning - 1st phase, existing officers, completed. All new staff and members to complete module as part of induction programme.	Director	Apr-14	On-going	
WS18	10-Jul-14	Customer Financial Professional	Head of Resources & Performance	Poor Performance Management	Risk of individual services having below par performance levels and possible dips in performance while establishing new service models.		C	1) Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee (PASC) receive comprehensive performance monitoring report.	Head of Resources & Performance / R&P Business	N/A	N/A	
							A	2) Early identification, reporting and monitoring of potential problem areas.	Service Managers / Business Partners / Advisers	Aug-14	On-going	
							C	3) Strengthen the overall Performance Management Framework- review of the Balanced Scorecard as a performance management tool.	Head of Resources & Performance	N/A	N/A	
							C	4) Use PDR's to aid early identification of potential problem areas.	Line Managers	N/A	N/A	
WS19	10-Jul-14	Economic Social	All HoS	Demographic changes	Unable to meet the demands created by population changes (caused by growth, ageing, diversity, employment) including the impact on infrastructure and other related service provision.		C	1) Key services (planning, housing and waste) use forecasting models (e.g. East of England forecasting model, POPGROUP) to build population change into future service planning.	Head of Housing/ Planning & Growth/Operations	N/A	N/A	
							A	2) Monitor, research and analysis around demographics through DCLG, ONS, LGA, LGC and other sources and share key findings with relevant services.	Policy Team	Jun-14	On-going	
							A	3) Attend meetings of Suffolk Information Forum and Transformation Challenge Award Data and Intelligence work stream to share best practice around population monitoring and forecasting. NB particular attention needs to be paid to Forest Heath due to population forecasts not being able to deal accurately with USAFE population.	Policy Team	Jun-14	On-going	

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
WS20	10-Jul-14	Physical	Head of Human Resources, Legal & Democratic Services	Implementation of the Corporate Health and Safety Policy	Failure to ensure the safety and well being of staff. Failure to provide safe and healthy environment for visitors and the general public. Risk of HSE (Health & Safety Executive) prosecutions.		C	1) Corporate Health and Safety strategy, objectives and implementation plans in place for all internal and external functions performed by the Council.	Health & Safety Manager	N/A	N/A	
							A	2) Well being programme in place.	Health & Safety Manager	Jun-14	On-going	
							C	3) Requirement for all staff to complete online H&S training and members to complete appropriate H&S induction programme.	Health & Safety Manager	N/A	N/A	
							A	4) Communications to staff.	Health & Safety Manager	Jun-14	On-going	
							A	5) Appropriate insurances in place and regularly reviewed.	Health & Safety Manager	Jun-14	On-going	
							C	6) Continue a programme of health and safety audits according to H&S Risk.	Health & Safety Manager	N/A	N/A	
WS21	10-Jul-14	Social Legal	Head of Housing	Safeguarding children and vulnerable adults	Children and vulnerable adults being treated in an improper manner and not in accordance with legislation.		A	1) Working in Countywide safeguarding partnership.	Head of Housing	Jul-09	On-going	
							C	2) Safe recruitment procedures are adopted for all staff recruitment.	Head of HR, Legal & Dem Services	Jul-09	On-going	
							A	3) Regular staff and member training and briefing sessions taking place included as part of induction and training programme.	Head of Housing / HR, Legal & Dem Services	Jun-14	On-going	
							C	4) Operational links into the MASH (Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub) to be reviewed to ensure appropriate referrals are being made.	Head of Housing	N/A	N/A	
							A	5) Ensure appropriate training is provided to front-line staff.	Head of Families & Communities	Nov-15	01/04/2016 Initial training completed - continued ongoing development.	
WS22	21-Apr-15	Economic and social	Director	Effects of the closure of RAF Mildenhall	Negative impact on the local economy, families and community or the housing market		A	1) Update the Mildenhall, Alconbury and Molesworth Working Group governance to reflect Mildenhall and Lakenheath issues.	Director (JK)	Feb-15	Jan-17	
							A	2) Co-ordinate and lead the Forest Heath member-led local Mildenhall and Lakenheath Airbases Group	Director (JK)	Mar-15	On-going	
							A	3) Commission an impact study to measure the impact of the USAFE on West Suffolk and the surrounding areas. Submission of prospectus to Central Government on future delivery of site by Mid November 16. Working closely with Cabinet Office, Treasury & HCA to influence Government thinking.	Director (JK)	Apr-15	Prospectus completed. Working with Cabinet Office etc. on-going	
							A	4) Work with external partners (including USAFE and UK Military) and internal departments to consider the actions to mitigate the impact of the net loss in USAFE personnel and also to consider opportunities for the RAF Mildenhall site in the context of the Government's One Public Estate Programme.	Director (JK)	Feb-15	On-going	

Type: A = Action, C = Control												
RISK ID NUMBER	Date risk added to register	Type	Current Owner	Title	Description - What are we trying to avoid?	WS Inherent Risk	Type	Summary of Controls / Actions - What we are doing / need to do to prevent it.	Who is responsible for the actions	Start date	Target completion date/ Complete	WS Residual Risk
							A	5) Set up a joint MOD, HCA, SCC & FHDC project team for the future of the site. Jointly investigate site conditions and site master plan.	Director (JK)	Dec-16	Project team Mar 17. Master plan 2018	

This page is intentionally left blank

Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Forest Heath
District Council

Title of Report:	Work Programme Update	
Report No:	PAS/FH/17/003	
Report to and date:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	25 January 2017
Chairman of the Committee:	Louis Busuttil Chairman of the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee Tel: 01638 810517 Email: louis.busuttil@forest-heath.gov.uk	
Lead officer:	Christine Brain Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny) Tel: 01638 719729 Email: Christine.brain@westsuffolk.gov.uk	
Purpose of report:	Members are asked to consider and note the current status of its Work Programme attached at Appendix 1 .	
Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that: Members consider and <u>note</u> the current status of its Work Programme for 2017-2018.	

Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee Work Programme (Forest Heath District Council)

Description	Lead Officer
25 May 2017 (Time: 5.00pm)	
Informal Joint Meeting (Hosted by Forest Heath District Council)	
Joint Reports	
Internal Audit Report (2016-2017) and Outline Internal Audit Plan (2017-2018)	Service Manager (Internal Audit)
Balanced Scorecard and Quarter 4 Performance Report 2016-2017	Head of Resources and Performance
West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register – Quarter 4	Head of Resources and Performance
Work Programme Update	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)
Forest Heath Specific Reports	
EY – Certification of Claims and Returns Annual Report 2015-2016	Head of Resources and Performance
EY – Presentation of the External Audit Plan and Fees 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 Indicative Fees	Head of Resources and Performance
Financial Outturn Report (Revenue and Capital) 2016-2017	Head of Resources and Performance
July 2017 (Time: 5.00pm)	
Informal Joint Meeting (Hosted by St Edmundsbury Borough Council)	
Joint Reports	
Balanced Scorecard and Quarter 1 Performance Report 2017-2018	Head of Resources and Performance
West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register – Quarter 1 – June 2017	Head of Resources and Performance
Work Programme Update	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)
Forest Heath Specific Reports	
Financial Performance Report (Revenue and Capital) Quarter 1 – 2017-2018	Head of Resources and Performance
Annual Treasury Management Report 2016-2017 and Investment Activity (April – June 2017)	Head of Resources and Performance

September 2017 (Time: 5.00pm)	
EY – Presentation of 2016-2017 ISA 260 Annual Results Report to those Charged with Governance	Head of Resources and Performance
West Suffolk Annual Governance Statement 2016-2017	Head of Resources and Performance
2016-2017 Statement of Accounts	Head of Resources and Performance
Annual Corporate Environmental Statement 2016-2017	Environment Manager
Work Programme Update	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)
November 2017 (Time: 5.00pm) Informal Joint Meeting (Hosted by Forest Heath District Council)	
Joint Reports	
Mid-year Internal Audit Progress Report 2017-2018	Service Manager (Internal Audit)
Balanced Scorecards Quarter 2 Performance Report 2017-2018	Head of Resources and Performance
West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report – September 2017	Head of Resources and Performance
Work Programme Update	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)
Forest Heath Specific Reports	
EY – Presentation of Annual Audit Letter 2016-2017	Head of Resources and Performance
Financial Performance Report (Revenue and Capital) Quarter 2 – 2017-2018	Head of Resources and Performance
Delivering a Sustainable Budget Medium Term Financial Strategy 2018-2020	Head of Resources and Performance
Mid-year Treasury Management Report and Investment Activity (April – September 2017)	Head of Resources and Performance
January 2018 (Time: 5.00pm) Informal Joint Meeting (Hosted by St Edmundsbury Borough Council)	
Joint Reports	
Balanced Scorecards Quarter 3 Performance Report 2017-2018	Head of Resources and Performance
West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register Quarterly Monitoring Report – December 2017	Head of Resources and Performance
Work Programme Update	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)
Forest Heath Specific Reports	
Financial Performance Report (Revenue and Capital) Quarter 3 – 2017-2018	Head of Resources and Performance
Delivering a Sustainable Budget Medium Term Financial Strategy 2018/20 – Update	Head of Resources and Performance
Treasury Management Report 2017-2018 and Investment Activity (April – December 2017)	Head of Resources and Performance
Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements 2018-2019	Head of Resources and Performance

May 2018 (Time: 5.00pm)	
Informal Joint Meeting (Hosted by Forest Heath District Council)	
Joint Reports	
Internal Audit Report (2017-2018) and Outline Internal Audit Plan (2018-2019)	Service Manager (Internal Audit)
Balanced Scorecard and Quarter 4 Performance Report 2017-2018	Head of Resources and Performance
West Suffolk Strategic Risk Register – Quarter 4	Head of Resources and Performance
Work Programme Update	Democratic Services Officer (Scrutiny)
Forest Heath Specific Reports	
EY – Presentation of the Annual Certification Report 2016-2017	Head of Resources and Performance
EY – Presentation of the External Audit Plan and Fees 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 Indicative Fees	Head of Resources and Performance
Financial Outturn Report (Revenue and Capital) 2017-2018	Head of Resources and Performance

Future Items to be Programmed

- 1) Key Performance Indicator (WS/HOU009) – Report on the Future of the West Suffolk Lettings Partnership

Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Forest Heath
District Council

Title of Report:	Financial Performance Report (Revenue and Capital) Quarter 3 – 2016-17	
Report No:	PAS/FH/17/004	
Report to and dates:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	25 January 2017
Portfolio holder:	Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources, Governance and Performance Tel: 01638 660518 Email: Stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk	
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk	
Purpose of report:	This report sets out the Financial Performance for the third quarter of 2016-17 and forecasted outturn position for 2016-17.	
Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: Members are requested to <u>note</u> the year end forecast financial position and forward any relevant issues or comments to Cabinet for their consideration.	
Key Decision: <i>(Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)</i>	<i>Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?</i> Yes, it is a Key Decision - <input type="checkbox"/> No, it is not a Key Decision - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Consultation:		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This report and the figures therein have been compiled by the Finance team in consultation with the relevant budget holders, services and Leadership Team. 	
Alternative option(s):		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In order for the Council to be able to meet its strategic priorities it is essential that sufficient and appropriate financial resources are available. 	
Implications:			
Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As set out in the body of this report. 	
Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As outlined in the body of this report. 	
Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Risk/opportunity assessment:		<i>(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)</i>	
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)
	Low/Medium/ High*		Low/Medium/ High*
Budget variances	High	Clear responsibilities for budget monitoring and control ensure that there is strong accountability for each individual budget line. Budget monitoring is undertaken on a monthly basis with budget holders and reported to Leadership Team quarterly.	Low
Wider economic situation around income levels	High	Budgets reflect the economic situation facing the Council, and have been scrutinised by officers and members at budget setting time. Continue to monitor areas closely to ensure assumptions remain reasonable.	Medium
Capital investment plans continue to be affordable, prudent and sustainable	Medium	Prudential Indicators are in place to safeguard the Council	Low

Treasury Management	Medium	Treasury Management Policy and Procedures are in place	Low
Fluctuation in Business rate retention yield	High	Work with ARP to understand the variance to deliver a realistic forecast.	Medium
Ward(s) affected:		All Ward	
Background papers: <i>(all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)</i>		None	
Documents attached:		<p>Appendix A – Revenue budget summary, for the period April to December 2016.</p> <p>Appendix B – Revenue budget detail, for the period April to December 2016.</p> <p>Appendix C – Capital budget summary, for the period April to December 2016.</p> <p>Appendix D – Earmarked Reserves for 2016/17</p>	

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

1.1 Key Issues

- 1.1.1 This is the third quarter financial monitoring report for Forest Heath District Council; which includes year end forecast outturn figures for large variance items we are aware of. We will continue to monitor the position throughout the year and will update members on any change to this position at the next PASC meeting.
- 1.1.2 Details of the Council's revenue performance and year end forecasted outturn position can be found in **Appendix A** and **B**. Explanations of the main year end forecast over/(under) spends can be found in the table at 1.2.3.
- 1.1.3 The Council's capital financial position is summarised below at 1.3. Further details are provided in **Appendix C**.
- 1.1.4 A summary of the earmarked reserves can be found at **Appendix D** along with the forecast year end position for 2016/17.

1.2 Revenue Performance

- 1.2.1 The current forecast position for the year end is expected to be on budget. Explanations of the main year end forecast over / (under) spends can be found in the table at 1.2.3 below.
- 1.2.2 Members are requested to note the current position and the significant variances as outlined in the paragraphs below. Budget holders will continue to work with Resources Business Partners and Business Support Advisors and an updated outturn position will be provided to this committee in February.
- 1.2.3 Year end forecast variances over £25,000 are explained in the table below.

Year end forecast variance: Over / (under) spend £000s	Explanation
(34)	Resources and Performance Savings on salary costs resulting from staffing vacancies (see paragraph 1.2.4) and an expected £22k underspend on software maintenance charges.
(26)	Council Tax Administration: Administration Subsidy Grant is higher than budgeted by £12k due to the government's decision to merge in New Burdens funding. It is also anticipated that income from court costs recovered will exceed expectations by approximately £14k.
Year end forecast	Explanation

variance: Over / (under) spend £000s	
128	<p>General Fund Adjustments:</p> <p>Additional income from the Suffolk Business Rates Pool offset by an overspend on Business Rate Levy payable due to more business rate growth than included in the budget. This additional growth income will be retained in the Collection Fund to be redistributed in future years.</p>
(47)	<p>Corporate Expenditure</p> <p>Savings on salary costs resulting from staffing vacancies (see paragraph 1.2.4).</p>
(29)	<p>Community Development</p> <p>Saving on salary and pension costs associated with the recent changes in the Families and Communities team (see paragraph 1.2.4).</p>
132	<p>Development Control:</p> <p>Overspend arising through additional approved staffing costs (see paragraph 1.2.4), combined with a projected income shortfall of £70k and additional service development costs</p>
65	<p>Environmental Management:</p> <p>Underachievement of budgeted feed-in-tariffs due to changes in Government Legislation and less take-up than initially anticipated.</p>
(180)	<p>Solar Farm (Unbudgeted in 2016/17)</p> <p>Solar Farm went live for Forest Heath in July and is currently generating returns in line with expectations.</p>
(32)	<p>Industrial and Business Units:</p> <p>Rental income currently overachieving against budget, and underspending on landlord costs as a result of better occupancy than anticipated.</p>
40	<p>Palace House and Stables:</p> <p>Building Repairs and Maintenance costs plus one-off £15k payment for business rates unbudgeted. Property costs now picked up by National Horse Racing Museum.</p>

27	<p>Interest Receivable:</p> <p>Reduction in expected income resulting from fewer funds invested overall. The purchase of the Solar Farm has been a key contributor to the reduction in the funds available to invest, however the returns expected from the Solar Farm more than compensate for the loss of investment income.</p>
----	---

1.2.4 **Employee-related Expenses**

Whilst the year end forecast variances in the table above will always include a number of areas where there are overspends or underspends on employee related costs, the overall position for the West Suffolk councils in this area is broadly on track with the approved budget, with a small forecasted year end underspend of around 1%. This underspend has arisen as a result of a number of factors, including the success of close budgetary control and vacancy management, staffing vacancies during the year, structural changes and assumptions around pension scheme take-up which are currently being reviewed as part of the budget setting process.

1.2.5 **Compostable Collection (Brown Bin)**

1.2.6 The report SE/PAS/15/029 "Subscription Charge for the Brown Bin Service" was presented to this committee on 25 November 2015. This report outlined the rationale behind the proposed charging regime, and modelled a number of potential scenarios and outcomes which might arise as a result of the introduction of a subscription service. This report agreed that the subscription would be fixed for a three year period, and that the budget would be reviewed annually once a better understanding of the take-up is available.

1.2.7 There are a number of variables that impact upon arrangements for sharing costs and benefits with Suffolk County Council that are still to be fully understood and agreed. As such this report assumes that the budget position on the Garden Waste Collection service is broadly in line with the cost neutral (status quo) and safe assumptions that were presented in the original report. Work is ongoing as regards reviewing the costs and benefits, and it is envisaged that a more complete position will be available at the end of the financial year when there will be a fuller understanding of the impact to waste collection and disposal and cost sharing arrangements are confirmed.

1.3 **Capital Position**

1.3.1 The following table is a high level summary of capital expenditure against budget for 2016/17. Further details by capital project can be found at **Appendix C**.

1.3.2 The Resources Team will continue to work with Budget Holders to monitor capital spend and project progress closely for the remainder of the financial year and an updated position will be presented to this committee on a quarterly basis.

Service Area	2016/17 Revised Full Year Budget	2016/17 Actual Spend to Date	2016/17 Forecast Spend	2016/17 Carried Forward	2016/17 Forecast Over / (under) Spend
	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
Planning & Growth	15,667	14,060	14,636	1,031	0
Housing	4,165	130	234	3,466	(466)
Resources & Performance	5,008	3,476	4,712	296	0
Families & Communities	36	0	0	36	0
Operations	2,968	1,880	2,480	611	123
Totals:	27,844	19,546	22,062	5,440	(343)

This page is intentionally left blank

Summary by Head of Service

Appendix A

Page 57

Head of Service	Full Year Budget £	Expenditure Budget to Date £	Income Budget to Date £	Net Budget to Date £	Expenditure Actual to Date £	Income Actual to Date £	Actual to Date £	Over/(Under) Spend to Date £	Y/E Forecast Variance %	Y/E Forecast Variance £
Head of Resources & Performance	(3,571,309)	18,162,367	(23,699,471)	(5,537,104)	17,173,593	(22,816,560)	(5,642,967)	(105,863)	0.91%	32,484
Head of Human Resources, Legal & Democratic Services	849,819	685,561	(77,166)	608,395	715,070	(25,366)	689,704	81,309	0.95%	(8,115)
Head of Families and Communities	873,324	798,354	(198,022)	600,332	713,182	(175,376)	537,806	(62,526)	3.10%	(27,081)
Head of Planning and Growth	454,241	1,493,001	(1,196,459)	296,542	1,431,314	(885,193)	546,122	249,580	6.93%	31,477
Head of Operations	883,809	3,988,326	(2,931,175)	1,057,151	7,247,014	(6,718,604)	528,410	(528,741)	5.09%	(45,001)
Head of Housing	557,627	425,109	(28,287)	396,822	423,410	(53,159)	370,251	(26,571)	2.08%	(11,616)
TOTALS:	47,511	25,552,718	(28,130,580)	(2,577,862)	27,703,583	(30,674,258)	(2,970,674)	(392,812)	58.62%	(27,852)
Interest Receivable	(350,000)	0	(262,503)	(262,503)	0	(206,896)	(206,896)	55,607	7.89%	27,620
Interest Payable	169,600	84,800	0	84,800	85,032	0	85,032	232	0.14%	232
Minimum Revenue Provision	132,890	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0
TOTALS:	1	25,637,518	(28,393,083)	(2,755,565)	27,788,615	(30,881,154)	(3,092,538)	(336,973)		0

This page is intentionally left blank

Forest Heath District Council								2016/17 December Budget Monitoring Report
Detail by Head of Service								Appendix B
HEAD OF RESOURCES & PERFORMANCE								
Cost Centre Description	Full Year Budget £	Budget to Date £	Actual to Date £	Variance to Date £	Y/E Forecast Actual £	Y/E Forecast Variance %	Y/E Forecast Variance £	Year End Variance Notes
Resources & Performance	387,984	267,714	233,408	(34,306)	353,541	8.88%	(34,443)	Salary savings due to vacancies and pension scheme opt out, combined with an anticipated underspend on software charges.
Grants to Organisations	42,121	42,121	42,121	0	42,121	0.00%	0	
General Fund Adjustments	(5,664,724)	(7,083,013)	(7,049,415)	33,598	(5,537,024)	2.25%	127,700	£172k additional levy payable due to more business rates collectable & drop in WH&E Provision for appeals, offset by an anticipated extra £75k income from the Suffolk Business Rate Pool & £5k additional income from S31 grants. In addition a budgeted transfer from the Business Rates Equalisation Reserve has only been partly made due to insufficient funds in the reserve (£36k overspend).
Resources & Performance:	(5,234,619)	(6,773,178)	(6,773,886)	(708)	(5,141,362)	1.78%	93,257	
Internal Audit	64,807	43,965	45,462	1,497	61,394	5.27%	(3,413)	
Internal Audit:	64,807	43,965	45,462	1,497	61,394	5.27%	(3,413)	
ICT	489,844	439,842	381,687	(58,155)	482,037	1.59%	(7,807)	
ICT:	489,844	439,842	381,687	(58,155)	482,037	1.59%	(7,807)	
Anglia Revenues Partnership	903,493	679,173	535,176	(143,997)	903,493	0.00%	0	
Council Tax Administration	(177,450)	(146,339)	(178,126)	(31,787)	(203,633)	14.76%	(26,183)	Additional Council Tax Administration Subsidy received due to the merging of New Burdens Grant (£12k), along with anticipated additional court costs recovered above the budgeted level (£14k).
Business Rate Administration	(95,400)	(71,550)	(72,593)	(1,043)	(95,871)	0.49%	(471)	

Forest Heath District Council							2016/17 December Budget Monitoring Report	
Detail by Head of Service							Appendix B	
Housing Benefits	(330,469)	(267,763)	(191,160)	76,603	(307,194)	7.04%	23,275	Figures as per ARP review. Overpayment income significantly lower than budgeted. £68k underachievement of overpayment income £4k overspend due to external audit fees, offset by £37k net impact of subsidy received and rent allowances paid.
Anglia Revenues Partnership:	300,174	193,521	93,297	(100,224)	296,795	1.13%	(3,379)	
Corporate Expenditure	788,840	558,746	594,067	35,321	741,680	5.98%	(47,160)	Savings on salary costs resulting from staffing vacancies
Non-Distributed Costs	0	0	530	530	600		600	
Corporate Expenditure:	788,840	558,746	594,597	35,851	742,280	5.90%	(46,560)	
Emergency Planning	19,645	0	15,875	15,875	20,031	1.96%	386	
Emergency Planning:	19,645	0	15,875	15,875	20,031	1.96%	386	
TOTALS: RESOURCES & PERFORMANCE	(3,571,309)	(5,537,104)	(5,642,968)	(105,864)	(3,538,825)	0.91%	32,484	
HEAD OF HR & DEMOCRATIC SERVICES								
Cost Centre Description	Full Year Budget £	Budget to Date £	Actual to Date £	Variance to Date £	Y/E Forecast Actual £	Y/E Forecast Variance %	Y/E Forecast Variance £	Year End Variance Notes
Human Resources & Payroll	187,599	126,766	162,382	35,616	183,003	2.45%	(4,596)	
Human Resources:	187,599	126,766	162,382	35,616	183,003	2.45%	(4,596)	
Health & Safety	54,579	39,393	38,270	(1,123)	48,411	11.30%	(6,168)	
Health & Safety:	54,579	39,393	38,270	(1,123)	48,411	11.30%	(6,168)	
Central Training Services	69,324	52,001	33,012	(18,989)	56,944	17.86%	(12,380)	
Learning & Development:	69,324	52,001	33,012	(18,989)	56,944	17.86%	(12,380)	
Legal Services	150,038	106,326	143,057	36,731	156,867	4.55%	6,829	Current period overspend on legal fees, expected to be more in line with the budget by the year end. SLS commenced in November
Legal Services:	150,038	106,326	143,057	36,731	156,867	4.55%	6,829	

Forest Heath District Council							2016/17 December Budget Monitoring Report	
Detail by Head of Service							Appendix B	
Democratic Services	76,054	50,853	56,824	5,971	80,616	6.00%	4,562	
Members Allowances & Expenses	219,615	164,727	161,339	(3,388)	214,128	2.50%	(5,487)	
Mayoralty & Civic Functions	3,640	2,921	7,045	4,124	6,795	86.68%	3,155	
Democratic Services:	299,309	218,501	225,208	6,707	301,539	0.75%	2,230	
Electoral Registration	88,853	63,537	73,678	10,141	93,156	4.84%	4,303	
Election Expenses	117	1,871	14,097	12,226	1,784	1424.79%	1,667	
Elections:	88,970	65,408	87,775	22,367	94,940	6.71%	5,970	
TOTALS: HR & DEMOCRATIC SERVICES	849,819	608,395	689,704	81,309	841,704	0.95%	(8,115)	
HEAD OF FAMILIES & COMMUNITIES								
Cost Centre Description	Full Year Budget £	Budget to Date £	Actual to Date £	Variance to Date £	Y/E Forecast Actual £	Y/E Forecast Variance %	Y/E Forecast Variance £	Year End Variance Notes
Policy	95,923	67,247	60,944	(6,303)	92,734	3.32%	(3,189)	
Policy:	95,923	67,247	60,944	(6,303)	92,734	3.32%	(3,189)	
Communications	73,875	49,485	45,370	(4,115)	71,133	3.71%	(2,742)	
Website and Intranet	20,774	17,632	13,394	(4,238)	16,601	20.09%	(4,173)	
Communications:	94,649	67,117	58,764	(8,353)	87,734	7.31%	(6,915)	
Customer Services	345,047	202,831	216,519	13,688	359,852	4.29%	14,805	
Bus Stations	51,697	41,924	33,550	(8,374)	43,254	16.33%	(8,443)	
Customer Services:	396,744	244,755	250,069	5,314	403,106	1.60%	6,362	
Community Development	177,538	122,691	87,597	(35,094)	148,940	16.11%	(28,598)	Saving on salary and pension costs associated with the recent staff changes in the Families & Communities team.
Community Chest - Families & Communities	90,250	84,680	71,716	(12,964)	90,250	0.00%	0	
Health, Culture & Arts	17,500	13,122	2,443	(10,679)	17,500	0.00%	0	
Community Centres	720	720	6,273	5,553	5,979	730.42%	5,259	
Families & Communities:	286,008	221,213	168,029	(53,184)	262,669	8.16%	(23,339)	
TOTALS: FAMILIES & COMMUNITIES	873,324	600,332	537,806	(62,526)	846,243	3.10%	(27,081)	
HEAD OF PLANNING & GROWTH								

Forest Heath District Council								2016/17 December Budget Monitoring Report
Detail by Head of Service								Appendix B
Cost Centre Description	Full Year Budget £	Budget to Date £	Actual to Date £	Variance to Date £	Y/E Forecast Actual £	Y/E Forecast Variance %	Y/E Forecast Variance £	Year End Variance Notes
Development Control	(261,343)	(217,119)	(25,070)	192,049	(129,017)	50.63%	132,326	Income currently predicted to fall short of budget (£70k), overspend on advertising costs (£10k), plus additional staffing & recruitment costs arising from service development initiatives (£47k)
Development Control:	-261,343	-217,119	(25,070)	192,049	(129,017)	50.63%	132,326	
Planning Policy	321,568	262,593	292,911	30,318	336,502	4.64%	14,934	
Local Plan	6,900	5,175	8,838	3,663	13,245	91.96%	6,345	
Place Shaping:	328,468	267,768	301,749	33,981	349,747	6.48%	21,279	
Land Charges	(72,990)	(54,738)	(51,876)	2,862	(65,588)	10.14%	7,402	
Building Control	7,797	(3)	(6,482)	(6,479)	(1,889)	124.23%	(9,686)	
Planning & Regulatory Support	171,026	117,441	115,991	(1,450)	172,184	0.68%	1,158	
Business (BC & Support):	105,833	62,700	57,633	(5,067)	104,707	1.06%	(1,126)	
Prevention of Pollution	32,236	24,174	21,799	(2,375)	29,459	8.61%	(2,777)	
Environmental Management	(58,247)	(45,243)	10,721	55,964	6,679	111.47%	64,926	Underachievement of budgeted feed-in-tariffs due to changes in Government Legislation and less take-up than initially anticipated
Drinking Water Quality	15,436	10,035	7,125	(2,910)	13,751	10.92%	(1,685)	
Climate Change	29,320	21,996	27,067	5,071	33,832	15.39%	4,512	
Solar Farm	0	0	0	0	(180,000)		(180,000)	Solar Farm operating as expected.
Home Energy Conservation	3,558	2,664	(1,000)	(3,664)	3,572	0.39%	14	
Environment:	22,303	13,626	65,712	52,086	(92,707)	515.67%	(115,010)	
Licensing	(12,743)	(15,750)	(36,316)	(20,566)	(7,966)	37.49%	4,777	
Hackney Carriage & Private Hire Licensing	(49,845)	(37,377)	(34,086)	3,291	(48,526)	2.65%	1,319	
Food Safety	60,852	44,082	35,506	(8,576)	47,469	21.99%	(13,383)	
Health & Safety at Work Act/Enforcement	44,382	31,734	25,106	(6,628)	37,145	16.31%	(7,237)	
Business Reg & Licensing:	42,646	22,689	(9,790)	(32,479)	28,122	34.06%	(14,524)	
Economic Development & Growth	173,430	114,703	125,287	10,584	179,114	3.28%	5,684	
Strategic Tourism & Markets	30,344	22,761	24,485	1,724	29,802	1.79%	(542)	
Vibrant Town Centres	12,560	9,414	6,115	(3,299)	15,950	26.99%	3,390	
Economic Development & Growth:	216,334	146,878	155,887	9,009	224,866	3.94%	8,532	

Forest Heath District Council							2016/17 December Budget Monitoring Report	
Detail by Head of Service							Appendix B	
TOTALS: PLANNING & GROWTH		454,241	296,542	546,121	249,579	485,718	6.93%	31,477
HEAD OF OPERATIONS								
Cost Centre Description	Full Year Budget £	Budget to Date £	Actual to Date £	Variance to Date £	Y/E Forecast Actual £	Y/E Forecast Variance %	Y/E Forecast Variance £	Year End Variance Notes
Pool Cars	1,040	1,040	1,739	699	499	52.02%	(541)	
Vehicle Workshop Trading Account - FHDC	0	0	217	217	0		0	
Depots	(38,230)	38,065	42,419	4,354	(37,001)	3.21%	1,229	
Waste & Cleansing Operatives	(40,380)	1,131,896	1,145,421	13,525	(36,401)	9.85%	3,979	
Markets	76,044	4,596	1,068	(3,528)	73,018	3.98%	(3,026)	
Operational:	-2,566	1,174,557	1,188,908	14,351	(384)	85.04%	2,182	
Street Cleansing	561,714	47,250	31,088	(16,162)	549,864	2.11%	(11,850)	
Refuse Collection (Black Bin)	391,069	72,252	67,653	(4,599)	391,674	0.15%	605	
Recycling Collection (Blue Bin)	287,054	20,905	20,444	(461)	298,982	4.16%	11,928	
Compostable Collection (Brown Bin)	108,752	(46,166)	(405,578)	(359,412)	108,752	0.00%	0	
Bulky, Fridges, Metal & Scrap Collection	40,726	(468)	(7,731)	(7,263)	31,838	21.82%	(8,888)	
Clinical & Hazardous Waste Collection	4,629	3,474	6,096	2,622	6,971	50.59%	2,342	
Multi-Bank Recycling Sites	(37,542)	(23,420)	(24,112)	(692)	(41,368)	10.19%	(3,826)	
Trade Waste	(84,347)	(327,881)	(379,980)	(52,099)	(97,587)	15.70%	(13,240)	
Waste - Business & Commercial	1,272,055	-254,054	(692,120)	(438,066)	1,249,126	1.80%	(22,929)	
Property Services	300,303	221,299	235,570	14,271	298,569	0.58%	(1,734)	
Property Maintenance:	300,303	221,299	235,570	14,271	298,569	0.58%	(1,734)	
Industrial & Business Units	(589,377)	(415,999)	(641,698)	(225,699)	(622,101)	5.55%	(32,724)	Rates on void properties presently underspent
Town Centres & Shops	(873,350)	(616,924)	(519,821)	97,103	(878,855)	0.63%	(5,505)	
Property Management:	(1,462,727)	(1,032,923)	(1,161,519)	(128,596)	(1,500,956)	2.61%	(38,229)	
Offices: College Heath Road	(157,780)	117,095	83,918	(33,177)	(165,817)	5.09%	(8,037)	
Offices: Brandon & Newmarket Guineas	(19,200)	16,844	27,430	10,586	(12,150)	36.72%	7,050	
Public Conveniences	55,003	41,625	28,459	(13,166)	50,428	8.32%	(4,575)	
CCTV	89,560	45,685	90,663	44,978	95,792	6.96%	6,232	
Green Travel Plan	0	0	(1,444)	(1,444)	0		0	
District Highways Services	(23,714)	(26,034)	9,153	35,187	(13,777)	41.90%	9,937	
Land Drainage & Associated Works	74,500	74,500	76,198	1,698	76,198	2.28%	1,698	
Facilities, CCTV & Highways Services:	18,369	269,715	314,377	44,662	30,674	66.99%	12,305	

Forest Heath District Council							2016/17 December Budget Monitoring Report	
Detail by Head of Service							Appendix B	
Courier & Postal Service	58,850	44,434	24,447	(19,987)	44,591	24.23%	(14,259)	
Printing & Copying Service	51,224	34,236	42,434	8,198	55,220	7.80%	3,996	
Central Services:	110,074	78,670	66,881	(11,789)	99,811	9.32%	(10,263)	
Off Street Car Parks	(359,186)	(254,080)	(290,925)	(36,845)	(380,973)	6.07%	(21,787)	
Car Parking:	(359,186)	(254,080)	(290,925)	(36,845)	(380,973)	6.07%	(21,787)	
Leisure Services Management & Support	39,094	29,322	30,897	1,575	41,225	5.45%	2,131	
Arboriculture (Tree Maintenance Works)	89,182	59,748	35,150	(24,598)	90,881	1.91%	1,699	
Other Parks and Play Provision	239,914	183,345	222,905	39,560	239,148	0.32%	(766)	
Children's Play Areas	59,651	32,231	20,653	(11,578)	55,010	7.78%	(4,641)	
Sports & Leisure Centres	546,140	523,244	507,882	(15,362)	546,076	0.01%	(64)	
Allotments	0	0	(214)	(214)	(214)		(214)	
Leisure & Sports	15,000	12,997	11,688	(1,309)	15,000	0.00%	0	
Leisure & Cultural - Parks	988,981	840,887	828,961	(11,926)	987,126	0.19%	(1,855)	
Arts, Heritage & Cultural Services	10,676	6,487	5,438	(1,049)	9,763	8.55%	(913)	
Shopmobility	8,340	7,502	5,732	(1,770)	8,132	2.49%	(208)	
Palace House and Stables	6,320	6,076	35,649	29,573	46,091	629.29%	39,771	Building repair & maintenance costs and one-off payment for business rates (£15k). Links to Home of Horseracing Project.
Leisure & Cultural - TIC & Heritage:	25,336	20,065	46,819	26,754	63,986	152.55%	38,650	
The Pavilion - Lady Wolverton Playingfield	(7,870)	(8,025)	(10,500)	(2,475)	(8,670)	10.17%	(800)	
Leisure & Cultural - Public Halls:	(7,870)	(8,025)	(10,500)	(2,475)	(8,670)	10.17%	(800)	
Commercial - Marketing:	0	0	0	0	0		0	
TOTALS: OPERATIONS	882,769	1,057,151	528,408	(528,743)	838,309	5.10%	(45,001)	
HEAD OF HOUSING								
Cost Centre Description	Full Year Budget £	Budget to Date £	Actual to Date £	Variance to Date £	Y/E Forecast Actual £	Y/E Forecast Variance %	Y/E Forecast Variance £	Year End Variance Notes
Housing Renewals	73,321	54,645	58,102	3,457	77,350	5.50%	4,029	
Burial of the Dead	8,756	6,543	8,470	1,927	8,987	2.64%	231	
Gypsies & Travellers	13,256	9,918	6,703	(3,215)	13,486	1.74%	230	
Other Public Health Services	121,959	85,694	78,683	(7,011)	114,558	6.07%	(7,401)	

Forest Heath District Council								2016/17 December Budget Monitoring Report
Detail by Head of Service								Appendix B
Public Health & Housing:	217,292	156,800	151,958	(4,842)	214,381	1.34%	(2,911)	
Housing Development & Strategy	65,161	47,322	31,403	(15,919)	57,713	11.43%	(7,448)	
Housing Development & Strategy:	65,161	47,322	31,403	(15,919)	57,713	11.43%	(7,448)	
Homelessness	74,423	52,731	45,977	(6,754)	70,871	4.77%	(3,552)	
Housing Advice & Choice Based Lettings	147,752	99,936	105,850	5,914	148,143	0.26%	391	
Non-HRA Housing Properties	11,420	8,706	5,981	(2,725)	7,183	37.10%	(4,237)	
Housing Options:	233,595	161,373	157,808	(3,565)	226,197	3.17%	(7,398)	
Housing Business & Partnerships	41,579	31,327	29,082	(2,245)	47,720	14.77%	6,141	
Housing Business & Partnerships:	41,579	31,327	29,082	(2,245)	47,720	14.77%	6,141	
TOTALS: HOUSING:	557,627	396,822	370,251	(26,571)	546,011	2.08%	(11,616)	

This page is intentionally left blank

2016/17 December Capital Budget Monitoring Report

Project Description	2016-17 Revised Full Year Budget	2016-17 Actual Spend to Date	2016-17 Forecast Actual Spend	2016-17 Carried Forward	2016-17 Year End Forecast Variance Over / (Under)	Notes
Home of Horseracing Project	4,711,885	3,476,028	4,711,885	0	0	Will spend full budget. Legal costs expected in January
Solar Energy Project	14,471,000	14,010,673	14,471,000	0	0	West Suffolk Energy Company. Greenheath Solar Farm
Sam Alper Industrial Development	1,250,877	1,186,481	1,250,877	0	0	Scheme progressing completion expected in 16/17
Omar Site - Brandon	325,956	314,690	325,956	0	0	12 month Retention period, balance will not be spent until 2017/18. To be accrued in P12
Newmarket Leisure Centre - Equipment	204,000	0	204,000	0	0	New scheme expected completion in Q4
Vehicle & Plant Purchases	68,000	0	0	68,000	0	Carry forward to match revised replacement profile.
Playground Improvements	60,000	21,026	113,026	0	53,026	Spend to date relates to Lady Wolverton Way (finishing off last year's project) - S106 funded. £60k capital budget will be used for Warren Close Brandon MUGA refurbishment. Project specification is being drawn together with the intention of completion on site by the end of March 2017. Further spend expected in Q4 for Red Lodge MUGA funded by S106.
Beck Row Community Facilities	0	116,723	116,723	0	116,723	Grant payment to 3rd party. Financed from S106
Historic Buildings Grant	40,250	226	40,250	0	0	Various applications have been submitted to utilise budget in 16/17
Private Sector Disabled Facilities Grants	259,764	104,085	193,000	66,764	0	Estimated full year spend of £193k. Remaining budget to be carried forward into 17/18
Private Sector Renewal Grants	500,650	26,316	35,000	0	(465,650)	Spend expected to be lower than budget as a result of lower demand. Budget review ongoing.
Asset Management Plan						
Asset Management Plan	187,000	0	0	187,000	0	Funding for new schemes when they are approved. Needs to be carried forward into 2017/18 to support medium term asset management plan investment
Swimming Pool Mildenhall	250,000	0	0	250,000	0	Request to carry forward into 2017/18
Leisure Centre Newmarket	150,000	0	150,000	0	0	Scheme progressing completion expected in 16/17
Rous Road Car park	60,000	65,564	65,564	0	5,564	Scheme progressing completion expected in 16/17. Funded by S106
Flowerpot Brandon	50,000	0	0	50,000	0	Dependent on Lease proposals, at present not expected to be spent in 16/17
Valley Way Newmarket Shops	11,407	588	588	0	(10,819)	
1F Gregory road, Roof Renewal	25,000	15,486	15,486	0	(9,514)	Scheme progressing completion expected in 16/17
Craven Way, Newmarket	50,000	39,609	50,000	0	0	Scheme progressing completion expected in 16/17

2016/17 December Capital Budget Monitoring Report

Project Description	2016-17 Revised Full Year Budget	2016-17 Actual Spend to Date	2016-17 Forecast Actual Spend	2016-17 Carried Forward	2016-17 Year End Forecast Variance Over / (Under)	Notes
James Carter Road, Industrial Major Unit Repairs	30,000	7,367	30,000	0	0	Scheme progressing completion expected in 16/17
Putney Close, Industrial Major Units Repairs	50,000	21,078	50,000	0	(0)	Scheme progressing completion expected in 16/17
Highbury Road, Industrial Fencing and Major Unit Repairs	30,000	26,970	30,000	0	0	Scheme progressing completion expected in 16/17
					0	
					0	
Guineas Car Park Energy Efficient Lighting	31,100	0	0	0	(31,100)	Scheme Completed in 15/16
Strategic Plan						
Wellington Street Newmarket - Wider Pedestrianisation Scheme	150,000	0	0	150,000	0	Newmarket Town centre Initiatives may require funding, currently under review
Invest to Save Projects	296,000	0	0	296,000	0	Funds to be allocated to projects subject to requests
Private Housing Company	3,000,000	0	6,000	2,994,000	0	Housing Company - Revised spend over the next 3 financial years in line with approved business case
Community Energy Plan	1,005,655	48,615	125,000	880,655	0	Spend to date relates to Biomass Boiler at Home of Horse Racing.
Harvey Adam Centre, Major Roofing Repairs	16,000	15,294	15,294	0	(706)	Scheme progressing completion expected in 16/17
Holborn Avenue Shop Fronts (£15k)	15,000	0	0	15,000	0	Not expected to commence until 17/18
Mildenhall Ind Est Highway Adoption	32,000	30,792	32,000	0	0	New scheme expected completion in Q4, funded from asset management plan budget.
Shared Service - Capital Requirements						
Waste & Street Scene Back Office System	71,407	17,977	30,000	41,407	0	Project ongoing, has been a delay due to prioritisation of garden waste module. Likely that next phase will slip into 17/18. Project Payments are being paid on each successful module completed.
CRM Project	36,450	0	0	36,450	0	Project progressing, spend profile according to Customer Access Business Case.
PENDING ITEMS						
Affordable Housing	405,000	0	0	405,000	0	Budget kept under review to secure additional temporary accommodation
	27,844,401	19,545,586	22,061,648	5,440,276	(342,477)	

Earmarked Reserves

2016/17 December Budget Monitoring Report

Page 69

Reserve Details	2016/17 Opening Balance	2016/17 Budgeted Movement	2016/17 Budgeted Closing Balance	2016/17 Current Balance	2016/17 Forecast Closing Balance	2016/17 Forecast Variance (Under) / Over spent	Notes
Strategic Priorities & MTFS Reserve	6,193,266	(1,490)	6,191,776	7,706,881	7,495,581	(1,303,805)	Under-utilisation mainly relates to timings of feasibility spend and Rent a Roof Projects.
Invest to Save Reserve	215,197	(27,000)	188,197	279,876	227,671	(39,474)	Under-utilisation relates to timings of the Waste Back Office System.
Risk/Recession Reserve	541,841	(120,518)	421,323	421,323	348,123	73,200	Funding utilised for Local Plan resourcing
BRR Equalisation Reserve	76,830	(113,318)	(36,488)	0	0	(36,488)	Full reserve balance taken as contribution, however lower than expected due to lower balance brought forward than originally anticipated.
Self Insured Fund	61,069	50,000	111,069	111,069	111,069	0	
Computer & Telephone Equipment Reserve	160,208	35,000	195,208	195,208	195,208	0	
HB Equalisation Reserve	161,321	0	161,321	161,321	161,321	0	
Interest Equalisation Reserve	69,719	0	69,719	69,719	69,719	0	
Professional Fees Reserve	35,000	35,000	70,000	70,000	70,000	0	
Single Regeneration Board	24,000	(24,000)	0	0	0	0	
ARP Reserve	302,876	0	302,876	311,789	311,789	(8,913)	
Vehicle & Plant Renewal Fund	213,601	162,000	375,601	443,601	443,601	(68,000)	Relates to vehicle spend carried forward to 2017/18 - see also Appendix C.
Waste Management Reserve	108,756	(41,000)	67,756	108,756	94,406	(26,650)	
Car Park Development Fund	56,170	0	56,170	56,170	56,170	0	
Commuted Maintenance Reserve	511,299	(7,944)	503,355	511,299	524,085	(20,730)	Additional contributions received in 2016/17.
Newmarket Stallion Reserve	22,459	0	22,459	22,459	22,459	0	
Leisure Reserve	27,932	0	27,932	27,932	27,932	0	
Communities against Drugs Reserve	30,000	0	30,000	30,000	30,000	0	
Planning Reserve	23,700	(114,000)	(90,300)	202,379	18,700	(109,000)	Relates to timing of Local Plan spend.
Building Regulations Charging Reserve	1	0	1	1	1	0	
Planning Delivery Grant	94,716	(15,000)	79,716	94,716	54,466	25,250	Utilised against Planning Projects.
Local Land Charges Reserve	60,142	0	60,142	50,032	50,032	10,111	Utilised to fund claims in 2016/17.
Planning Policy Statement Climate Change	15,436	(12,857)	2,579	2,579	2,579	0	
S106 Monitoring Officer Reserve	78,201	(54,379)	23,822	45,432	31,946	(8,124)	Additional S106 contributions received in 2016/17.
Implementing Smoke Free Legislation	7,758	0	7,758	7,758	7,758	0	
Economic Development Reserve (LABGI)	35,174	(2,600)	32,574	0	32,574	0	
Homelessness Legislation Reserve	127,736	(8,350)	119,386	127,736	119,386	0	
S106 Revenue Reserve	158,941	0	158,941	202,728	158,809	131	
Election Reserve	38,091	10,000	48,091	48,091	48,091	0	
Staff Training Reserve	22,582	0	22,582	22,582	22,582	0	
Forest Heath Totals	9,474,022	(250,456)	9,223,566	11,331,437	10,736,059	(1,512,493)	

This page is intentionally left blank

Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Delivering a Sustainable Medium Term Financial Strategy 2017-2020	
Report No:	PAS/FH/17/005	
Report to and date/s:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	25 January 2017
	Cabinet	14 February 2017
Portfolio holder:	Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 660518 Email: stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk	
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk	
Purpose of report:	The purpose of this report is to update members on progress made towards delivering a balanced budget for 2017/18 and sustainable budget in the medium term, and to recommend to Cabinet inclusion of the proposals in the report to progress securing a balanced budget for 2017/18 and sustainable budget in the medium term.	
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that members: (i) Note the progress made on delivering a sustainable medium term financial strategy 2017-2020. (ii) Recommend to Cabinet the inclusion of the proposals, as detailed in Table 1 at paragraph 1.2.1 of this report.	

Key Decision: <i>(Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)</i>		<i>Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?</i> Yes, it is a Key Decision - <input type="checkbox"/> No, it is not a Key Decision - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Consultation:		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leadership team (LT), Portfolio Holders and staff are consulted during this budget process 	
Alternative option(s):		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other options could be proposed and considered by members. They would need to take into account core principles such as deliverability, affordability and risk. 	
Implications:			
<i>Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> As detailed in the body of this report	
<i>Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<i>Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<i>Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Whilst it should be stated that this is an unlikely event there is a requirement under The Local Government Finance Act 1988 (S114) - for the Chief Finance Officer to report to councillors if there is or is likely to be an unbalanced budget.	
<i>Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details</i>		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To be considered as part of implementation of service changes	
Risk/opportunity assessment:		<i>(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)</i>	
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)
	Low/Medium/ High*		Low/Medium/ High*
Impact of changing financial circumstances is different across the two West Suffolk councils potentially in the longer terms, leading to diverging strategies (e.g. different focus by the councils on service impacts or investment projects).	High	A single leadership team working with the two councils' Cabinets to take an overview; spotting pressure points and possible innovative approaches; and recommending appropriate actions to members. Consider further shared working, joint decisions etc.	Medium
Savings/income projections are not achieved resulting in budget deficit.	Medium	Budgetary control, including reporting of variances to members. Use of general fund reserves to cover budget deficits.	Low

The business rate retention scheme underachieving the yield assumed in the MTFS which impacts on the budget gap requirement.	High	Work with the Anglia Revenues Partnership team to monitor the position and deliver a realistic forecast.	Medium
Adverse changes in the assumptions, for example changes to the provisional formula grant settlement, used in the MTFS resulting in a larger budget gap.	Medium	The assumptions are regularly monitored and updated. Use of general fund reserves to cover budget deficits.	Low
100% Business rates Retention implementation prior to 2019/20 and rules therein.	Medium	Constant monitoring of guidance issued and reflection in assumption	Low
Ward(s) affected:	All Wards		
Background papers: <i>(all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)</i>	COU/FH/16/004 Budget and Council Tax Setting 2016/17 CAB/FH/15/038 West Suffolk Strategic Plan and Medium Term Financial Strategy 2016-2020 FH OAS/FH/16/022 Report - Approach to delivering a sustainable medium term financial strategy 2016 - 2020 and consideration of the four year settlement offer from central government PAS/FH/16/032 Report – Delivering a Sustainable Medium Term Financial Strategy 2017-2020		
Documents attached:	None		

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

1.1 Position at January 2017

- 1.1.1 The interaction between the West Suffolk Strategic Plan and West Suffolk Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) continues to be increasingly important in the setting of budgets, as the council's priorities will need to be used to inform real choices about the allocation of limited resources.
- 1.1.2 Our MTFS document also sets out the approach that Forest Heath District Council will take to the sound management of its finances over the medium term, in particular the next three years 2017-2020.
- 1.1.3 In November 2016, the Committee received report PAS/FH/16/032 - Delivering a Sustainable Medium Term Financial Strategy 2017-2020 which set out the context of the 2016/17 budget and MTFS, including details of savings targets, budget assumptions and known pressures for 2017-2020. This report gives an update on that position.

1.2 Latest Budget Projections

- 1.2.1 **Table 1** below sets out additional pressures and the progress made to date in achieving the 2017-2020 savings target. These are proposed to be incorporated into the budgets, over and above those items brought to members' attention in November 2016 as part of report PAS/FH/16/032.

Table 1: Further savings and budget pressures identified

Description	2017/18 Pressure/ (Saving) £000	2018/19 Pressure/ (Saving) £000	2019/20 Pressure/ (Saving) £000
Remaining Budget Gap per report to PASC in November 2016 (PAS/FH/16/032)	266	524	1,005
Budget saving Proposals			
Garden Waste -work towards cost neutral position by 2019/20	(50)	(100)	(200)
Council Tax - anticipated surplus at year end on Collection Fund	(76)	0	0
ICT - additional Service Level Agreement income taking into account the approved cost sharing model with St Edmundsbury BC	(25)	(25)	(25)
Additional reserve contribution to reflect funding for the Council's S106 Officer	0	(20)	(20)
Further pressures identified			
Business Rates - impact of 2017 Revaluation on council owned properties	(7)	4	13
Additional Reserve Contribution - Vehicle Renewals to fund replacement schedule requirements	100	100	130
Additional Reserve Contribution - Asset Management Plan requirements, further funding in the medium/longer term likely to be required.	80	80	80
Apprenticeship Levy - budget amended to reflect final scheme details now known	8	8	8
ARP - amendment to reflect final partnership budget position	35	35	35
Other Budget Changes			
Property Services - structural changes to reflect recruitment challenges and additional capacity to support councils programme of projects	38	38	38
Projects			
Leisure Management Fee - profile savings (estimated) linked to approved strategic investment fund - Report CAB/FH/16/049 refers	0	(135)	(224)
Housing Company Business Case benefit - Report CAB/FH/16/054 refers	(6)	(50)	(315)
Other minor changes	(34)	(73)	(90)
Revised Budget GAP	329	386	436

1.2.2 The councils Pension contribution rate has decreased from 30% to 29% in 2017/18 following the triennial review. This has been reflected within the existing salary budgets.

1.2.3 Work is continuing on the property and projects work packages and will be concluded for the main Budget and Council Tax Report to Cabinet and Council in February 2017. The aim being to achieve a balanced position across the medium term and to use reserves and one off budgetary savings, if necessary,

(for example from savings on borrowing costs budgeted for, through use of existing cash balances) to balance the budget in the short term.

1.3 Budget proposals for 2017-20

1.3.1 The Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee is asked to support and recommend to Cabinet the **inclusion of the above proposals**, as detailed in Table 1 above in order to progress securing a balanced budget for 2017/18 and delivering a Sustainable medium Term Financial Strategy 2017-2020.

1.4 Budget timetable

1.4.1 The table below outlines the timetable of budget information through the committees and to Full Council.

Table 2: Committee timetable for budgets

Task	Date
Member Development Session - Taking control of our financial future	10 and 19 January 2017
Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee - updated report on 'Delivering a Sustainable Budget 2017/18'	25 January 2017
2017/18 Budget and Council Tax Setting - Cabinet.	14 February 2017
2017/18 Budget and Council Tax Setting - Full Council.	22 February 2017

Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Forest Heath
District Council

Title of Report:	Third Quarter Treasury Management Report 2016/17 Regarding Investment Activity 1 April to 31 December 2016	
Report No:	PAS/FH/17/006	
Report to and date/s:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	25 January 2017
	Cabinet	14 February 2017
	Council	22 February 2017
Portfolio holder:	Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources, Governance and Performance Tel: 01638 660518 Email: stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk	
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk	
Purpose of report:	To present the Council's Third Quarter Treasury Management Report summarising the investment activity for the period 1 April to 31 December 2016.	

Recommendation:	<p>It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Scrutinises the content of the report, including details of the treasury management performance for the first nine months of the 2016-2017 financial year; and 2) Makes recommendations, as appropriate to Cabinet and Council regarding the <u>approval</u> of the Third Quarter Treasury Management Report for 2016-2017, attached as Appendix 1.
Key Decision: <i>(Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)</i>	<p><i>Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?</i></p> <p>Yes, it is a Key Decision - <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No, it is not a Key Decision - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>
Consultation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treasury management activities are undertaken in consultation with CDCM/Tradition (the Council's appointed brokers for long term investments) and also takes into account information obtained from other investment brokers and economic commentators. Any changes in strategies or policies are subject to approval by the Head of Resources and Performance, Cabinet and full Council.
Alternative option(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Options for the management of Council investments are formally considered within the Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy. This includes key strategies in respect of the Council's borrowings, the continuation of in-house management of funds and the approach to be adopted in establishing the credit-worthiness of potential counterparties. The changing nature of the economic climate requires that these key areas are subject to on-going review.
Implications:	
<p><i>Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details</i></p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please refer to main report
<p><i>Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details</i></p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<p><i>Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details</i></p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This report is in-line with the Treasury Management Practice 6, (TMP6), of the Treasury Management Code of Practice, which requires that a current year review of Treasury Services, against adopted annual strategy, be reported to Council by 30 November each financial year. 	
Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 	
Risk/opportunity assessment:		<i>(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)</i>	
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)
Fluctuations in interest rates or in projected cash flows having significant impact on budgeted investment income.	High	Spread of investments for periods of up to two years. Budget monitoring and quarterly performance reports.	Medium
Bank / building society failure resulting in loss of Council funds.	High	Use of CDCM/Tradition advice on counterparty credit ratings (based on Fitch and Moody ratings) and the setting of lending limits. Use of non-rated building societies based on asset base and additional credit checks.	Medium
Ward(s) affected:		All Ward	
Background papers: <i>(all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)</i>		Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy 2016/17 - PAS/FH/16/007	
Documents attached:		Appendix 1 – Third Quarter Treasury Management Monitoring Report 2016/17 - 1 April 16 to 31 December 16	

1. Third Quarter Monitoring Report 2016/17

1.1 Full details of treasury management activities during the period 1 April to 31 December 2016 are included in Appendix 1 of this report.

1.2 Below is a summary of those investment activities:

INTEREST EARNED & AVERAGE RATE OF RETURN SUMMARY			
	Budget	Actual	Difference
Investment Interest Earned	£262,500	£206,109	- £56,391
Average Rate of Return	1.50%	1.11%	- 0.39%

- The under-achievement of interest earned was mainly due to the reduction in funds available for investment following the purchase of the Toggam Solar Farm.
- The reduced average rate of return is due to the reduction in the Bank of England base rate and resulting reduction of interest rates offered by institutions.
- As at 31 December 2016 we held £19,755,000 of investments.

INVESTMENT ACTIVITY SUMMARY	
	2016/17 £
Opening Balance 01 April 2016	29,490,000
Investments made during the year (including transfers to business reserve accounts)	60,400,000
Sub Total	89,890,000
Investments realised during the year (including withdrawals from business reserve accounts)	70,135,000
Closing Balance 31 December 2016	19,755,000

2. **Borrowings and Temporary Loans**

2.1 Below is a summary of the borrowings and temporary loans as at 31 December 2016;

BORROWINGS AND TEMPORARY LOANS		
Lender / Loan number	Balance outstanding	Maturity date
Barclays	£4,000,000	31 March 2078
1557	£1,000	7 Days Notice
1735	£1,000	7 Days Notice

3rd Quarter Treasury Management Report 2016/2017 - 1 April to 31 December 2016

1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's (CIPFA) Code of Practice on Treasury Management 2009 (the Code) as adopted by Council states that;
- 1.2 The primary requirements of the Code are as follows:
 - a. Creation and maintenance of a Treasury Management Policy Statement which sets out the policies and objectives of the Council's treasury management activities.
 - b. Creation and maintenance of Treasury Management Practices which set out the manner in which the Council will seek to achieve those policies and objectives.
 - c. Receipt by Council of an Annual Treasury Management Strategy Report for the year ahead, a mid year review report (as a minimum) and an annual review report of the previous year.
 - d. Delegation by the Council of responsibilities for implementing and monitoring treasury management policies and practices and for the execution and administration of treasury management decisions.
 - e. Delegation by the Council of the role of scrutiny of treasury management strategy and policies to a specific named body which in this Council is the Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee.
- 1.3 Treasury management in this context is defined as:

'The management of the local authority's cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.'
- 1.4 The purpose of this report is to meet one of the above requirements of the CIPFA Code, namely the mid-year review report of treasury management activities, for the financial year 2016/17.

2. Investment Strategy for 2016/17

- 2.1 The Council's 2016/17 Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy was approved by full Council on 24 February 2016 (report PAS/FH/16/007 refers). The investment strategy for 2016/17 was to give priority to the security and liquidity of investments whilst at the same time seeking to optimise the return on investments.
- 2.2 The target rate of return for investments for 2016/17 was 1.50%. This target rate was based upon investment rate projections for the year provided by Tradition / CDCM (the Council's treasury management advisors), together with consideration of the profile of the Council's portfolio of investments (i.e. mixture of liquid and fixed term investments). Based upon the anticipated funds available for investment in the year (taking into account planned capital expenditure and receipts from asset disposals) this gave a target investment income of £350k.

3. Interest Rates & Market Activity

- 3.1 On August 4, 2016, the Bank of England reduced the base rate to 0.25% and at the same time introduced a number of measures to provide additional funds, at interest rates close to bank base rate, to the markets following the Brexit decision. One of these measures was the introduction of the Term Funding Scheme to provide funds that will allow the banks and building societies to pass on the rate reduction to consumers and businesses. This has had the knock on effect of making the financial institutions less reliant on money from local authorities. This in turn has reduced the returns available on investments, typical rates for short term, up to 3 months, is base rate or below with medium term 4 to 12 months struggling to achieve 1%.
- 3.2 Markets will continue to be closely monitored and higher rates of return secured whenever possible.

4. The Council's Lending Criteria 2016/17

- 4.1 The Council's Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy requires that deposits are only placed with a limited number of high quality banks and building societies whose credit rating is independently assessed as sufficiently secure based on data provided Fitch, Moodys and S&P (ratings agencies) or, for non-rated building societies, subject to their meeting minimum financial criteria (based on asset base size).
- 4.2 The unprecedented nature of the current economic and banking crisis has forced local authorities to keep their lending criteria under constant review to ensure that the balance between security of capital, liquidity of investments and yield on investment income is adequately maintained.
- 4.3 The below tables shows the credit criteria applicable at the 1 April 2016 and 30 September 2016:

<u>Institution</u>	<u>Credit Rating</u> <u>Short Term</u> (Fitch Moody's)	<u>Credit Rating</u> <u>Long Term</u> (Fitch Moody's)	<u>CDCM</u> <u>Maximum</u> <u>Investment</u>	<u>Internally</u> <u>Managed</u> <u>Maximum</u> <u>Investment</u>	<u>Aggregated</u> <u>Maximum</u> <u>Investment</u> <u>per Institution</u>
	<u>S&P)</u>	<u>S&P)</u>	£M	£M	£M
UK Clearing Banks (Lloyds/Bank of Scotland)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	6 (7)	2.5 (3.5)	8.5 (10.5)
UK Building Societies (The Top 10 & £1bn Assets)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	2.5	6.5
UK Building Societies (from Top 11 downwards & £1bn Assets)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	1.5	5.5
UK Clearing Bank Subsidiaries	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	2.5	6.5

Other UK Banks (with links to overseas banks)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	1.5	5.5
Overseas Banks	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	3	0	3
Local Authorities	N/A	N/A	7	3	10
Debt Management Office	N/A	N/A	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited

5 Compliance with Treasury Management Strategy & Code of Practice

5.1 During the first nine months of the financial year the Council operated within the approved Treasury limits and Prudential Indicators (as set out in the Council's Treasury Policy Statement and Annual Treasury Strategy Statement, including the above approved changes to lending limits where applicable). No institutions in which investments were made had any difficulty in repaying investments and interest in full during the period.

6 Interest Earned from Treasury Investments during the period 1 April to 31 December 2016

6.1 The table below summaries the interest earned during the period 1 April to 31 December 2016 on the various Treasury Investments held by the Council;

TREASURY MANAGEMENT – INTEREST EARNED SUMMARY	
	£
Temporary Investments – Term Deposits	25,157.00
Externally Managed Funds – CDCM / Tradition	150,530.00
NatWest Liquidity Select Account	2,007.62
Barclays FIBCA Account	6,840.02
Lloyds 95 Day Account	11,032.60
Santander 180 Day Account	7,828.77
Santander 95 Day Account	2,713.70
TOTAL INTEREST EARNED/ACCRUED	£206,109.71

6.2 The budgeted income from investments for the period 1 April to 31 December 2016 was £262,500 (average rate of return of 1.50%). Interest actually earned during the period totalled £206,109 (average rate of return 1.11%), an under-achievement of interest of £56,391 and an under-achievement of 0.39% on the average rate of return.

6.3 The under-achievement of interest earned was primarily due to the reduction in cash balances following the purchase of the Toggam Solar Farm.

6.4 The reduced average rate of return is due to the reduction in the Bank of England base rate and resulting reduction of interest rates offered by institutions.

6.5 By year end there is predicted to be an approx. £97k under achievement against budget of interest earned. At the end of 2015 FHDC established an Interest Equalisation Reserve, the current balance of this reserve is £70k. If

required this can be used at year end to mitigate some of this under-achievement.

7 Investment Activity during the period 1 April to 31 December 2016

7.1 The table below summaries the investment activities during the period;

TREASURY MANAGEMENT – INVESTMENT ACTIVITY SUMMARY	
	2016/17 £
Opening Balance 01 April 2016	29,490,000
Investments made during the year (including transfers to business reserve accounts)	60,400,000
Sub Total	89,890,000
Investments realised during the year (including withdrawals from business reserve accounts)	70,135,000
Closing Balance 31 December 2015	19,755,000

7.2 Investments were made with counterparties that met the agreed lending criteria and investment periods. Investment periods range from overnight to five years, dependent on the Council's cash flows, the view on interest rates and the actual interest rates on offer.

7.3 Where possible, investments were made in fixed term investments in order to lock into interest rates which exceed the Council's budgeted rate and to provide some certainty of return for a proportion of the Council's investments.

7.4 During the period, for cash flow generated balances, use was made of the instant access and business reserve accounts with Barclays and NatWest. At 31 December 2016, in order to maintain liquidity whilst at the same time achieving a reasonable rate of return in relation to base rate, £605k was held in these accounts at interest rates between 0.25% and 0.30%.

7.5 The table below shows the investments held as at 31 December 2016;


Investments held as at 31 December 2016				
Counterparty	Principal Amount	Interest Rate	Date Loaned	Date Returned
Lloyds TSB Bank Plc	2,000,000	3.65%	17/05/12	15/05/17
Close Bros Ltd	3,000,000	2.05%	08/01/15	09/01/17
National Counties B/Soc	2,500,000	1.75%	09/2/15	09/02/17
Principality B/Society	2,500,000	0.47%	08/08/16	20/02/17
Skipton B/Society	2,000,000	0.50%	15/08/16	13/03/17
Newcastle B/Society	1,000,000	0.35%	26/06/16	01/02/17
Nottingham B/Society	1,000,000	0.27%	01/12/16	19/01/17
Coventry B/Society	1,250,000	0.23%	15/12/16	20/01/17
Lloyds 95 Day	2,400,000	0.62%	95 day	notice
Santander 180 Day	1,000,000	0.90%	180 day	notice
Santander 95 Day	500,000	0.65%	95 day	notice
Barclays FIBCA	5,000	0.30%	Call	
NatWest LSA	600,000	0.25%	Call	
TOTAL	19,755,000			

8 Average Rate of Return Comparison

8.1 The table below shows the average rate of return for the various categories of investment against the 7 day average rate and 3 year – 7 day average rate;

Comparison of Average Rate of Return			
	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3
Temporary In-House Investments	0.39%	0.41%	0.40%
CDCM Investments	1.66%	1.87%	1.99%
Lloyds 95 Day Account	0.62%	0.6%	0.62%
Santander 180 Day Account	1.15%	1.11%	1.03%
Santander 95 Day Account	0.90%	0.85%	0.78%
Barclays FIBCA	0.45%	0.44%	0.43%
NatWest LSA	0.25%	0.25%	0.25%
Bank of Scotland Base Plus	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
7 Day Average	0.47%	0.37%	0.41%
3 year – 7 Day Average	0.48%	0.37%	0.64%
Overall Average return on Investments	1.00%	1.07%	1.11%

Average Rate of Return by Investment Category



9 Summary of Funds Held

9.1 The table below shows a summary of the funds held as at 31 December 2016

Summary of Funds held	
Fund	£
Revenue Reserves	10,514,965
Capital Receipts Reserves	4,461,122
General Funds Reserve	2,000,217
Cash flow Balances	2,778,696
Total Value of Investments	19,755,000

10 Borrowing

- 10.1 The Council borrowed £4.0m on 31 March 2008 to part fund the new Newmarket Leisure Centre. This is a long term loan, for 70 years, to 31 March 2078, at a rate of 4.24%.
- 10.2 The loan was on a LOBO basis, which stands for Lenders' Option, Borrowers' Option, which gave the Lender the opportunity to increase the interest rate at pre-set dates throughout the period of the loan, but also gave the Council the option to repay the loan and any accrued interest if we did not want to accept these new terms. The first option date was 31 March 2018 and thereafter the last business day of each successive period of five years.
- 10.3 In June 2016 Barclays converted this LOBO loan to a fixed term loan at the same interest rate (4.24%) and final maturity date 31 March 2078. This removed the option for them to contact the council at break clause dates and offer a settlement figure (usually a multiple of the original loan) or offer a higher interest rate to keep the loan.
- 10.4 Officers contacted Barclays for a redemption cost early in December 2016 and they quoted £4 million breakage costs on top of the original loan as a settlement figure (£8m in total).
- 10.5 Officers suggest that an annual redemption figures is obtained but currently this would cost us an additional £1m on the loan over its life given current PWLB loan rates if we were to break it and replace it with another lender.
- 10.6 The first interest payment for 2016/17, £85,032.32, was paid on 30 September 2016.
- 10.7 The Council also has two temporary loans totalling £2,000 which are repayable on 7 days notices. No request to repay either loan has been received during the period covered by this report.

Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Forest Heath
District Council

Title of Report:	Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements 2017/18 and Treasury Management Code of Practice	
Report No:	PAS/FH/17/007	
Report to and date/s:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	25 January 2017
	Cabinet	14 February 2017
	Council	22 February 2017
Portfolio holder:	Steven Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources, Governance and Performance Tel: 01638 660518 Email: stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk	
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk	
Purpose of report:	The purpose of this report is to seek approval for; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements 2017/18 (including treasury related Prudential Indicators) • The Treasury Management Code of Practice. 	

Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee is asked to:	
	<p>(1) Make recommendations to Cabinet and Council regarding the <u>approval</u> of the Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statement 2017/18 (as set out in Appendix 1 and 2); and</p> <p>(2) Make recommendations to Cabinet and Council regarding the <u>approval</u> of the Treasury Management Code of Practice (attached in Appendix 3 and 4).</p>	
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <u>do not</u> apply.)	<p>Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?</p> <p>Yes, it is a Key Decision - <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>No, it is not a Key Decision - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	
Consultation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Treasury management activities are currently undertaken in consultation with Tradition (City Deposit Cash Managers), the Council's appointed treasury management fund managers. 	
Alternative option(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable 	
Implications:		
Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total budgeted interest receipts from investments for 2017/18 is £181k. This amount has been included within the 2017/18 budget setting process & MTFS.
Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval and adoption of the Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements 2017/18 and the Treasury Management Code of Practice will ensure compliance with relevant legislation and guidance from professional bodies, in terms of best practice.
Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Risk/opportunity assessment:	(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)	

Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)
	Low/Medium/ High*		Low/Medium/ High*
Fluctuations in interest rates or in projected cash flows having significant impact on budgeted investment income.	High	Spread of investments for periods up to five years. Budget monitoring and half yearly performance reports	Medium
Bank / building society failure resulting in loss of Council funds.	High	Use of Tradition advice and counterparty credit ratings (based on Fitch, Moody and S&P ratings) and the setting of lending limits. Use of building societies based on asset base and additional credit ratings.	Medium
Further details regarding treasury management risks and risk management are included within the Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements 2017/18 and the Treasury Management Code of Practice.			
Ward(s) affected:		All Wards	
Background papers: <i>(all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)</i>			
Documents attached:		Appendix 1: Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy 2017/18 Appendix 2: Credit Ratings Definitions Appendix 3: Treasury Management Code of Practice Appendix 4: List of Approved Organisation	

1. Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements 2017/18

1.1 How will the recommendations help us meet our strategic priorities

1.1.1 In order for the Council to be able to meet its strategic priorities it is essential that sufficient and appropriate financial resources are available. Optimising returns from investments, without exposing the Council to an unacceptably high level of risk, increases those financial resources.

1.2 Changes between the 2016/17 Strategy and the new 2017/18 Strategy

1.2.1 In order to allow the Council to use other local authorities as a source of borrowing the following paragraph has been included in the 2017/18 Strategy:

‘In addition to the usual institutions used for borrowing, the Council may secure lending facilities with another local authority where suitable terms can be agreed and overall borrowing does not exceed the limits set within the Prudential Code.’

1.3 Interest rates 2017/18 to 2020/21

1.3.1 Following advice from the Council’s external fund managers, interest rate projections have been updated as follows:

	Previous Strategy	New Strategy
2017/18	1.75%	0.75%
2018/19	2.00%	0.90%
2019/20	2.50%	0.90%
2020/21		0.90%

1.3.2 The budgeted interest receipts from investments for 2017/18 is £181k. This amount has been included in the 2017/18 budget setting process.

1.3.3 Please see appendix 1 Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements 2017/18 for full details.

1.4 Credit Rating Definitions

1.4.1 There have been no changes to the Credit Rating Definitions since the 2016/17 Strategy was presented to Cabinet on 10 February 2016.

1.4.2 Please see appendix 2, Credit Rating Definitions, for full details.

2. Treasury Management Code of Practice

2.1 Changes between the 2016/17 Code of Practice and the 2017/18 Code of Practice

- 2.1.1 With the current low interest rates being offered for short term investments, the Council's current account with Lloyds Bank offers the same or even higher rates of interest than some short term investments. In order to use this facility and to aid short-term cash flow the £500k limit in TMP1(2) has been amended to read ' However, balances up to £1.0m may be retained in the bank account to be used to cover payments going out within the next week and the total interest lost, by retaining this money, does not exceed £50.00.'
- 2.1.2 This limit change to £1.0m for our current account is also reflected in TMP8
- 2.1.3 The addition of other local authorities as an approved source of borrowing (for both long term and short term borrowing) has been added to TMP3.
- 2.1.4 With increase working across various sites the use of emails as an approved means of authorisation has been added to TMP8

2.2 List of Approved Organisations for Investment

- 2.2.1 There have been a few minor changes to the List of Approved Organisations for Investment during 2016/17 due to credit rating changes and changes to the Top 10 List of Building Societies.
- 2.2.2 Please see Appendix 4, List of Approved Organisations for Investment, for full details.

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 1

**FOREST HEATH
DISTRICT COUNCIL**

***ANNUAL TREASURY
MANAGEMENT AND
INVESTMENT STRATEGY
STATEMENTS
2017/2018***

To be approved at Council on 22 February 2017

ANNUAL TREASURY MANAGEMENT AND INVESTMENT STRATEGY STATEMENTS 2017/2018

TREASURY MANAGEMENT POLICY STATEMENT

1. The Council defines its treasury management activities as:

“The management of the organisation’s cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and pursuit of the optimum performance consistent with those risks”.

2. The Council regards the successful identification, monitoring and control of risk to be the prime criteria by which the effectiveness of its treasury management activities will be measured. Accordingly, the analysis and reporting of treasury management activities will focus on their risk implications for the Council, and any financial instruments entered into to manage these risks.
3. The Council acknowledges that effective treasury management will provide support towards the achievement of its business and service objectives. It is therefore committed to the principles of achieving value in treasury management, and to employing suitable comprehensive performance measurement techniques, within the context of effective risk management.
4. The Council’s policies for borrowing and investments are detailed in the Treasury Management Annual Investment Strategy below.

ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY 2017/18

Introduction

5. Guidance from the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG) on Local Government Investments in England requires that an Annual Investment Strategy be set by the Council.
6. The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy’s (CIPFA) Code of Practice on Treasury Management also sets out various requirements for the Council to meet. The primary requirements of the Code are as follows:
 - Receipt by the full Council of Treasury Management Statements and Reports - including the Annual Treasury Management Investment Strategy Statement & Policy Statement, Minimum Revenue Provision Policy, a Mid-year Review Report and an Annual Report (stewardship report) covering activities during the previous year.
 - Creation and maintenance of Treasury Management Practices (Code of Practice) which set out the manner in which the Council will seek to achieve those policies and objectives.
 - Delegation by the Council of responsibilities for implementing and monitoring treasury management policies and practices and for the execution and administration of treasury management decisions.
 - Delegation by the Council of the role of scrutiny of treasury management strategy and policies to a specific named body.

7. The Council's general policy objective is to invest surplus funds prudently. The Council's investment priorities are; security and liquidity of the capital at an optimum yield which is commensurate with security and liquidity.

Risk Management

8. The Council regards the successful identification, monitoring and control of risk to be prime criteria by which the effectiveness of its Treasury Management activities will be measured. The main risks to the Council's treasury activities are:

- Credit and Counterparty Risk – Security of the Council's Investments.
- Market or Interest Rate Risk – Fluctuations in interest rate levels and thereby in the value of investments.
- Liquidity Risk – Inadequate cash resources.
- Inflation Risk – Exposure to inflation.
- Legal and Regulatory Risk – Non compliance with Statutory and Regulatory requirements and the risk of fraud.

9. The above risks have been taken into account when setting the proposed Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements. The risk that remains the Council's primary focus is that around the credit and counterparty risk and potential loss of its investments in a similar way to that following the Icelandic Banks collapse. The controls that the Strategy require are:

- credit worthiness checks of counterparties through the 3 main credit rating agencies; or
- Asset Value checks assisting the Council to manage this risk.

10. Officers also have access, through the Council's external fund managers to real time market advice and expertise.

11. Further risk centres on the loss of interest receipts through decreased interest rates which has a direct impact on the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS). Should the market move in an adverse direction, the actual receipts will be lower than forecast budgets and therefore have a detrimental effect on this significant revenue income stream to the MTFS. In the current climate this is a high risk for the Council but beyond its control. The position will be monitored and actual interest receipts against forecast will be reported through Joint Leadership Team and Performance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee, the outcome of which may require the Council to revise its MTFS accordingly.

12. Given the current volatility in financial markets and the performance of institutions, it is probable that status changes may occur during the next 12 months. Accordingly it may be necessary for Officers to update Members verbally as to the impact of these changes and, if appropriate, on alternative courses of action which may have to be considered in order for the Council to have worthwhile investment opportunities during the year.

Scheme of delegation and the role of the Section 151 officer

13. The Section 151 Officer, or Deputy Section 151 Officer, has delegated responsibility under the Treasury Management Code of Practice for the execution and administration of treasury management decisions.
14. The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer may delegate their treasury management responsibilities to members of their staff. The full scheme of delegation can be found in the Council's Treasury Management Code of Practice (in the section TMP5 – Organisation, Clarity and Segregation of Responsibilities, and Dealing Arrangements).
15. Staff that have responsibility for the treasury function are required to have sufficient knowledge and expertise in treasury management to be able to understand and critically consider the advice provided by the Council's treasury consultants.
16. The training needs of staff are considered on a regular basis as part of the Council's appraisal process. The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will ensure that treasury management staff receive appropriate training and have the necessary skills to be able to undertake their duties effectively.

Use of External Brokers/Advisors/Fund Managers

17. The Council will ensure, through regular meetings and discussions with their fund managers, brokers and/or advisors, that the service is tailored to the Council's needs and strategic aims, and that they appreciate the Council's position on the balance between risk and reward in its treasury activities. The Council has regard to all the advice and information provided by the external support, but responsibility for treasury decisions remains with the Council.

Creditworthiness Policy & Lending Criteria

18. The Council needs to set investment criteria for both internally and externally managed funds, based on who is an appropriate institution to hold the Council deposits. Ideally any counterparty policy should spread investments over the maximum number of counterparties, who vary in type i.e. building societies or banks. However, the key concern of the counterparty policy is to manage risk in a practical way rather than stick to a theoretical ideal. Usually those organisations or types of investments with the lower risk (best credit worthiness) are those that pay the lowest returns, those with lower credit worthiness have to pay the higher returns to attract investors. Therefore the Council must strike a balance of risk and return.
19. There are numerous ways of measuring credit worthiness, the most widely adopted are reliant on private credit rating companies, who score the worthiness of some banks and financial institutions. The companies most commonly used are Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poor's.
20. Officers recommend the approach to use credit ratings from these 3 credit agencies and the Building Society £1bn Assets List to determine counterparty strength. The Council's counterparty policy's fundamental principle is to limit investments to UK institutions of good credit worth and where most importantly government support can be expected.

21. The Council is required to categorise the types of investments/institutions that it is delegating to officers to use. The Council will maintain a counterparty list in compliance with the following criteria:

- For short term investments (less than 1 year) – Credit rating as follows:
 - Fitch Rating – F1+, F1 or F2
 - Moody’s Rating – P1 or P2
 - Standard & Poor’s Rating – AAA, AA or A

Building Societies £1bn assets or more (consideration will also be given to their credit rating, if one is available, in line with the criteria above)

All 3 credit rating agency scores will be reviewed. Appendix 2 provides the Credit Agencies definitions.

- For long term investments (1 year or more) – Credit rating as follows:
 - Fitch Rating – AAA to BBB*
 - Moody’s Rating – Aaa to Baa2*
 - Standard & Poor’s Rating – AAA to BBB*

*The Head of Resources and Performance will consult with the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Performance and Governance before an investment is made with a BBB+ or a BBB rated institution.

Building Societies £1bn assets or more (consideration will also be given to their credit rating, if one is available, in line with the criteria above)

All 3 credit rating agency scores will be reviewed.

- Credit worthiness check of £1bn of Assets for all Building Societies; using the Building Societies Association guide which lists the assets of UK Building Societies, ranked by group assets, taken from their latest annual reports.
- Period of Investments to be limited to 5 years maximum.
- The use of UK institutions only for internally managed funds.
- Maximum investment limit per institution of £8.5M (£10.5M Lloyds/Bank of Scotland, which is heavily government owned and the Council bank), £6.5M if it is a Building Society in the Top 10 of the Building Societies Association guide or, £5.5M if it is a Building Society that falls below the Top 10 listed on the Building Societies Association guide but still holds the required £1bn in assets. The limit per institution is broken down between internally managed and externally managed funds as set out in the following table:

<u>Institution</u>	<u>Credit Rating Short Term (Fitch Moody's, S&P)</u>	<u>Credit Rating Long Term (Fitch Moody's, S&P)</u>	<u>CDCM Maximum Investment</u>	<u>Internally Managed Maximum Investment</u>	<u>Aggregated Maximum Investment per Institution</u>
			£M	£M	£M
UK Clearing Banks (Lloyds/Bank of Scotland)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	6 (7)	2.5 (3.5)	8.5 (10.5)
UK Building Societies (The Top 10 & £1bn Assets)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	2.5	6.5
UK Building Societies (from Top 11 downwards & £1bn Assets)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	1.5	5.5
UK Clearing Bank Subsidiaries	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	2.5	6.5
Other UK Banks (with links to overseas banks)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	1.5	5.5
Overseas Banks	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	3	0	3
Local Authorities	N/A	N/A	7	3	10
Debt Management Office	N/A	N/A	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited

*The Head of Resources and Performance will consult with the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Performance and Governance before an investment is made with a BBB+ or a BBB rated institution.

- Where banks or building societies are part of a merged group structure (jointly owned) the limits apply to the group as a whole not separately to individual banks.
- With regard to the Council's internally managed call accounts, interest receipts will not be included when considering investment limits. This is due to interest receipts being paid into the call accounts directly. Therefore until a withdrawal can be made the balance within the account may temporarily exceed the limits stated above.

22. It is proposed that the Council's current externally managed portfolio with CDCM is to continue to be invested for periods of up to 5 years, in line with the Council's overall cash flow requirements. In this way it is anticipated that a profile of maturing investments will protect the Council against the impact of low interest rates while, at the same time, allowing it to take advantage of positive changes in investment returns. Internally managed funds will continue to be placed over relatively short periods, thus ensuring that there are sufficient resources to meet all the Council's expenditure commitments throughout the year.

23. In looking for security and a return on smaller investments the Council will continue to use deposit /call accounts with major banks and building societies on its approved counterparty list. In parallel with this option, and to deal with any further uncertainties in the financial markets, it is proposed that, should the need arise the Council will place investments with the Debt Management Office through the Debt Management Agency Deposit Facility or with Local Authorities direct. Whilst the rates of interest earned are below market rates the Council's capital investments will be protected.

24. In line with the recommendations in previous strategies, the Council's investment portfolio combines a mix of banks and building societies.

Investment Security - Specified and Unspecified Investments

25. The Secretary of State requires that the Council identifies institutions which will be used for specified and unspecified investments.

26. The definitions of specified and unspecified investments are shown below:

- An investment is a *specified investment* if all of the following apply:
 - (a) the investment is denominated in sterling and any payments or repayments in respect of the investment are payable only in sterling;
 - (b) the investment is not a long-term investment (1 year or more)
 - (c) the making of the investment is not defined as capital expenditure by virtue of regulation 25(1)(d) of the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting)(England) Regulations 2003 [SI 3146 as amended];
 - (d) the investment is made with a body or in an investment scheme of high credit quality (see below); or with one of the following public sector bodies:
 - i. the UK Government
 - ii. a local authority in England & Wales (as defined in section 23 of the 2003 Act) or similar body in Scotland or Northern Ireland
 - iii. a parish or community council.

An institution is deemed to have a high credit quality if it holds a short term credit rating of at least one of the following:

Fitch	F2 or better
Standard & Poor's	A or better
Moody's	P2 or better

Building Societies	£1bn assets or more (consideration will also be given to their credit rating, if one is available, in line with the criteria above)
--------------------	---

- An *unspecified investment* is any other investment that does not meet the definition of a specified investment. Basically these are investments with maturity dates greater than 1 year, or where no credit ratings have been awarded to the organisations concerned.

The Council will not make unspecified investments unless the institution holds a long term credit rating of at least one of the following:

Fitch	BBB or better*
Standard & Poor's	Baa2 or better*
Moody's	BBB or better*

*The Head of Resources and Performance will consult with the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Performance and Governance before an investment is made with a BBB+ or a BBB rated institution.

Building Societies	£1bn assets or more (consideration will also be given to their credit rating, if one is available, in line with the criteria above)
--------------------	---

Investment Liquidity - Longer Term Investments

27.The following longer term investment restrictions will apply:-

- No more than £20M (nominal) can be invested for periods of 1 year or longer.
- No investments can be made for periods longer than 5 years.
- The total value of funds controlled by any individual advisor/fund manager to the Council shall not exceed £30M (nominal).

On any day:

- No more than £15M (nominal) in total shall be held in investments where the remaining term up to the date of maturity is at least 4 years but not exceeding 5 years.
- No more than £20M (nominal) in total shall be held in investments where the remaining term up to the date of maturity exceeds 1 year.
- No more than 60% of the total value of investments held will be subject to a variable rate of return.

Borrowing/External Debt

28.The option of short or long term borrowing is available to the Council. However the Council must have regard to the Prudential Code when setting borrowing limits and must ensure that any borrowing is sustainable and affordable.

29.The Council's external debt as at 31 December 2016 was as follows:-

	£
Public Works Loan Board	0
Mortgages	0
Bonds	0
Temporary Loans	2,000
Long Term Loans	4,000,000

30.In addition to the usual institutions used for borrowing, the Council may secure lending facilities with another local authority where suitable terms can be agreed and overall borrowing does not exceed the limits set within the Prudential Code.

Council's Banker

31.The Council's bankers are Lloyds Bank Plc. On adoption of this strategy, the bank meets the credit criteria of F2 or above for short term investments. It is the Council's intention to use the interest bearing current account, deposit accounts and / or call accounts provided by Lloyds for short term liquidity requirements (e.g. overnight and weekend investments) and business continuity arrangements.

Balanced Budget Requirement

32.The Council complies with the provisions of S32 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 to set a balanced budget.

Future Interest Rates

33.The Bank of England cut the Official Base rate to 0.25% on 4 August 2016. The rate had previously been 0.50% since 5 March 2009.

34.Given the current economic situation it is difficult to forecast when the base rate will increase. The Council will work with its external fund managers to ensure that it maximises all of its returns on investments in line with the latest information available.

35.The average investment interest rates which are expected to apply to the Council's investments have been determined in consultation with the external fund managers and have been included in the MTFS interest projections are as follows:

- 2017/18 – 0.75%
- 2018/19 – 0.90%
- 2019/20 – 0.90%
- 2020/21 – 0.90%

Total Investments

36.Please see the following table for full details of the investments held by the Council as at 31 December 2016.

Counterparty Name	Value £	Interest Rate %	Date Loaned	Date of Return
NATWEST ACCOUNT NatWest Liquidity Select Account	600,000	0.250		
BARCLAYS ACCOUNT Barclays FIBCA Account	5,000	0.300		
LLOYDS BANK 95 day notice account	2,400,000	0.620	01-Apr-16	
SANTANDER 180 day notice account	1,000,000	0.900	15-Oct-15	
95 day notice account	500,000	0.65	22-Apr-16	

TEMPORARY INVESTMENTS				
Principality Building Society	2,500,000	0.470	08-Aug-16	20-Feb-17
Skipton Building Society	2,000,000	0.500	15-Aug-16	15-Mar-17
Newcastle Building Society	1,000,000	0.350	26-Sep-16	01-Feb-17
Nottingham Building Society	1,000,000	0.270	01-Dec-16	19-Jan-17
Coventry	1,250,000	0.230	15-Dec-16	20-Jan-17
CDCM MANAGED INVESTMENTS				
Lloyds TSB Bank Plc	2,000,000	3.650	17-May-12	15-May-17
Close Bros Ltd	3,000,000	1.050	08-Jan-15	09-Jan-17
National Counties Building Society	2,500,000	1.750	09-Feb-15	09-Feb-17
TOTAL VALUE OF INVESTMENTS HELD	19,755,000			

Capital Finance

37.The financing of the Council's capital programme is considered by Cabinet and is ratified by Council. External financing is required to be inline with the Councils approved Treasury Management and Investment Strategy and approved Prudential Indicators.

Treasury Management Code of Practice

38.The Treasury Management Code of Practice is the subject of a separate report approved by Council. The Code of Practice underpins the Strategy and provides further details regarding the operational requirements and processes regarding treasury management

Prudential Indicators

39.The Council's overall Treasury Management Strategy and Code of Practice links into the Council's Prudential Indicators and Minimum Revenue Provision Policy which are subject to separate reports to Council. A summary of the treasury related indicators is as follows, however these may be subject to change during the final budget setting processes. The final Prudential Indicator figures will be approved by Council in February:

	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000
Authorised limit for external debt					
Borrowing	5,559	5,559	5,559	5,559	5,559
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	5,559	5,559	5,559	5,559	5,559
Operational boundary for external debt -					
Borrowing	5,003	5,003	5,003	5,003	5,003
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	5,003	5,003	5,003	5,003	5,003

	%	%	%	%
Maturity Structure of Borrowing				
Upper Limit for % of borrowing maturing in:				
• Under 12 Months	20%	20%	20%	20%
• 1 - 2 years	0%	0%	0%	0%
• 2 - 5 years	0%	0%	0%	0%
• 5 - 10 years	0%	0%	0%	0%
• Over 10 years	80%	80%	80%	80%
The lower limit for all periods	0%	0%	0%	0%
Upper limit for fixed interest rate exposure				
expressed as a % of total investments	100%	100%	100%	100%
Upper limit for variable rate exposure				
expressed as a % of total investments	60%	60%	60%	60%
	£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000
Upper limit for total principal sums invested for over 364 days (per maturity date)	20,000	20,000	20,000	15,000

This page is intentionally left blank

Definition of Credit Agency's ratings

Credit ratings provide an opinion on the relative ability of an entity to meet financial commitments, such as interest, preferred dividends, repayment of principal, insurance claims or counterparty obligations. Credit ratings are used by investors as indications of the likelihood of receiving the money owed to them in accordance with the terms on which they invested. The agency's credit ratings cover the global spectrum of corporate, sovereign (including supranational and sub-national), financial, bank, insurance, municipal and other public finance entities and the securities or other obligations they issue, as well as structured finance securities backed by receivables or other financial assets.

A summary of Fitch's, Moody's and Standard & Poor's equivalent short term credit ratings (highest to adequate/acceptable) are shown in the following tables, with ratings explanations.

Fitch ratings

Short-Term

Long-Term

Rating	Rating Explanation	Rating	Rating Explanation
F1 - Highest Credit Quality	Indicates the strongest intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments; may have an added '+' to denote any exceptionally strong credit feature.	AAA – Highest Credit Quality	'AAA' ratings denote the lowest expectation of default.
F2 - Good Credit Quality	Good intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments.	AA – Very High Credit Quality	'AA' ratings denote expectations of very low default risk.
		A – High Credit Quality	'A' ratings denote expectations of low default risk.
F3 - Fair Credit Quality	The intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is adequate.	BBB – Good Credit Quality	'BBB' ratings indicate that expectations of default risk are currently low.

Moody's ratings

Short-Term

Long-Term

Rating	Rating Explanation	Rating	Rating Explanation
P1 Prime-1	Issuers (or supporting institutions) rated Prime-1 have a superior ability to repay short-term debt obligation.	Aaa - Highest Quality	Obligations rated Aaa are judged to be of the highest quality, subject to the lowest level of credit risk.
P2 Prime-2	Issuers (or supporting institutions) rated Prime-2 have a strong ability to repay short-term debt obligation.	Aa - High Quality	Obligations rated Aa are judged to be of high quality and are subject to very low credit risk.
		A - Upper Medium Grade	Obligations rated A are judged to be upper-medium grade and are subject to low credit risk.
P3 Prime-3	Issuers (or supporting institutions) rated Prime-3 have an acceptable ability to repay short-term debt obligation.	Baa - Medium Grade	Obligations rated Baa are judged to be medium-grade and are subject to moderate credit risk.

Standard & Poor's ratings

Short-Term and Long-Term

Rating	Rating Explanation
'AAA' - Highest Rating	Extremely strong capacity to meet financial commitments.
'AA'	Very strong capacity to meet financial commitments.
'A'	Strong capacity to meet financial commitments, but somewhat susceptible to adverse economic conditions and changes in circumstances.
'BBB'	Adequate capacity to meet financial commitments, but more subject to adverse economic conditions.

Forest Heath & St Edmundsbury councils



FOREST HEATH DISTRICT COUNCIL

Treasury Management

Code of Practice

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

This Code for Treasury Management within the Authority is based on the 2011 Fully Revised Code recommended nationally by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA). The Code also incorporates revisions introduced by CIPFA in its document "Treasury Management in the Public Services – Code of Practice and Cross-Sectoral Guidance Notes" ("the Code"). CIPFA is the leading professional accountancy body for the public services in the United Kingdom and a Member of the Consultative Committee of Accountancy Bodies. Its Members specialise in Public Sector Financial Management and Accountancy, and it includes among its Members the Chief Financial Officers of most Local Authorities in England, Wales and Scotland.

Adherence to the principles of this Code should ensure that Treasury Management within the authority is adequately controlled, and carried out in accordance with professional guidance of best practice.

The Institute recommends the Council to adopt this Code for Treasury Management in the Authority.

DEFINITION OF THE CODE

For the purposes of this Code, "Treasury Management Activities" is defined as:-

"The management of the Local Authority's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of the optimum performance consistent with those risks."

SECTION 2

PURPOSE OF THE CIPFA CODE

The objectives of the CIPFA Code are:-

- To assist public service organisations in the development and maintenance of firm foundations and clear objectives for their treasury management activities, and thereby to add to their credibility in the public eye.
- To emphasise the overriding importance of effective risk management as the foundation for treasury management in all public service bodies.
- To encourage the pursuit of value for money in treasury management, and to promote the reasoned use, development and appreciation of appropriate and practical measures of performance.
- To enable CIPFA Members to fulfil their professional and contractual responsibilities to the organisations they serve and, in accordance with the members' charter, "to maintain and develop the professional competence of both themselves and those they supervise".
- To help facilitate a standardisation and codification of treasury management policies and practices in the public services.
- To assist those involved in the regulation and review of treasury management in the public services, particularly those charged with the audit of the same.
- To foster a continuing debate on the relevance and currency of the statutory and regulatory regimes under which treasury management in the various parts of the public services operates.
- To further the understanding and confidence of, and to act as a reference work for, financial and other institutions whose businesses bring them into contact with the treasury management activities of public service organisations.

The purpose of the CIPFA code is to give guidance and advice on such matters as:-

- Risk Management.
- Performance Management.
- Decision making and analysis.
- Approved instruments, methods and techniques.
- Organisation, clarity and segregation of responsibilities and dealing arrangements.
- Reporting requirement and management information arrangements.
- Budget, accounting and reporting arrangements.
- Cash and cash flow management.
- Money laundering.
- Training and qualifications.
- Use of external service providers.
- Corporate Governance.

In preparing this Code, and any subsequent amendments recommended to the Council, the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer shall consider and include, as appropriate, any of the issues referred to in the Guide.

SECTION 3

REQUIREMENT FOR THE OPERATION OF THE CODE

The Council's Terms of Reference and delegation to its Committees, and Officers shall provide for the items as detailed in the schedule to TMP 5, Organisation, Clarity and segregation of responsibilities and dealing arrangements.

The Terms of Reference for Cabinet shall provide as follows:-

1. Supervision, management and administration of budgets, expenditure/income control, borrowing, investments, insurance, banking, internal and external auditors, estimates and supplementary estimates in accordance with the Council's Standing Orders and Financial Regulations.
2. In respect of borrowing and investments, the Council shall adopt and maintain a Treasury Policy Statement, setting out its strategy and procedures on Treasury Management. The Council has adopted the CIPFA 'Code for Treasury Management in Local Authorities' as the basis of the Council's formal Code of Practice on Treasury Management.

The Financial Regulations of the Council shall provide as follows:-

1. A Treasury Policy Statement and key recommendations, based upon CIPFA's 'Treasury Management in the Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes' (the CIPFA Code) shall be adopted by the Council. The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer shall advise the Council immediately of any significant amendment of the CIPFA Code and any consequent or necessary amendment of the Treasury Policy Statement.
2. All monies held by the Council shall be aggregated for the purposes of treasury management and shall be under the control of the Section 151 officer, as designated for the purposes of the Local Government Act 1972.
3. The Council shall create and maintain suitable Treasury Management Practices (TMPs), setting out the manner in which the organisations will seek to achieve those policies and objectives, and prescribing how it will manage and control those activities. The Council's Treasury Management Practices are detailed in the schedules to the TMPs.
4. The content of this policy statement and TMPs will, wherever practicable, follow the recommendations contained in the CIPFA Code. Any deviation from the Code, for practical purposes, will not result in a material deviation from the Code's key recommendations.
5. The Council will receive reports on its treasury management policies, practices and activities, including as a minimum, an annual strategy and plan in advance of the ensuing financial year, and an annual report after its close, in the form prescribed in its TMPs.
6. This organisation delegates responsibility for the implementation and monitoring of its treasury management policies and practices to the

Council and for the execution and administration of treasury management decisions to the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer who will act in accordance with the organisation's policy statement and TMPs and, if he/she is a CIPFA member, CIPFA's Standard of Professional Practice on Treasury Management.

7. Any trust fund shall, if possible, be in the name of the Council and any deeds relating to such funds shall be deposited with the Chief Executive for safekeeping, unless the deeds provide otherwise.
8. The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer is given authority to deal with any emergency situation that may arise in relation to any matters not already delegated within the Treasury Management Policy.

The delegation to the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer under the Cabinet shall provide as follows:-

1. To manage the Council's loan debt and to raise and repay loans, together with other appropriate instruments, to give effect to the borrowing limits in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003 (which requires local authorities to have regard to the CIPFA Prudential Code) and any other relevant controls imposed by Central Government.
2. Subject to the Council's Financial Regulations, to undertake all investment transactions including the management of the External Investment Fund in liaison with any Fund Managers appointed by the Council, and within the investment policy determined by the Council.

The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer is required to report any amendments to this Code and to obtain any necessary resolutions of the Council pertaining to Treasury Management. Where there is any material departure from the CIPFA Code the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer shall clearly advise the Council of such departure.

SECTION 4

THE TREASURY MANAGEMENT POLICY STATEMENT

The principal legislation governing Treasury Policy matters is the Local Government Act 2003. The Act requires the Council to determine several fundamental items of financial policy on an annual basis for the ensuing year including the Treasury Management strategy.

The Council defines its treasury management activities as:

“The management of the organisation’s cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and pursuit of the optimum performance consistent with those risks”.

The Council regards the successful identification, monitoring and control of risk to be the prime criteria by which the effectiveness of its treasury management activities will be measured. Accordingly, the analysis and reporting of treasury management activities will focus on their risk implications for the Council, and any financial instruments entered into to manage these risks.

The Council acknowledges that effective treasury management will provide support towards the achievement of its business and service objectives. It is therefore committed to the principles of achieving value in treasury management, and to employing suitable comprehensive performance measurement techniques, within the context of effective risk management.

SECTION 5

TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Index of Treasury Management Practices

The following Treasury Management Practices (TMPs) are incorporated in the Treasury Management Code of Practice in accordance with CIPFA Guidance:-

TMP 1	Risk management
TMP 2	Performance measurement
TMP 3	Decision making and analysis
TMP 4	Approved instruments, methods and techniques
TMP 5	Organisation, clarity and segregation of responsibilities and dealing arrangements
TMP 6	Reporting requirement and management information arrangements
TMP 7	Budgeting, accounting and audit arrangements
TMP 8	Cash and cash flow management
TMP 9	Money laundering
TMP 10	Training and qualifications
TMP 11	Use of external service providers
TMP 12	Corporate governance

TMP 1 RISK MANAGEMENT

General Statement

The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will design, implement and monitor all arrangements for the identification, management and control of treasury management risk, will report at least annually on the adequacy/suitability thereof, and will report, as a matter of urgency, the circumstances of any actual or likely difficulty in achieving the organisation's objectives in this respect, all in accordance with the procedures set out in TMP6 Reporting requirements and management information arrangements. In respect of each of the following risks, the arrangements which seek to ensure compliance with these objectives are set out in the schedule to this document.

1. Credit and Counterparty Risk Management

Definition: Credit and counterparty risk is the risk of failure by a counterparty to meet its contractual obligations to the organisation under an investment, borrowing, capital project or partnership financing, particularly as a result of the counterparty's diminished creditworthiness, and the resulting detrimental effect on the organisation's capital or current (revenue) resources.

The Council regards a key objective of its treasury management activities to be the security of the principal sums it invests. Accordingly, it will ensure that its counterparty lists and limits reflect a prudent attitude towards organisations with whom funds may be deposited, and will limit its investment activities to the instruments, methods and techniques referred to in TMP4 Approved instruments, methods and techniques and listed in the schedule to

this document. It also recognises the need to have, and will therefore maintain, a formal counterparty policy in respect of those organisations from which it may borrow, or with whom it may enter into other financing arrangements.

2. **Liquidity Risk Management**

Definition: This is the risk that cash will not be available when it is needed, that ineffective management of liquidity creates additional unbudgeted costs, and that the organisation's business/service objectives will thereby be compromised.

The Council will ensure it has adequate though not excessive cash resources, borrowing arrangements, overdraft or standby facilities to enable it at all times to have the level of funds available to it which are necessary for the achievement of its business/services objectives.

This Council will only borrow in advance of need where there is a clear business case for doing so and will only do so for the current capital programme or to finance future debt maturities.

3. **Interest Rate Risk Management**

Definition: The risk that fluctuations in the levels of interest rates create an unexpected or unbudgeted burden on the organisation's finances, against which the organisation has failed to protect itself adequately.

The Council will manage its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates with a view to containing its interest costs, or securing its interest revenues, in accordance with the amounts provided in its budgetary arrangements as amended in accordance with TMP6 Reporting requirements and management information arrangements.

It will achieve this by the prudent use of its approved financing and investment instruments, methods and techniques, primarily to create stability and certainty of costs and revenues, but at the same time retaining sufficient degree of flexibility to take advantage of unexpected, potentially advantageous changes in the level or structure of interest rates. This should be subject to the consideration and, if required, approval of any policy or budgetary implications.

4. **Exchange Rate Risk Management**

Definition: The risk that fluctuations in foreign exchange rates create an unexpected or unbudgeted burden on the organisation's finances, against which the organisation has failed to protect itself adequately.

The Council will manage its exposure to fluctuations in exchange rates so as to minimise any detrimental impact on its budgeted income/expenditure levels.

5. Refinancing Risk Management

Definition: The risk that maturing borrowings, capital, project or partnership financings cannot be refinanced on terms that reflect the provisions made by the organisation for those refinancing, both capital and current (revenue), and/or that the terms are inconsistent with prevailing market conditions at the time.

The Council will ensure where applicable that its borrowing, private financing and partnership arrangements are negotiated, structured and documented, and the maturity profile of the monies so raised are managed, with a view to obtaining offer terms for renewal or refinancing, if required, which are competitive and as favourable to the organisation as can reasonably be achieved in the light of market conditions prevailing at the time.

It will actively manage its relationships with its counterparties in these transactions in such a manner as to secure this objective, and will avoid overreliance on any one source of funding if this might jeopardise achievement of the above.

At present the Council is not involved in any Private Finance Initiatives.

6. Legal and Regulatory Risk Management

Definition: The risk that the organisation itself, or an organisation with which it is dealing in its treasury management activities, fails to act in accordance with its legal powers or regulatory requirements, and that the organisation suffers losses accordingly.

The Council will ensure that all of its treasury management activities comply with its statutory powers and regulatory requirements. It will demonstrate such compliance, if required to do so, to all parties with whom it deals in such activities. In framing its credit and counterparty policy under TMP1(1) credit and counterparty risk management, it will ensure that there is evidence of counterparties' powers, authority and compliance in respect of the transactions they may effect with the organisation, particularly with regard to duty of care and fees charged.

The Council recognises that future legislative or regulatory changes may impact on its treasury management activities and, so far as it is reasonably able to do so, will seek to minimise the risk of these impacting adversely on the organisation.

7. Fraud, Error and Corruption, and Contingency Management

Definition: The risk that an organisation fails to identify the circumstances in which it may be exposed to the risk of loss through fraud, error, corruption or other eventualities in its treasury management dealings, and fails to employ suitable systems and procedures and maintain effective contingency management arrangements to these ends. It includes the area of risk commonly referred to as operational risk.

The Council will ensure that it has identified the circumstances which may expose it to the risk of loss through fraud, error, corruption or other eventualities in its treasury management dealings. Accordingly, it will employ suitable systems and procedures, and will maintain effective contingency management arrangements to these ends.

8. **Market Risk Management**

Definition: The risk that, through adverse market fluctuations in the value of the principal sums an organisation invests, its stated treasury management policies and objectives are compromised, against which effects it has failed to protect itself adequately.

The Council will seek to ensure that its stated treasury management policies and objectives will not be compromised by adverse market fluctuations in the value of the principal sums it invests, and will accordingly seek to protect itself from the effects of such fluctuations.

9. **Inflation Risk Management**

Definition: The uncertainty over the future real value (after inflation) of your investment.

The effects of varying levels of inflation, insofar as they can be identified as impacting directly on its treasury management activities, will be controlled by the Council as an integral part of its strategy for managing its overall exposure to inflation.

TMP 2 Performance Management

The Council is committed to the pursuit of value for money in its treasury management activities, and to the use of performance methodology in support of that aim, within the framework set out in its treasury management policy statement.

Accordingly, the treasury management function will be the subject of ongoing analysis of the value it adds in support of the organisation's stated business or service objectives. It will be the subject of regular examination of alternative methods of service delivery, of the availability of fiscal or other grant or subsidy incentives, and of the scope for other potential improvements. The performance of the treasury management function will be measured using the criteria set out in the schedule to this document.

TMP 3 Decision-Making and Analysis

The Council will maintain full records of its treasury management decisions, and of the processes and practices applied in reaching those decisions, both for the purpose of learning from the past, and for demonstrating that reasonable steps were taken to ensure that all issues relevant to those decisions were taken into account at the time. The issues to be addressed and processes and practices to be pursued in reaching decisions are detailed in the schedule to this document.

TMP 4 Approved Instruments, Methods and Techniques

This organisation will undertake its treasury management activities by employing only those instruments, methods and techniques detailed in the schedule to this document, and within the limits and parameters defined in TMP1 Risk management.

TMP 5 Organisation, Clarity and Segregation of Responsibilities, and Dealing Arrangements

This organisation considers it essential, for the purposes of the effective control and monitoring of its treasury management activities, for the reduction of the risk of fraud or error, and for the pursuit of optimum performance, that these activities are structured and managed in a fully integrated manner, and that there is at all times a clarity of treasury management responsibilities.

The principle on which this will be based is a clear distinction between those charged with setting treasury management policies and those charged with implementing and controlling these policies, particularly with regard to the execution and transmission of funds, the recording and administering of treasury management decisions, and the audit and review of the treasury management function.

If and when the Council intends, as a result of lack of resources or other circumstances, to depart from these principles, the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will ensure that the reasons are properly reported in accordance with TMP6 Reporting requirement and management information arrangements, and the implications properly considered and evaluated.

The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will ensure that there are clear written statements of the responsibilities for each post engaged in treasury management, and the arrangements for absence cover. The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will also ensure that at all times those engaged in treasury management will follow the policies and procedures set out. The present arrangements are detailed within this document.

The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will ensure there is proper documentation for all deals and transactions and that procedures exist for the effective transmission of funds. The present arrangements are detailed in the Treasury Management Procedural Notes.

The delegations to the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer in respect of treasury management are set out within this document. The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will fulfil all such responsibilities in accordance with the organisation's policy statement and TMPs and if a CIPFA member, the Standard of Professional Practice on Treasury Management.

TMP 6 Reporting Requirements and Management Information Arrangements

The Council will ensure that regular reports are prepared and considered on the implementation of its treasury management policies; on the effects of decisions taken and transactions executed in pursuit of those policies; on the implications of changes, particularly budgetary, resulting from regulatory, economic, market or other factors affecting its treasury management activities; and on the performance of the treasury management function.

As a minimum, Cabinet will receive:

- An annual report on the strategy and plan to be pursued in the coming year.
- A mid-year review
- An annual report on the performance of the treasury management function, on the effects of the decisions taken and the transactions executed in the past year, and on any circumstances of non-compliance with the organisation's treasury management policy statement and TMPs.

The body responsible for scrutiny, such as an audit or scrutiny committee, will have the responsibility for the scrutiny of treasury management policies and practices.

Local authorities should report the treasury management indicators as detailed in their sector-specified guidance notes.

The present arrangements and the form of these reports are detailed within this document.

TMP 7 Budgeting, Accounting and Audit Arrangements

The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will prepare, and the Council will approve and, if necessary, from time to time will amend, an annual budget for treasury management, which will bring together all of the costs involved in running the treasury management function, together with associated income. The matters to be included in the budget will at minimum be those required by statute or regulation, together with such information as will demonstrate compliance with TMP1 Risk management, TMP2 Performance measurement, and TMP4 Approved instruments, methods and techniques.

The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will exercise effective controls over this budget, and will report on and recommend any changes required in accordance with TMP6 Reporting requirements and management information arrangements.

The Council will account for its treasury management activities, for decisions made and transactions executed, in accordance with appropriate accounting practices and standards, and with statutory and regulatory requirements in force for the time being.

The Council will ensure that its auditors, and those charged with regulatory review, have access to all information and papers supporting the activities of the treasury management function as are necessary for the proper fulfilment

of their roles, and that such information and papers demonstrate compliance with external and internal policies and approved practices. The information made available under present arrangements is detailed in the schedule to this document.

TMP 8 Cash and Cash Flow Management

Unless statutory or regulatory requirements demand otherwise, all monies in the hands of this organisation will be under the control of the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer, and will be aggregated for cash flow and investment management purposes. Cash flow projections will be prepared on a regular and timely basis, and the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will ensure that these are adequate for the purposes of monitoring compliance with TMP1 (2) liquidity risk management.

TMP 9 Money Laundering

The Council is alert to the possibility that it may become the subject of an attempt to involve it in a transaction involving the laundering of money. Accordingly, it will maintain procedures for verifying and recording the identity of counterparties and reporting suspicions, and will ensure that staff involved in this are properly trained.

Any suspicions of money laundering activities would be reported to the Internal Audit Manager who is the Money Laundering Reporting Officer (MLRO) or the Senior Auditor who is the Deputy Money Laundering Reporting Officer.

TMP 10 Staff Training and Qualifications

The Council recognises the importance of ensuring that all staff involved in the treasury management function are fully equipped to undertake the duties and responsibilities allocated to them. It will therefore seek to appoint individuals who are both capable and experienced and will provide training for staff to enable them to acquire and maintain an appropriate level of expertise, knowledge and skills. The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will recommend and implement the necessary arrangements.

The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will ensure that the Council members tasked with treasury management responsibilities, including those responsible for scrutiny, have access to training relevant to their needs and those responsibilities.

Those charged with governance recognise their individual responsibility to ensure that they have the necessary skills to complete their role effectively.

The present arrangements are detailed within this document.

TMP 11 Use of External Service Providers

The Council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the Council at all times. It recognises that there may be

potential value of employing external providers of treasury management services, in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. When it employs such service providers, it will ensure it does so for reasons which have been submitted to a full evaluation of the costs and benefits. It will also ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review. Where services are subject to formal procurement arrangements, legislative requirements will always be observed. The monitoring of such arrangements rests with the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer.

TMP 12 Corporate Governance

The Council is committed to the pursuit of proper corporate governance throughout its businesses and services, and to establishing the principles and practices by which this can be achieved. Accordingly, the treasury management function and its activities will be undertaken with openness and transparency, honesty, integrity and accountability.

The Council has adopted and has implemented the key principles of the Code. This, together with the other arrangements detailed in the schedule to this document, are considered vital to the achievement of proper corporate governance in treasury management, and the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer will monitor and, if and when necessary, report upon the effectiveness of these arrangements.

SECTION 6

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES TO THE TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (TMPs)

RISK MANAGEMENT (TMP1)

CREDIT AND COUNTERPARTY POLICIES RISK MANAGEMENT – TMP1(1)

Criteria for Creating/Managing Approved Counter Party Lists for Investments

The Councils Approved list of Organisations For Investments is detailed in Appendix A to this Code of Practice.

The list will consist only of organisations/institutions that meet the following criteria:

<u>Institution</u>	<u>Credit Rating Short Term (Fitch Moody's)</u>	<u>Credit Rating Long Term (Fitch Moody's)</u>	<u>CDCM Maximum Investment</u>	<u>Internally Managed Maximum Investment</u>	<u>Aggregated Maximum Investment per Institution</u>
	<u>S&P)</u>	<u>S&P)</u>	£M	£M	£M
UK Clearing Banks (Lloyds/Bank of Scotland)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	6 (7)	2.5 (3.5)	8.5 (10.5)
UK Building Societies (The Top 10 & £1bn Assets)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	2.5	6.5
UK Building Societies (from Top 11 downwards & £1bn Assets)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	1.5	5.5
UK Clearing Bank Subsidiaries	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	2.5	6.5
Other UK Banks (with links to overseas banks)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	1.5	5.5
Overseas Banks	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	3	0	3
Local Authorities	N/A	N/A	7	3	10
Debt Management Office	N/A	N/A	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited

*The Head of Resources and Performance will consult with the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Performance and Governance before an investment is made with a BBB+ or a BBB rated institution.

Where banks or building societies are part of a merged group structure (jointly owned) the limits apply to the group as a whole not separately to individual banks.

With regard to the Council's internally managed call accounts, interest receipts will not be included when considering investment limits. This is due to interest receipts being paid into the call accounts directly. Therefore until a withdrawal can be made the balance within the account may temporarily exceed the limits stated above.

Organisations that hold an acceptable Credit Rating and are included on the approved list of organisations for investments will be checked, on a monthly basis (and before an investment is made), against the latest information available to ensure their rating is still acceptable to the Council.

If the use of the credit ratings from these 3 credit agencies show inconsistencies or questions, the Council will layer on top an assessment of Credit Default Swaps prices (a financial derivative product that is a type of insurance against default) to determine overall counterparty strength.

It is recognised, however, that during the term of an investment the credit rating of the institution may fall below the required standard. When this occurs advice will be sought from our external advisors regarding what should be done about the investment.

Investment Liquidity - Longer Term Investments

The following longer term investment restrictions will apply:-

- No more than £20M (nominal) can be invested for periods of 1 year or longer.
- No investments can be made for periods longer than 5 years.
- The total value of funds controlled by any individual advisor/fund manager to the Council shall not exceed £30M (nominal).

On any day:

- No more than £15M (nominal) in total shall be held in investments where the remaining term up to the date of maturity is at least 4 years but not exceeding 5 years.
- No more than £20M (nominal) in total shall be held in investments where the remaining term up to the date of maturity exceeds 1 year.
- No more than 60% of the total value of investments held will be subject to a variable rate of return.

Change of Limits for Amounts Invested

The investment limits (i.e. the maximum amount which can be invested with an organisation), can only be changed through approval by Council.

The investment limits are currently applicable to all investments made through the Council's External Fund Managers, which, when aggregated with other internally managed temporary investments, gives a maximum investment amount for the Council for each group of organisations.

Specified and Unspecified Investments

The Secretary of State requires that the Council identifies institutions which will be used for specified and unspecified investments.

The definitions of specified and unspecified investments are shown below:

Specified Investments

An investment is a specified investment if all of the following apply:

- (a) the investment is denominated in sterling and any payments or repayments in respect of the investment are payable only in sterling;
- (b) the investment is not a long-term investment (1 year or more)
- (c) the making of the investment is not defined as capital expenditure by virtue of regulation 25(1)(d) of the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting)(England) Regulations 2003 [SI 3146 as amended];
- (d) the investment is made with a body or in an investment scheme of high credit quality (see below); or with one of the following public sector bodies:
 - (i) the UK Government
 - (ii) a local authority in England & Wales (as defined in section 23 of the 2003 Act) or similar body in Scotland or Northern Ireland
 - (iii) a parish or community council.

An institution is deemed to have a high credit quality if it holds a short term credit rating of at least one of the following:

Fitch	F2 or better
Standard & Poor’s	A or better
Moody’s	P2 or better
Building Societies	£1bn assets or more (consideration will also be given to their credit rating, if one is available, in line with the criteria above)

Unspecified Investments

An unspecified investment is any other investment that does not meet the definition of a specified investment. Basically these are investments with maturity dates greater than 1 year, or where no credit ratings have been awarded to the organisations concerned.

The Council will not make unspecified investments unless the institution holds a long term credit rating of at least one of the following:

Fitch	BBB or better*
Standard & Poor’s	Baa2 or better*
Moody’s	BBB or better*
Building Societies	£1bn assets or more (consideration will also be given to their credit rating, if one is available, in line with the criteria above)

*The Head of Resources and Performance will consult with the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Performance and Governance before an investment is made with a BBB+ or a BBB rated institution.

Evidence of the Council’s Powers to Deal with Counterparties

Where a new counterparty has been approved for inclusion on the approved list of organisations for investments, the Council will provide evidence of that approval (when required by the counterparty) prior to the placing of any investments.

The approved institution will be requested to provide, on headed paper, its bank details, the deadline for closing deals, and details of the dealer's names and contact telephone numbers.

LIQUIDITY RISK MANAGEMENT - TMP1(2)

Approved Minimum Cash Balances and Short Term Investments

In order to maintain the position of the Council, in terms of liquidity, the following limits have been set: -

- ◆ Daily treasury management procedures will aim to maintain a forecast consolidated balance in the region of £25k to £500k. However, balances up to £1.0m may be retained in the bank account to be used to cover payments going out within the next week and the total interest lost, by retaining this money, does not exceed £50.00.
- ◆ If two internally managed investments are being placed on the same day with different maturity dates, one counterparty may be used for the two investments even if the investment % rate offered on one of the investments is not the highest rate and the subsequent loss of interest incurred does not exceed the pre-set limit of £50 on that one investment. However, the counterparty in question must be willing to accept the two investments as one CHAPS payment.
- ◆ At least £1M of short-term investments will be retained by the Council to meet cash flow requirements. Whilst this is a recommended minimum, the Council does have access to a £1m overdraft facility for any unexpected short term borrowing.

This is to ensure that: -

- ◆ Cash flow requirements are fully met.
- ◆ The amounts of the individual sums invested are sufficient to make external investment economically viable.
- ◆ The amounts of the individual sums invested are sufficient to attract competitive rates of return.

Standby Facilities/Call Accounts/Notice Accounts

The Council also has call accounts with the Bank of Scotland (Corporate Instant Access Account), Barclays (Fixed Interest Bearing Current Account) and NatWest (Liquidity Select Account) and notice accounts with Lloyds (95 Day Notice Account) and Santander (95 Day Notice Account and 180 Day Notice Account).

Overdraft Arrangements

Overdraft arrangements have been arranged with the Council's bankers with a net limit of £1m. Interest will be charged at 2% above the Bank's Base Rate.

*The Bank also operates a **Daylight Exposure Limit** (also known as the Settlement Risk Exposure), which allows the consolidated bank accounts can be overdrawn during the course of the working day; the limit is set at £25M. The daylight exposure limit is intended to cover any crossover periods during the day when large payments have to*

be made from the Council's bank accounts, but the expected credits may not be received until later in the day.

The daylight exposure limit only covers CHAPS payments.

Short & Long Term Borrowing Facilities

There is a borrowing limit that is set by the Council each year in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003. The limit is a specific indicator within the Councils Prudential Code which is reviewed annually in accordance with the code/best practice and is approved by Full Council.

Should the need for further borrowing prove necessary, or appropriate for strategic purposes, provided the limit is within the prudential indicator, then arrangements would be made in accordance with the code.

INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT – TMP1(3)

Interest rate risk management is not applicable to the Councils internally managed investments, which are utilised to meet cash flow requirements.

Interest rate risk management on the Councils other investments is currently carried out by the Council's External Fund Managers and / or Advisors, who balance the portfolio of investments they deal with, to take account of their views on interest rate movements.

EXCHANGE RATE RISK - TMP1(4)

This is the risk that fluctuations in foreign exchange rates may create an unexpected, or unbudgeted, burden on the Council's finances. In order to mitigate this risk the Councils investments are restricted to sterling, however, it does have access to real-time market advice from its external fund managers which will enable it to assess any potential risks arising and to take any necessary action.

REFINANCING RISK MANAGEMENT - TMP1(5)

The Council holds reliable and accurate records of the terms and maturities of its borrowings (where applicable) to enable it to plan the timing of, and successfully negotiate appropriate terms for its refinancing, if required.

LEGAL AND REGULATORY RISK MANAGEMENT – TMP1(6)

The regulations and statutory provisions or any statutory amendment, regarding enactment or modification thereof, under which Treasury Management investments are performed would include: -

- ◆ Local Government Act 1972 (taking account of the Trustee Investment Act 1961).
- ◆ The Local Government Act 1989.

- ◆ Local Authorities (Capital Finance) Regulations 1990 (SI 1990 No. 426) as amended.
- ◆ Local Authorities (Capital Finance) (Approved Investments) (Amendment) Regulations 1990 (SI 1991 No. 501). This SI was one of many which amended SI 1990 No. 426 above.
- ◆ The Local Authorities (Contracting Out of Investment Functions) Order 1996 (SI 1996/1883).

The regulations and statutory provisions under which Treasury Management borrowing is performed would include: -

- ◆ The Local Government and Housing Act 1989 (including sections 43, 45 and 46 of that Act).
- ◆ The Public Works Loan Board Acts 1965 and 1967.
- ◆ The Local Authorities (Borrowing) Regulations 1990 (SI 1990/767) as amended by the Local Authority (Borrowing) (Amendment) Regulations 1991 (SI 1991/551).
- ◆ The Local Government Act 2003.

Treasury Management procedures will be updated to accommodate any new legislative provisions.

It is recognised that future legislative or regulatory changes may impact on its treasury management activities and, so far as it is reasonably able to do so, will seek to minimise the risk of these impacting adversely on the organisation.

FRAUD, ERROR AND CORRUPTION, AND CONTINGENCY MANAGEMENT – TMP1(7)

Fraud and Error

The Council recognises that there is a risk of fraud or error occurring through the performance of the Treasury Management function. Consequently procedures are in place to ensure control over the organisations with which the Council invests (see Credit and Counterparty Risk Management), and to ensure there is an adequate segregation of duties.

The Council now uses an internet based banking system in connection with the Treasury Management function, however access to this is tightly controlled and the security and integrity of the site/system is managed by the Council's bankers Lloyds Bank Plc (a separate procedure manual details the system, procedures and emergency /contingency arrangements applicable in terms of making payments, and obtaining treasury information is available).

Treasury information may be made available on the Council's website however care will be exercised to ensure that no sensitive Treasury information is published through such arenas.

The Council has an "Anti-fraud Policy" and "Whistle-blowing procedures" that identify measures to control the risk of fraud by staff and Members. These can be found in the Internal Audit section of the Council's website.

Internal Audit undertake an annual audit review of the Treasury Management system and assess the effectiveness of controls implemented to prevent or detect fraud and error.

Shortfall of Funds

Should the Council suffer an unforeseen shortfall of funds, the nature of the position should be discussed with the Section 151 Officer or the Deputy Section 151 Officer.

Dependent on the nature of the shortfall, it may be necessary to negotiate terms with the Councils bankers to cover the shortfall, or to loan money from a Broker.

The Council has access to a £1m overdraft with its current bankers and interest on this account would be charged at 2% above base rate.

Insurance

The Council holds Fidelity Guarantee insurance, which covers loss of money or property belonging to the Council or for which they are legally responsible, resulting from any act of fraud or dishonesty of its employees, discovered during the period of insurance or within 24 months of the expiration.

All employees are covered by the policy, with the following limits being applicable: -

Six Designated Resources and Performance Staff (responsible for Treasury management)	£5.0M
All other staff	£0.5M

The Resources and Performance posts insured for the Treasury Management value of £5M are as follows: -

Head of Resources and Performance
Senior Business Partner (Transactional and Regulatory Services)
Team Leader (Treasury and Regulatory Services)
2 x Business Support Officer (Treasury and Regulatory Services)

MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT - TMP1(8)

The Council mitigates this risk through the use of market advice from its External Fund Managers and through a monthly review of the credit ratings. The 2008 Credit Guarantee scheme has also been used previously, but has not been available since May 2010.

INFLATION RISK MANAGEMENT TMP 1 (9)

The effects of varying levels of inflation, insofar as they can be identified as impacting directly on its treasury management activities, will be controlled by the Council as an integral part of its strategy for managing its overall exposure to inflation.

It will achieve these objectives by the prudent use of its approved financing and investment instruments, methods and techniques, primarily to create stability and certainty of costs and revenues, but at the same time retaining a sufficient degree of flexibility to take advantage of unexpected, potentially advantageous changes in the

level or structure of interest rates, exchange rates or inflation. The above are subject at all times to the consideration and, if required, approval of any policy or budgetary implications.

Methodology Applied To Evaluate The Impact Of Treasury Management Decisions

The Council invests its funds predominantly in fixed term deposits, a proportion of which are short term (to meet cash flow requirements) and the majority of which are invested for periods determined by the Council, in conjunction with its external fund managers, to meet its longer term requirements.

Political Risks And The Management Thereof

There are cycles of political change at both national and local levels. An overview of the political situation at both levels will be maintained, so that any likely political risks can be identified at the earliest opportunity, with a view to addressing any issues proactively at a corporate management level.

POLICY ON METHODS FOR TESTING PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT IN TREASURY MANAGEMENT – TMP2

Frequency and Processes for Tendering

Under normal circumstances the Council will use two external organisations in relation to treasury management, these being its bankers and Fund Managers (who advise on investments).

The standing orders of the Council state that banking services should be subject to tender every five years. The last tender process was carried out in 2013/14 following which Lloyds Bank PLC was awarded the contract, which commenced on 1 April 2014.

Tradition, City Deposit Cash Managers (CDCM) were appointed as the Councils external fund manager (in terms of advising in the investment of surplus funds) in 1997. A quotation exercise in 2012/13 resulted in them being appointed again on a 3 year contract until the end 2015/16, this was approved by Corporate Services Committee 18 January 2012.

CDCM's performance will be reported in the Annual Report and the Monitoring Report.

Performance Measurement of Treasury Management Activities

Overall the main benchmark for the rate of return on the Councils investments is the seven-day average rate calculated over the last 3 years, for Local Authority Deposits.

A quarterly statement is produced, for each of the Councils investment categories, showing the average rate of return for each category compared to the seven-day average rate. A comparison can be made between the performance of each investment category, which also provides a useful measure of the rate of return on those investments made through the Council's External Fund Managers.

The average rate calculations are weighted in order to take account of the value and duration of investments, in order to ensure an accurate rate of return is produced.

DECISION MAKING AND ANALYSIS - TMP3

Funding

Funding of the Council's capital expenditure is dealt with in a report to the Council prior to the commencement of each financial year.

A five year capital programme is presented to Council, for General Fund capital expenditure, along with the financing proposals.

Projections are carried out annually (and prior to the inclusion of any new capital projects) to ensure that sufficient finance is available to meet the Councils capital expenditure requirements.

Revenue funding is dealt with through the Revenue Budget and Council Tax setting process.

Borrowing

There is a borrowing limit that is set by the Council each year in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003. The limit is a specific indicator within the Councils Prudential Code which is reviewed annually in accordance with the code/best practice and is approved by full Council.

Should the need for further borrowing prove necessary, or appropriate for strategic purposes, provided the limit is within the prudential indicator, then arrangements would be made in accordance with the code. If the need to borrow exceeds the limit set in the prudential indicator approval will be sort from full Council.

Policy on Interest Rate Exposure

The Policy on interest rate exposure is now dealt with through the Prudential Code in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003. The limits that are being recommended to Council for 2017/18 are being considered and will be reported to Council as part of the Prudential Code update.

Processes/Records to be Held

When a loan is received, the following procedures should be followed, and records held: -

Prepare a temporary loan receipt and obtain the signature of a Director or the Head of Resources and Performance or duly authorised Officer.

Enter the details of the loan in the lender's account register and the repayment register.

If the loan is for a fixed term, enter the details of the loan in the cash flow spreadsheet on the maturity date.

When the broker's loan confirmation note is received, check the terms with the lender's account before filing it away.

List of Approved Methods of Raising Capital Finance

The following list specifies which borrowing instruments, on and off balance sheet, may be adopted where applicable:-

<u>LONG TERM DEBT INSTRUMENTS</u>	<u>FIXED RATES</u>	<u>VARIABLE RATES</u>
PWLB	*	*
Market Long Term	*	*
Local Bonds	*	
Local Authorities	*	*
Overdraft	*	
Negotiable Bonds	*	
Commercial Paper	*	
Medium Term Notes		*
Leasing		
<u>SHORT TERM DEBT INSTRUMENTS</u>		
	*	*
Market Temporary	*	*
Local Temporary	*	*
Stock Issues		

- ◆ Should borrowing be through the utilisation of loan instruments, as defined by S43 (2) (C) of the Local Government & Housing Act 1989, the loan instruments will, wherever possible and to the closest possible degree, follow the format of the loan instrument proforma, published in the document compiled by the Financial Law Panel and entitled "Local Authority Borrowing - Loan Instruments, A Practice Recommendation". This policy is in accordance with the advice provided by CIPFA.

List of Approved Sources of Borrowing

LONG TERM BORROWING SOURCES OF FINANCE

- ◆ Public Works Loan Board (PWLB)
The main source of longer term borrowing for many Local Authorities has been from the Government through the Public Works Loan Board.
- ◆ Money Market Loans - Long Term
Loans from the money markets do not usually match the lowest rates available from the PWLB. However this is not always the case especially for loans up to 5 years where money market loans may be more advantageous.
- ◆ Local Bonds
The issue of local bonds enables members of the public to invest in Local Authorities.
- ◆ Local Authorities
Loans from local authorities where suitable terms can be agreed and overall borrowing does not exceed the limits set within the Prudential Code.
- ◆ Negotiable Bonds
These are issued by a broker on behalf of a Local Authority. Each issue has to be authorised by the Bank of England.

- ◆ Commercial Paper and Sterling Medium Term Notes
The Local Government and Housing Act 1989 permits Local Authorities to issue these types of loan instrument:
 - Sterling commercial paper (for 7 days to 1 year).
 - Sterling medium term notes (for 1-5 years).
 These are issued and transferable in minimum amounts of £100,000.
- ◆ Leasing
Operating leasing falls outside of capital controls and is often therefore used by Authorities for the purchase of equipment etc.
- ◆ Stock Issues
These are usually only available for very large amounts. Such issues are in excess of the Council's current requirements.

Short Term Borrowing Sources of Finance (up to 364 days)

- ◆ Overdraft
Overdraft arrangements have been arranged with the Council's bankers with a limit of £1.0m. Interest will be charged at 2% per annum above the Bank's Base Rate.
- ◆ Money Market Loans - Short Term
Loans from the Money Markets can be obtained on a short term basis.
- ◆ Local Temporary Loan
Temporary loans offer the opportunity for the public to make short term investments with an Authority.
- ◆ Local Authorities
Loans from local authorities where suitable terms can be agreed.

List of Approved Instruments for Investment

The approved investment instruments of the Council are detailed below:-

- ◆ Marketable securities issued or guaranteed by the Government
- ◆ Fixed deposits made with approved institutions

ORGANISATION, CLARITY AND SEGREGATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES, AND DEALING ARRANGEMENTS – TMP5

Introduction

The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer has delegated responsibility under the revised code for the execution and administration of treasury management decisions.

The Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer may delegate their treasury management responsibilities to members of their staff. Details of these arrangements are set out below.

Authorised signatories of the Council will be permitted to sign documentation relating to the Council's borrowings and investments.

The daily treasury management routines to be followed are available on request. These procedures will be maintained and reviewed annually.

Delegated Powers and Responsibilities

The Cabinet/Full Council is responsible for:

- ◆ Adoption of the revised CIPFA Treasury Management Code and Treasury Management Policy.
- ◆ Receiving, commenting on, and approval of the Annual Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements (prior to the commencement of the financial year).
- ◆ Receiving and commenting on an annual report on treasury management activity for the preceding financial year as soon as possible after the end of the financial year but in any case by the end of December.
- ◆ Receiving and commenting on other periodic reports on the treasury management function and its performance during the year.
- ◆ Approval of the Prudential Indicators, Authorised Borrowing Limit and Operational Boundary for borrowing.

The Head of Resources & Performance (151 Officer) is responsible for:

- ◆ Ensuring compliance with the treasury management policy statement and that the policy complies with the law.
- ◆ Carrying out regular reviews of the treasury management function.
- ◆ Ensuring that any variations to the treasury policy or the internal practices fully comply with the law and the code of practice.
- ◆ Ensuring that there is an adequate internal audit function.
- ◆ Liaising with the Deputy 151 Officer on treasury management decisions.
- ◆ Making long term investment decisions in accordance with the approved policy.
- ◆ Deciding on funding and short term policies for the ensuing year.
- ◆ Deciding on lending and investment policies for the ensuing year.
- ◆ Advising the Council on the acceptability and characteristics of treasury instruments.
- ◆ Establishing the vires of the proposed action and the instruments to be used.
- ◆ Ensuring that the organisation of the treasury management function is adequate to meet current requirements and that there is an appropriate division of duties.
- ◆ Assessing and appointing brokers/advisors/external fund managers.
- ◆ Reporting to elected members and advising the monitoring officer where that is appropriate.
- ◆ Re-determining treasury management strategy in the light of forecast changes in the economy and reporting it to members at the appropriate time.
- ◆ Approving changes to counterparty credit limits, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Performance and Resources.
- ◆ Ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function.

The Senior Business Partner (Transactional and Regulatory Services) /Deputy Section 151 Officer is responsible for:

- ◆ Reviewing the annual Treasury Management Statement and Code of Practice.
- ◆ Overall management of the Treasury function.
- ◆ Deputising for the Head of Resources and Performance in his/her absence for matters relating to the treasury management function.

- ◆ Monitoring adherence to approved policy by treasury management team.
- ◆ Ensuring that an appropriate division of duties is in place and that all staff are properly trained to carry out the required duties.
- ◆ Making recommendations regarding:-
 - the appointment of brokers
 - the organisation of the treasury management function
 - funding and short term policies
 - lending and investment policies
 - acceptability and characteristics of treasury instruments
 - the vires of proposed action and the instruments to use.
- ◆ Reviewing the performance of the treasury management function at least twice a year.
- ◆ Ensuring that all treasury staff are aware of and have access to the Financial Conduct Authority handbook of rules and guidance which is available on the FSA website.
- ◆ Ensuring that the day to day activities accord with the Treasury Management Statement.
- ◆ Ensuring compliance with policies, limitations and directions.
- ◆ Monitoring performance of brokers employed.
- ◆ All recording and administrative functions complying with the system and procedures laid down in the treasury management document.
- ◆ Reviewing regular performance reports.

The Team Leader (Treasury and Regulatory Services) is responsible for:

- ◆ Overseeing the daily treasury management function.
- ◆ Ensuring that the treasury management procedures and practices are regularly reviewed and adhered to.
- ◆ Preparing and the draft Treasury Management Statement.
- ◆ Producing regular performance reports.
- ◆ Monitoring performance of brokers employed.
- ◆ Deputising for the Senior Business Partner (Transactional and Regulatory Services) in his/her absence for matters relating to the treasury management function.

The Business Support Officer (Treasury and Regulatory Services) is responsible for:

- ◆ Dealing with the money market, complying with the systems and procedures laid down in the treasury management document.
- ◆ Updating of daily cash flow.
- ◆ Ensuring that properly authorised transactions are actioned in a timely manner.
- ◆ Reconciling treasury management transactions on a monthly basis.
- ◆ Deputising for the Team Leader (Treasury and Regulatory Services) in his/her absence for matters relating to the treasury management function.

The Internal Audit Manager is responsible for:

- ◆ Reviewing compliance with the approved policy and procedures on treasury management.
- ◆ Reviewing the division of duties and operational practices.
- ◆ Assessing value for money from treasury activities.
- ◆ Undertaking probity audit of treasury function.
- ◆ Reporting and monitoring of Money Laundering activities.

The Chief Executive is responsible for:

- ◆ Ensuring that the system is laid down and resourced.
- ◆ Ensuring that the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer reports regularly to elected Members on treasury policy, activity and performance.

The Monitoring Officer is responsible for:

- ◆ Ensuring compliance by the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer with the treasury policy and that the policy complies with the law.
- ◆ Satisfying himself / herself that any proposal to vary treasury policy or practice complies with the law.
- ◆ Advising the Section 151 Officer or Deputy Section 151 Officer where their advice is sought.

List of Approved Brokers

The Council currently has access to the following brokers:-

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>1. Tradition
City Deposit Cash Managers
15 St Botolph Street
London
EC3A 7QX</p> <p>Tel: (020) 7422 3500
www.tradition.com</p> | <p>2. Tullett Prebon Group Ltd
155 Bishopsgate
London
EC2M 3TQ</p> <p>Tel: (020) 7895 9595
www.tullib.com</p> |
| <p>3. Sterling International Brokers Ltd
10 Chiswell Street
London
EC1Y 4UQ</p> <p>Tel: (020) 7562 3456
www.sterling-int.co.uk</p> | <p>4. Martin Brokers (UK) Plc
Cannon Bridge House
25 Dowgate Hill
London
EC4R 2BB</p> <p>Tel: (020) 7469 9000
www.martin-brokers.com</p> |

Any borrowing, by the Council, will be carried out by obtaining the best rate available from one of the above brokers or any other reputable broking firm.

Bankers

The Council's bankers are Lloyds Bank Plc.

Long Term Borrowing (Public Works Loan Board)

The officers, authorised to obtain loans with the Public Works Loan Board (once full Council approval has been received), are as follows:-

- The Head of Resources and Performance (Section 151 Officer)
- Deputy Section 151 Officer
- Senior Business Partner (Transactional and Regulatory Services)
- Team Leader (Treasury and Regulatory Services)
- Business Support Officer (Treasury and Regulatory Services)

Investment Direct Dealing Practices

Where there are sufficient funds available to justify an investment, three quotations are obtained from the organisations on the approved list of organisations for investments.

It is essential to ensure that when selecting these organisations from the approved list of organisations for investment, the investment limits with an organisation are not exceeded.

Three quotations ensure that the best rate is obtained on the investment.

Policy on Taping of Conversations

The Council has no facilities for recording dealing and is therefore reliant on any recordings of conversations relating to dealing held by the institutions with which it deals.

Settlement Transmission Procedures

Before transmission of a payment to the investment organisation, a payment voucher is completed with the details of the organisations name, Sort Code (and where appropriate, their Account Number), details of the period of the investment, the interest rate achieved and the amount to be invested.

Before any payments can be transmitted, 2 independent authorisations are required on the banking system.

Documentation Requirements

There are spreadsheets in place to record all aspects of treasury management and investment. These spreadsheets are reconciled, independently checked and signed on a monthly basis.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND MANAGEMENT INFORMATION ARRANGEMENTS – TMP6

The nature and frequency of reporting are covered in Organisation, Clarity and Segregation of Responsibilities and Dealing Arrangements.

Four Treasury Management reports will be made to Council each financial year, the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategy Statement Report, the Treasury Management Monitoring Report, the Treasury Management Annual Report, and the report on the Prudential Indicators.

In addition, further reports will be presented to Council for the approval of revisions to the Treasury Management Code of Practice, and to seek approval for any revisions to the approved Treasury Management Strategy, Prudential Indicators and any additions or deletions from the approved list of organisations for investments.

All reports must be approved by Council.

Reporting Content

The prescribed minimum content of the four main annual reports to Council, are detailed in the summaries below. This minimum content gives a degree of flexibility, in terms of the content of the report, whilst ensuring that key issues are always reported.

Annual Strategy Report Minimum Contents Summary

The Annual Strategy Report must be submitted to Council by the 7 March each year and should, as a minimum, contain the following: -

- ◆ Details of the level of external debt
- ◆ Investment Limits
- ◆ External investment fund limits
- ◆ Forecast interest rate movements for the ensuing year
- ◆ Breakdown of surplus funds held
- ◆ Proposed investment and / or borrowing strategy

Annual Report Minimum Contents Summary

The Treasury Management Annual report must be submitted to Council by 30 September following the previous financial year's end, and should, as a minimum, contain the following: -

- ◆ Details of the level of external debt held and a list of lenders names
- ◆ Investment performance against benchmark criteria
- ◆ A breakdown of investments held
- ◆ An explanation of interest rate movements during the financial year, against forecast movements in the original Annual Strategy Report
- ◆ Any breaches from the Code of Practice
- ◆ A statement of compliance from the Internal Audit manager

Monitoring Report Minimum Summary of Contents

The monitoring report must be submitted to Council by 31 December each year and should, as a minimum, contain the following: -

- ◆ Details of the level of external debt
- ◆ Investment performance against benchmark criteria
- ◆ A breakdown of investments held
- ◆ Any revisions to Treasury Management strategy
- ◆ A revised interest rate forecast for the remainder of the financial year
- ◆ Any breaches from the Code of Practice
- ◆ Show the position as at the end of 30 September

Revisions to the Treasury Management Code of Practice Contents Summary

The report must be submitted to Council by the 7 March each year and should contain as a minimum, the following;

- ◆ Any legislative changes
- ◆ Any guidance changes
- ◆ Any significant changes in procedures
- ◆ Confirmation that the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services has been adopted

- ◆ At the same Council meeting a report must be submitted on the Councils Prudential Code & Associated Indicators and should contain as a minimum, the following;
 - ◆ Rates of financing costs to net revenue stream
 - ◆ Net borrowing and the capital financing requirement
 - ◆ Total capital expenditure in each year
 - ◆ Average balance of capital receipts available
 - ◆ Limits in interest rate exposure
 - ◆ Maturing structure of borrowing
 - ◆ Incremental impact of capital investment
 - ◆ Total principal sums invested and limits on long term investment maturities
 - ◆ Minimum Revenue Provision Policy

BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING AND AUDIT ARRANGEMENTS – TMP7

Statutory/Regulation Requirements

Statutory and regulatory requirements relating to Treasury Management are dealt with under TMP1 under the heading “Legal and Regulatory”.

Accounting Practices and Standards

The Council, in addition to all relevant SSAP’s, FRS’s and IAS’s adheres to all practices and standards provided by CIPFA.

Budgets

Budgets are set, prior to the commencement of a financial year, for brokerage fees charged by the Councils Fund Managers and Advisors.

A forecast of interest receipts for the ensuing financial year is prepared prior to its commencement by the Senior Business Partner (Transactional and Regulatory Services). This budget is also revised during the year, to take account of any variations in the amount likely to be received.

Investment categories are individually coded on the Council’s financial information system, in terms of the interest received, principal sums invested and investments recouped. Information is updated on the Financial Information System directly from the bank statements received, by the Bank Reconciliation Officer who is independent of the Treasury Management function.

The Treasury records are reconciled to the information on the financial information system, on a monthly basis. Reconciliation’s are checked independently by the Team Leader (Treasury and Regulatory Services), and signed to signify approval.

External Audit Information Requirements

The “Audit Fraud and Corruption Manual” details system controls which external auditors would wish to see in place for Treasury Management. These are as follows: -

- ◆ Clear written procedures for staff
- ◆ Transactions are regularly reviewed and examined by a senior officer

- ◆ Appropriate access controls exist
- ◆ All cheques/direct credits over a specified amount are checked back to prime documents and countersigned by a senior officer
- ◆ Banks only accept direct transfers to institutions on an approved list
- ◆ Changes to the approved list require counter signature by a senior officer
- ◆ Transfer via a direct terminal link is only allowed when confirmed by a second officer
- ◆ Cheques are despatched independently of the loan officer
- ◆ Discharged certificates are obtained for all bond repayments.
- ◆ Direct confirmation with borrowers or lenders of premiums or discounts on premature repayments.
- ◆ Premium or discount payments are checked for reasonableness.

CASH AND CASH FLOW MANAGEMENT – TMP8

Monitoring of cash flow requirements is carried out using a Cash Flow spreadsheet. The spreadsheet shows all the days of the year, and is broken down into headings of income and expenditure for each working day of the year. This allows a forecast of the consolidated end of day closing balance to be compiled, on which Treasury Management decisions can be based.

This spreadsheet is compiled prior to the commencement of the financial year, and is updated with all cash inflows and outflows which are known (in terms of amounts and the dates they will occur) at the commencement of the financial year. These would include:

- ◆ Precept payments to be made to precepting bodies
- ◆ Contributions to and from the National Non Domestic Rating pool
- ◆ Any other known cash in flows and out flows

In addition to updating this spreadsheet with cash inflows and outflows known at the start of the year, notes are made on the spreadsheet of those transactions, which cannot be quantified, but are known to occur on specific dates. These would include:

- ◆ Council Tax direct debit income
- ◆ National Non-Domestic Rates (NDR) direct debit income
- ◆ Monthly payroll (and associated) payments

Other income and expenditure is known to occur on a regular basis, and cash flow decisions also take account of these. Examples would include:

- ◆ Accounts Payable (Creditor) Payments (made on each Monday from January 2011)
- ◆ Housing Benefits (HBIS) BACS payments (made on each Monday)
- ◆ Other daily income, eg. from cashiers

The daily forecast cleared closing consolidated balance is compared to the consolidated forecast balance from the Councils direct banking system, in order to give assurance that the system and spreadsheet are taking account of all transactions.

The end of day forecast cleared consolidated balance is the figure which treasury management decisions are based on. Two authorised officers therefore check this figure, independently, for control purposes, where an investment or borrowing decision is to be made.

Daily Procedures

The Business Support Officers (Treasury and Regulatory Services) will perform day to day cash management. The Team Leader (Treasury and Regulatory Services) and Senior Business Partner (Transactional and Regulatory Services) will provide cover in the absence of any of the aforementioned officers.

It is now required that all designated treasury staff carry out the treasury management daily procedures for 2 individual weeks during the course of the year, to ensure that they are continually up to date with treasury management procedures.

Objective

The objective of the day to day cash management is to ensure that the consolidated balance of the Council's bank accounts is, where possible, kept within its target overnight level of £25,000, whilst adequately meeting the day to day cash requirements of the Council.

However, balances up to £1.0m may be retained in the bank account to be used to cover payments going out within the next week and the total interest lost, by retaining this money, does not exceed £50.00.

Investment/Borrowing Decision Making

Borrowing to meet any shortfall or investing directly with organisations on the approved list can be authorised by the Head of Resources and Performance (Section 151 Officer), Service Manager – Finance and Performance or Senior Business Partner – Finance and Performance. In the absence of one of the officers above, one of the Business Partners – Finance and Performance can act as Sanctioning Officer with documentation being countersigned by an authorising officer at the earliest opportunity. Longer term borrowing requires Council approval.

With the continuing development of shared services and working across various offices, the required officers are not always available to provide 'hard copy' authorisation. To increase efficiency and ensure treasury activities are carried out in a timely manner, the use of email authorisation is permitted. Copies of the email trail must accompany all deal paperwork in the completed file.

Forecasting the closing balance on the consolidated account

This is reached by obtaining a daily cleared debit/credit balance from the Direct Banking system, and referring to the "CASHFLOW" Spreadsheet for any other significant income/payments.

Bank Statement Procedures

On receipt, bank statements are forwarded to the Accounts section, whereby they are distributed to the appropriate responsible officer.

Payment Scheduling and Agreed Terms with Trade Creditors

Creditor runs, through the creditors system are performed on a weekly basis to provide both BACS and cheque payments.

The Council's general terms are that payment of invoices will be made within 30 days, unless alternative terms are detailed on invoices.

The Treasury Management team is provided with information on the level of creditor payments to be made each week, in time to ensure sufficient funds are available to meet the liability.

Procedure for Banking of Funds

A private security firm carries out the banking of funds.

Procedures for the reconciliation of cash and cheques collected at each location, to those banked, are also in place.

Scheme for the Advancing of Car Loans to Members of Staff

The scheme is intended to assist specified officers with the purchase of a vehicle where it is deemed necessary to have the availability of a vehicle for the performance of his/her duties.

The full Policy can be found on the Corporate Drive and in the Policy Library.

Loans to Parish Councils

The policy for 'Loans to Parish Councils' is now part of the Capital Grants Policy, and applications can only be considered by those Parish Councils within the Council's area.

A loan to a parish council can only be granted for Capital Expenditure; a loan for any other purpose will not be considered by the Council.

More detailed information on the criteria for a loan and the application process can be obtained from Resources and Performance.

Anglia Revenues Partnership Trading Ltd (ARP Trading Ltd)

The Council has an interest in ARP Trading Ltd, the trading arm of the Anglia Revenues Partnership. The shareholders agreement includes provision for ARP Trading Ltd to approach its shareholders for funding up to £100K in total.

MONEY LAUNDERING - TMP9

Methodology for Identifying Sources of Deposit

For all short term investments, managed internally, the Council deals with financial institutions that hold an acceptable Credit Rating as detailed in TMP 1.

The same criterion is used by the Council's Fund Managers and Advisors.

This high credit rating gives some assurance that all institutions included on the approved list of organisations for investments are reputable companies.

Institutions the Council wishes to add onto its approved list of organisations for investments are assessed by a member of the Treasury team. If the organisation fits the required criteria for dealing, and for Building Societies assets valued in excess of £1bn, they can be added to the list with the approval of the Section 151 Officer and the Deputy Section 151 Officer. Deals are only made with newly approved

organisations once written confirmation of bank details (on the organisations headed paper) are received.

Other details regarding the addition of new organisations onto the Councils approved list of organisations for investments are contained in the schedules for Risk Management under the heading "Credit and Counterparty Policies".

Methodology for Establishing the Identity/Authenticity of Lenders

In terms of temporary loans, the Council has a policy of only accepting loans from Parish Councils, or occasionally of small amounts from Community Organisations (subject to such loans not adversely affecting the Authorised Borrowing Limit or the Operational Boundary for Borrowing), where this would benefit the organisation concerned. Any other loans accepted would relate to performance bonds from reputable companies.

Current long term borrowing stands at £4M. Should it prove necessary to borrow further, only Brokers included in the Councils approved list would be asked to provide quotations. Written confirmations of all details relevant to any transaction would be required on the Broker's headed paper.

Disaster Recovery Plan

In the event that the offices cannot be accessed or the online banking facility is unavailable, there are contingency arrangements in place to ensure that where possible Treasury Management obligations are met. These emergency contingency arrangements can be found in the Treasury Management Procedures Manual.

STAFF TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT – TMP10

It is the Councils intention for all the posts detailed in the schedule for TMP5 "Organisation, Clarity and Segregation of Responsibilities, and Dealing Arrangements" to be occupied.

Should a vacancy for any post be unfilled for any exceptional period of time, then the Code of Practice will be reviewed to assess any likely implications and risks, and so that any necessary amendments may be made and presented to Council for approval.

It is the intention of the Council that staff holding the Posts will be in receipt of adequate training to conduct their duties, either by external courses/seminars, or through internal instruction.

USE OF EXTERNAL SERVICE PROVIDERS - TMP11

The Council's external portfolio of funds is currently managed by Tradition, City Deposit Cash Managers (CDCM). In addition to this, CDCM provide the Council with market advice and expertise as and when required.

The Council has no formal contractual arrangements with any other Brokers. This situation will be subject to review.

The Council's Bankers are Lloyds Bank PLC, details of which can be found in the schedule to TMP5 - Organisation, Clarity and Segregation of Responsibilities, and Dealing Arrangements.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE – TMP12

Information Available for Public Inspection

The Council will make publicly available information relating to its Treasury Management strategy.

The Council will also make available information relating to the performance of the Treasury Management function in terms of the rate of return received on investments compared to the benchmark seven-day average rate, which is also averaged over a 3 year period.

Consultation with Stakeholders

The Councils main objective is to maximise investment income without compromising its position in terms of risk. This strategy results in little scope for consultation with stakeholders, over what is effectively investment strategy.

LIST OF APPROVED ORGANISATIONS FOR INVESTMENTS

Investment Guidelines

<u>Institution</u>	<u>Credit Rating Short Term (Fitch Moody's S&P)</u>	<u>Credit Rating Long Term (Fitch Moody's S&P)</u>	<u>CDCM Maximum Investment Investment £M</u>	<u>Internally Managed Maximum Investment £M</u>	<u>Aggregated Maximum Investment per Institution £M</u>
UK Clearing Banks (Lloyds/Bank of Scotland)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	6 (7)	2.5 (3.5)	8.5 (10.5)
UK Building Societies (The Top 10 & £1bn Assets)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	2.5	6.5
UK Building Societies From Top 11 downwards & £1bn Assets)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	1.5	5.5
UK Clearing Bank Subsidiaries	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	2.5	6.5
Other UK Banks (with links to overseas banks)	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	4	1.5	5.5
Overseas Banks	F1+, F1 or F2 P1 or P2 AAA, AA, or A	AAA to BBB* Aaa to Baa2* AAA to BBB*	3	0	3
Local Authorities	N/A	N/A	7	3	10
Debt Management Office	N/A	N/A	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited

***BBB+ or BBB rated institutions used only following consultation between the Head of Resources and Performance and the Portfolio Holder Resources, Performance and Governance.**

<u>Organisation / Institution</u>	<u>Fitch Credit Rating</u>		<u>Moody's Credit Rating</u>		<u>S&P Credit Rating</u>		<u>Specified (Short Term) Investments</u>	<u>Unspecified (Long Term) Investments</u>
	Short Term	Long Term	Short Term	Long Term	Short Term	Long Term		
UK Government	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓	✓
Other Local Authorities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓	✓
UK Clearing Banks								
Bank of Scotland/HBOS (part of the Lloyds group)	F1	A+	P-1	A1	A-1	A	✓	✓
Barclays Bank	F1	A	P-1	A2	A-2	A-	✓	✓
HSBC	F1+	AA-	P-1	Aa2	A-1+	AA-	✓	✓
Lloyds Banking Group	F1	A	P-1	A2	A-2	BBB	✓	✓
Lloyds Bank Plc	F1	A+	P-1	A1	A-1	A	✓	✓
Royal Bank of Scotland	F2	BBB+	P-2	A3	A-2	BBB+	✓	✓
UK Building Societies (The Top 10 & £1bn Assets)								
Nationwide	F1	A	P-1	A1	A-1	A	✓	✓
Yorkshire	F1	A-	P-2	A3	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Coventry	F1	A	P-1	A2	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Widkipton	F2	BBB+	P-2	Baa2	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Peeds	F1	A-	P-1	A2	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Principality	F2	BBB+	P-3	Baa3	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
West Bromwich	No Rating	No Rating	NP	B1	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Newcastle	B	BB+	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Nottingham	No Rating	No Rating	P-2	Baa1	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Cumberland	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
UK Building Societies (from Top 11 onwards & £1bn Assets)								
Progressive	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
National Counties	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Saffron	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Cambridge	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Monmouthshire	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
UK Clearing Bank Subsidiaries								
National Westminster Bank (NatWest – part of RBS)	F2	BBB+	P-2	A3	A-2	BBB+	✓	✓
Ulster Bank Belfast (part of NatWest)	F2	BBB+	P-2	A3	A-2	BBB	✓	✓
Other / UK Banks (with links to Foreign Banks)								
Clydesdale Bank Plc	F1	A	P-2	Baa1	A-2	BBB+	✓	✓
Santander (UK) Plc	F1	A	P-1	A1	A-1	A	✓	✓
Abbey National Treasury Services	F1	A	P-1	A1	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Cater Allen Private Bank (No Rating – Guaranteed by Santander (UK) Plc)	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓
Close Bros	F1	A	P-1	Aa3	No Rating	No Rating	✓	✓

Updated 30/11/16

Page 145

Factsheet

December 2016

This table shows the assets of UK building societies, ranked by group assets, taken from their latest annual reports. These figures have not been adjusted to take account of any mergers, transfers of engagements or purchases of mortgage portfolios that have taken place since the societies' financial year end.

* The Society has no Group - the Society Assets figure has been repeated in the Group Assets field.

Rank by Group Assets	Name of Society	Financial Year Ended	Society Assets £m	Group Assets £m (see note *)
1	Nationwide	04 April 2015	194,821	195,580
2	Yorkshire	31 December 2014	41,779	37,572
3	Coventry	31 December 2014	30,890	31,278
4	Skipton	31 December 2014	15,336	15,982
5	Leeds	31 December 2014	12,220	12,131
6	Principality	31 December 2014	7,108	7,265
7	West Bromwich	31 March 2015	5,570	5,600
8	Newcastle	31 December 2014	3,741	3,736
9	Nottingham	31 December 2014	3,267	3,266
10	Cumberland	31 March 2015	1,903	1,904
11	Progressive*	31 December 2014	1,688	1,688
12	National Counties	31 December 2014	1,302	1,304
13	Saffron	31 December 2014	1,169	1,171
14	Cambridge	31 December 2014	1,154	1,150
15	Monmouthshire	30 April 2015	1,044	1,044